QUESTION: Is SEAS being deployed successfully?

Table 1: Student Early Alert System (SEAS) End-of-Term Fall 2018 (COURSE LEVEL Univariate) 565 class sections, 312 instructors (unique headcount) & 9,087 students (unique headcount, 81.5% of census UG degree bound students)

			% students				% students
College/Department	Section cou	nts	at risk		enrolled	at risk	at risk
Total	565	100%	22.1%	Mean # of students per class	29.9	5.8	22.1%
Business	99	17.5%	19.8%				
Education	49	8.7%	18.0%	# Students on Census in S	9,087		
Engineering	63	11.2%	23.6%	% of all s	57.6%		
Fine Arts	36	6.4%	17.3%	% all degree bound students on fall census:			65.3%
Health Professions	71	12.6%	16.6%	% undergraduate degree bound on fall census:			81.5%
LAS Humanities	86	15.2%	27.4%				
LAS Natural Sciences & Math	50	8.8%	32.9%				
LAS Social Sciences	101	17.9%	18.2%				
LAS Other	7	1.2%	67.6%				
Other College Units (Honors/IIC)	3	0.5%	11.4%				
(see SEAS College Division Participa	tion Report fo	r departme	ent counts)				

			% students				% students	
Class Dimensions:	Section C	ounts	at risk	Class Dimensions:	Section Co	ounts	at risk	
Course number group:	100%			Class Type:	100%		-	
0 thru 99	7	1.2%	56.9%	Lecture	489	87%	22.2%	
100 thru 199	158	28.0%	23.1%	Lab	25	4.4%	15.7%	
200 thru 299	95	16.8%	24.2%	Experiential	16	2.8%	17.9%	
300 thru 399	132	23.4%	21.1%	Activity Course	15	2.7%	20.1%	
400 thru 499	72	12.7%	16.0%	Seminar	13	2.3%	28.7%	
500 thru 599	31	5.5%	22.3%	Other	7	1.2%	22.3%	
600 thru 699	38	6.7%	21.6%	Delivery Method:		100%		
700 thru 799	13	2.3%	15.1%	HYB Hybrid	52	9.2%	17.5%	
800 thru 899	19	3.4%	25.3%	HYO Hybrid Online	3	0.5%	low count	
900 thru 999	0	0.0%		IIE Internet Only	155	27.4%	21.5%	
Time of day:		100%		TCI Traditional Classroom	355	62.8%	22.9%	
morning	220	38.9%	21.8%	General Education:		100%		
afternoon	107	18.9%	20.8%	non Gen Ed	415	75.9%	22.3%	
evening	72	12.7%	23.6%	Gen Ed Introduction	82	15.0%	22.5%	
arranged	166	29.4%	22.7%	Gen Ed Further Study	50	9.1%	23.4%	
Meetings per week:		100%		Gen Ed I & P	18	3.3%	12.0%	
meets 1 weekday	96	25.0%	17.4%	Basic skills:		100%		
meets 2 weekdays	256	66.7%	20.9%	Basic Skills crs	67	11.9%	20.2%	
meets 3 weekdays	23	6.0%	37.6%	Non Basic Skills crs	498	88.1%	22.3%	
meets daily	9	2.3%	53.6%	Instructor Type:		100%		
Day of class:		100%		Faculty	385	68.1%	22.1%	
Monday only	24	6.0%	20.1%	Lecturer	61	10.8%	16.4%	
Tuesday only	24	6%	13.5%	GTA	77	13.6%	25.3%	
Wednesday only	14	4%	14.9%	Unclassified	42	7.4%	24.1%	
Thursday only	25	6%	18.5%					
Mon & Wed	115	29%	23.3%	-				
Tues & Thur	141	35%	18.9%					
Mon, Wed, Fri	19	5%	36.8%	_				
other	37	9%	22.1%					

Summary: With 81.5% of all degree bound undergraduate students in a SEAS participating class, deployment of SEAS is exceeding expectations, especially given this is a voluntary commitment by faculty. Review of the class dimensions reflect participation in nearly every level of measurement from across colleges, course levels, time and day of week, class types and methods, general education and basic skills and instructor type. A notable increase this year was an increase in GTAs who now are equivalent to lecturers in participating SEAS classes, especially important given the concentration of GTAs in lower level course offerings.



