SMGT 447A – Internship Internship Reflection Paper – Grading Rubric

| Evaluation of Learning | | | | Points Possible / |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Objectives | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Target | Section Criteria |
| General Evaluation | Fails to identify all stated learning objectives and/or address attainment of goals (0-3 pts.) | Identifies all stated learning objectives and adequately addresses attainment of goals (4 pts.) | Identifies all stated learning objectives and thoroughly addresses attainment of goals (5 pts.) | Total Points Possible: 25 Section Criteria: |
| Degree of Attainment | Fails to demonstrate a majority of the stated learning objectives were achieved (0-6 pts.) | Demonstrates a clear majority of the stated learning objectives were achieved (7-8 pts.) | Demonstrates all of the stated learning objectives were achieved (9-10 pts.) | Unacceptable: 0- 18 Acceptable: 19-22 Target: 23-25 |
| Support Information | Fails to cite specific outcomes or provide work samples in support (0-6 pts.) | Adequately cites specific outcomes or provide work samples in support (7-8 pts.) | Thoroughly cites specific outcomes or provide work samples in support (9-10 pts.) | |
| Connection Between Internship Experience and Course Subject Matter | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Target | Points Possible / Section Criteria |
| Selection of Course Subject Matter | Fails to identify 10 topics/concepts that relate internship experience (0-3 pts.) | Identifies 10 topics/concepts that relate internship experience (4 pts.) | Identifies more than 10 topics/concepts that relate internship experience (5 pts.) | Total Points Possible: 25 |
| Understanding of Course Subject Matter | Fails to demonstrate acceptable understanding of selected course subject matter (0-6 pts.) | Demonstrates acceptable understanding of selected course subject matter (7-8 pts.) | Demonstrates thorough understand of selected course subject matter (9-10 pts.) | Section Criteria: Unacceptable: 0- 18 |
| Relationship to internship experience | Fails to link selected course subject matter with work experiences as an intern (0-6 pts.) | Adequately links selected course subject matter with work experiences as an intern (7-8 pts.) | Thoroughly links selected course subject matter with work experiences as an intern (9-10 pts.) | Acceptable: 19-22 Target: 23-25 |

| Ethical Decision Making | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Target | Points Possible / Section Criteria |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Issue Identification | Fails to identify issue of an ethical nature (0-1 pts.) | Identifies issue with some ethical dimensions (2 pts.) | Identifies decision that is primarily of an ethical nature (3 pts.) | Total Points Possible: 10 |
| Decision Process | Fails to describe the decision and related rationale (0-1 pts.) | Describes the decision; rationale limited (2 pts.) | Fully describes the decision and rationale (3 pts.) | Section Criteria: Unacceptable: 0-6 |
| Reflection on Decision | Fails to evaluate the ethics decision (0-2 pts.) | Evaluates the ethics decision with some rationale to support assessment (3 pts.) | Evaluates the ethics decision with strong rationale to support assessment (4 pts.) | Acceptable: 7-8 Target: 9-10 |
| Critical Thinking Skills | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Target | Points Possible / Section Criteria |
| Problem Statement | Fails to identify problem (0-1 pts.) | Adequately describes problem (2 pts.) | Thoroughly describes problem (3 pts.) | Total Points Possible: 10 |
| Solution and Rationale | Fails to describe the chosen solution and related rationale (0-1 pts.) | Describes the chosen solution; rationale limited (2 pts.) | Fully describes the chosen solution and rationale (3 pts.) | Section Criteria: Unacceptable: 0-6 |
| Reflection on Solution | Fails to reflect on quality of decision (0-2 pts.) | Reflects on quality of decision with some rationale to support assessment (3 pts.) | Reflects on quality of decision with strong rationale to support assessment (4 pts.) | Acceptable: 7-8 Target: 9-10 |
| Diversity | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Target | Points Possible / Section Criteria |
| Recognition of Diversity | Fails to recognize diversity as encountered in internship (0-1 pts.) | Some recognition of diversity as encountered in internship (2 pts.) | Substantial recognition of diversity as encountered in internship (3 pts.) | Total Points Possible: 10 |
| Description of Diversity Management | Fails to describe how organization managed diversity (0-2 pts.) | Some description of how organization managed diversity (3 pts.) | Thorough description of how organization managed diversity (4 pts.) | Section Criteria: Unacceptable: 0-6 Acceptable: 7-8 |
| Evaluation of Organization's Actions | Fails to evaluate how organization managed diversity (0-1 pts.) | Some evaluation of how organization managed diversity (2 pts.) | Thorough evaluation of how organization managed diversity (3 pts.) | Target: 9-10 |

| Communication Skills | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Target | Points Possible / Section Criteria |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Self Evaluation of Skill | Fails to list relevant communication skills and provide evaluation (0-1 pts.) | Lists relevant communication skills; some evaluation (2 pts.) | Lists relevant communication skills with thorough evaluation (3 pts.) | Total Points Possible: 10 |
| Improvement Tools | Fails to describe how communication skills may be improved (0-1 pts.) | Some description of how communication skills may be improved (3 pts.) | Thorough description of how communication skills may be improved (3 pts.) | Section Criteria: Unacceptable: 0-6 Acceptable: 7-8 |
| Evidence of Skill Based on Quality of Paper | Paper is unorganized and/or poorly written (0-2 pts.) | Paper is well organized; good quality of writing (3 pts.) | Paper is well organized; excellent quality of writing (4 pts.) | Target: 9-10 |
| Technology Skills | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Target | Points Possible / Section Criteria |
| Self Evaluation of Skill | Fails to list relevant technological skills and provide evaluation (0-3 pts.) | Lists relevant technological skills; some evaluation (4 pts.) | Lists relevant technological skills with thorough evaluation (5 pts.) | Total Points Possible: 10 |
| Improvement Tools | Fails to describe how technological skills may be improved (0-3 pts.) | Some description of how technological skills may be improved (4 pts.) | Thorough description of how technological skills may be improved (5 pts.) | Section Criteria: Unacceptable: 0-6 Acceptable: 7-8 Target: 9-10 |