## **Poster Presentation Rubric – Novice**

Poster #

CRITERIA	Excellent (5 – 4)	Good (3 – 2)	Average (1-0)	Points
Research focus	Clearly identify importance and gap in research	Good understanding with basic identification of importance	Limited understanding with unclear relevance	
Scholarly Context	Comprehensive literature review justifying the research gap	Adequate background, some justification needed	Weak review, unclear justification	
Analysis and Interpretation	Thorough analysis with clear objectives and future directions	Basic objectives with limited analysis and future directions	No clear objectives, analysis, or future directions	
Presentation Communication and Effectiveness	Succinct, clear delivery using figures and tables in 2-3 minutes	Clear delivery but some clarity issues	Unclear delivery, ineffective use of visuals	
Poster Design	Clear, self-explanatory, and enhances content	Mostly clear; needs better explanation	Cluttered and design overshadows content	
Professional Conduct	Highly enthusiastic and answers all questions effectively	Shows enthusiasm but struggles with questions	Limited enthusiasm and inadequate responses	
			Total	

## **Poster Presentation Rubric – Emerging**

Poster #

Research focusClearly articulated the problem based on the identified research gap problem formulation is unclearLimited understanding of the research gap; problem formulation is unclearScholarly ContextStrong connection between the research gap and the conducted research gap and the conducted research effectively demonstrates research objectives is limited with minimal modelingVague connection between the research gap and the research connection to the research is unclearAnalysis and InterpretationRigorous analysis/modeling effectively demonstrates research objectives is limited with minimal modelingUnclear delivery, ineffective use of visualsPresentation and EffectivenessSuccinct, clear delivery using figures and tables in 2-3 minutesMostly clear; needs better explanationCluttered and design overshadows contentProfessional ConductHighly enthusiastic and answers all questionsShows enthusiasm but struggles withLimited enthusiasm and inadequate	CRITERIA	Excellent (5 – 4)	Good (3 – 2)	Average (1-0)	Points
Scholarly Contextbetween the research gap and the conducted researchresearch gap; connection to the research is unclearbetween the research gap and the research conductedAnalysis and InterpretationRigorous analysis/modeling effectively demonstrates research objectivesAnalysis of research objectives is limited with minimal modelingVery limited analysis/modeling related to research objectivesPresentation Communication and EffectivenessSuccinct, clear delivery using figures and tables in 2-3 minutesClear delivery but some clarity issuesUnclear delivery, ineffective use of visualsPoster DesignClear, self-explanatory, and enhances contentMostly clear; needs better explanationCluttered and design overshadows contentProfessional ConductHighly enthusiastic and answers all questionsShows enthusiasm but struggles withLimited enthusiasm and inadequate	Research focus	problem based on the	research gap; problem formulation is	understanding of the research gap with poor problem	
Analysis and Interpretationanalysis/modeling effectively demonstrates research objectivesresearch objectives is limited with minimal modelingvery limited analysis/modeling related to research objectivesPresentation Communication and EffectivenessSuccinct, clear delivery 	•	between the research gap and the conducted	research gap; connection to the	between the research gap and the research	
Communication and EffectivenessDistribution using figures and tables in 2-3 minutesClear delivery but 	•	analysis/modeling effectively demonstrates research	research objectives is limited with	analysis/modeling related to research	
Poster Designand enhances contentbetter explanationovershadows contentProfessional ConductHighly enthusiastic and answers all questionsShows enthusiasm but struggles withLimited enthusiasm and inadequate	Communication	using figures and	•	ineffective use of	
Professional Description   Conduct answers all questions   but struggles with and inadequate	Poster Design		-	Ū.	
effectively questions responses	Professional Conduct	6.			

Total

## **Poster Presentation Rubric – Proficient**

Poster #

CRITERIA	Excellent (5 – 4)	Good (3 – 2)	Average (1-0)	Points
Research focus	The hypothesis was clearly articulated demonstrating how the research addresses the problem along with research outcomes	The purpose of the hypothesis was identified, but the connection to the research outcomes is unclear	The hypothesis lacks clarity and does not effectively relate to the research outcomes	
Scholarly Context	Provided effective solutions to address the identified research gap, using appropriate theory and modeling	Some solutions were offered, limited effectiveness and theoretical support	Vague or poorly developed solutions, with little theoretical backing	
Analysis and Interpretation	Sufficient evidence supporting research outcomes and clear impact on the research area	Somewhat unclear evidence provided; mentions improvements	Limited evidence to conclude research outcomes; minimal articulation of improvements	
Presentation Communication and Effectiveness	Succinct, clear delivery using figures and tables in 2-3 minutes	Clear delivery but some clarity issues	Unclear delivery, ineffective use of visuals	
Poster Design	Clear, self-explanatory, and enhances content	Mostly clear; needs better explanation	Cluttered and design overshadows content	
Professional Conduct	Highly enthusiastic and answers all questions effectively	Shows enthusiasm but struggles with questions	Limited enthusiasm and inadequate responses	

Total