QUESTION: Is there a relationship between SEAS risk and at-risk populations and does SEAS change behavior?

Table 2: Student Early Alert System (SEAS) End-of-Term Fall 2018 (STUDENT LEVEL Bivariate) (sample: unique count 9,087 students in SEAS participating classes; source: end of term data from BIPMS SS_SEAS)

All Students in SEAS courses at end of term (includes undergraduate and graduate)

					of all	of those	% removed from	1
					eligible	marked	at-risk after	
total students	not at-risk	at-risk	% at-risk	Risk type:	SEAS stds	at-risk	notification	
9,087	6,819	2,268	25.0%	attendance	8.5%	33.2%	30.4%	
65.3% of Fall 2018 cens	us degree boun	d students (U	G & GR)	participation	7.6%	29.8%	33.3%	
8,505	6,326	2,179	25.6%	assignments	15.0%	58.5%	25.2%	
81.5% of Fall 2018 cens	us degree boun	d students ur	dergraduate	es exams	17.3%	67.5%	35.5%	
students** who withdra	aw after at-risk	notification:			num at-risk	dimensions	per student marl	ked at-risk:
num withdrew f	rom course after	r notification	513	(23.5% of at-risk) num	risk type cnt	100%	cuml %	
of withdrawa	ls % within 1 we	ek of at-risk	50.3%		1	54.2%	54%	
of withdrawa	ls % within 2 we	ek of at-risk	15.2%		2	22.2%	76%	
of withdrawa	ls % within 3 we	ek of at-risk	34.5%		3	10.9%	87%	
					4	12.8%	100%	

Bivariate Comparison of <u>Undergraduates</u> degree bound in SEAS Participating Classes

CAUTION-- differences are impacted by course selectivity bias

CAUTION differences ar	re impacted by	course sele	ectivity bias					
			not at-				not at-	
	Dimension:	All UG	risk*	at-risk1*	Dimension:	All UG	risk1*	at-risk1*
unique	head counts	8,505	6,326	2,179	Academic performance:			
		100%	74.4%	25.6%	cumulative hours	83.3	85.1a	78.8b
Ма	ijor type:	100%	100%	100%	cumulative gpa	3.08	3.22a	2.66b^
Bachelor of	degree major	84.7%	84.8%a	84.4%a	WSU gpa	3.00	3.19a	2.44b^
Bachel	or field major	0.9%	0.8%a	1.1%a	transfer gpa	3.28	3.35a	3.06b
Bachelor ge	neral studies	2.4%	2.3%a	2.8%a	end-of term gpa	2.87	3.16a	2.04b^
	Pre Major	11.9%	12.1%a	11.6%a	% cumulative gpa <2.00	5.1%	2.7%a	12.3%b^
					WSU gpa <2.00	9.8%	5.0%a	23.5%b^
% Unde	ecided Major	3.2%	3.0%a	3.8%a	% current probation	8.1%	4.5%a	18.6%b^
	_				% with probation history	20.8%	14.6%a	38.8%b^
Stude	nt class:	100%	100%	100%				
	freshmen	15.4%	14.4%a	18.3%b^	Performance scores (means):			
	sophomore	18.4%	19.0%a	16.5%b^	ACT(incl SAT)	23.1	23.4a	22.1b
	junior	23.5%	23.2%a	24.4%a	incoming academic ability**	41.7	45.2a	31.2b
	senior	42.7%	43.3%a	40.9%b	probability on probation 1st year	17.6%	18.0a	16.5a
	new student	29.9%	30.4%a	28.4%a	High School gpa or application gpa	3.40	3.45a	3.27b
					High School percentile	67.6	69.4a	62.2b
Demog	raphics:				remedial need	35.4%	33.6%a	40.7%b
age in y	years (mean)	22.7	22.6a	23.0b				
	% female	52.8%	54.0%a	49.2%b	SSC Degree Completion & Risk:			
% under-represent	ed minority**	20.0%	18.6%a	23.9%b	SSC graduation probability	52.7%	55.4%a	44.7%b
	Residency:	100%	100%	100%				
	resident	82%	82.7%a	81.0%a	Degree completion low risk	35.0%	38.5%a	24.7%b^
	non-resident	11.0%	11.4%a	10.0%a	Degree completion moderate risk	37.2%	37.7%a	35.9%a
	international	6.7%	5.9%a	9.0%b	Degree completion high risk	27.8%	23.8%a	39.4%b^
% fir	st generation	44.9%	44.1%a	47.1%b				
% family income <= 125	. ,	15.7%	14.3%a	19.6%b				
% or	n financial aid	79.3%	81.1%a	73.9%b				
% in unive	rsity housing	13.2%	13.6%a	12.0%a				

^{*} Values in the same row not sharing the same subscript (a or b) are significantly different at p< .05 level; **bold** values with ^ are meaningfully significant at moderate or higher level.

Summary: While there are few statistically significant differences between at-risk and non-risk students among academic profiles and demographic measures, there are several academic performance measures where at-risk students are performing below non-risk students. These findings support the assumption that SEAS risk behavior dimensions (attendance, participation, assignments, exams/quizzes) are correlated with behavior that increases the odds of being academically at-risk. The data also supports the belief that informing students of their behavior risk during the semester can cause students to modify their behavior to reduce risk.



^{**} under-represented minority includes American Indian/Alaskan Native, Black non-Hispanic, Hawaiian & Hispanic; incoming academic ability is a standardized composite of HS gpa, HS percentile and ACT/SAT (0-100 lower scores the greater likelihood of academic failure); low income is defined as total family income (2017 dollars, cpi) at or below 125% of the poverty threshold based on family size.

QUESTION: Does SEAS behavioral risk activity have an independent impact on performance outcomes net of controls?

Table 3: Student Early Alert System (SEAS) End-of-Term Fall 2018 (Multi-variate Analysis)

Course-level analysis (OLS regression) regressing predictors on course grade gpa outcome (dependent variable = course grade gpa 0 - 4) among undergraduate degree seeking SEAS students.

				share of
Predictors (predicting end of term class gpa)	unstd beta	std beta	sig.	unique
SEAS Risk dimensions:				
attendance risk (0,1)	-0.233	-0.076	0.000	4.0%
Demographics:				
age in years	n/a (stude	ent earned hours	is proxy)	
female (0,1)	0.114	0.043	0.013	1.2%
under-represented minority* (0,1)		not significant		
first generation (0,1)		not significant		
low income <= 125% of poverty (0,1)	-0.132	-0.038	0.023	1.0%
international (0,1)		not significant		
university housing (0,1)	0.229	0.053	0.003	1.7%
Academic status:				
enrolled full-time (0,1)		not significant		
cumulative earned hours (student class proxy)	0.006	0.209	0.000	21.1%
student is college division major (0,1)	0.173	0.062	0.000	2.3%
undecided major (0,1)		not significant		
Performance & entering academic ability:				
history of probation	-0.936	-0.349	0.000	68.7%
incoming academic ability composite*		not significant		
Rsq	0.243		0.000	

Summary: The above OLS regression shows that class attendance issues have a negative independent impact on endof-term gpa net of controls. These findings lend support to the argument that SEAS dimensions not only correlate with negative academic performance but that SEAS dimensions can have an important negative consequences on performance outcomes.

Student-level analysis (logistic regression) regressing predictors on SEAS risk indicator (dependent variable = SEAS risk 0,1 where 1=risk) among undergraduate degree seeking SEAS students.

Predictors (predicting at-risk student)	beta	sig.	odds of risk	% of risk
Demographics:				
age in years	n/a (stud	ent earned hou	rs is proxy)	
female (0,1)		not significan	t	
under-represented minority* (0,1)		not significan	t	
first generation (0,1)				
low income <= 125% of poverty (0,1)	0.206	0.005	1.229	23% more likely
international (0,1)		not significan	t	
university housing (0,1)		not significan	t	
Academic status:				
enrolled full-time (0,1)	-0.297	0.000	0.743	26% less likely
cumulative earned hours (student class proxy)		not significan	t	
undecided major (0,1)		not significan	t	
Performance & entering academic ability:				
history of probation	0.258	0.001	1.294	30% more likely
incoming academic ability composite*	-0.035	0.033	0.966	3% less likely per incre

^{*} Under-represented minority includes Black non-Hispanic, Hispanic, American Indian, Alaskan Native & Hawaiian; incoming academic ability is a standardized composite of application gpa and high school percentile (ACT/SAT has no significance).

