

Final Report of the Fairmount College Council

2020-2021

Submitted by Jeff Hayton

Members of the Fairmount College Council, 2020-2021

Department of Anthropology

Donald Blakeslee

Department of Biology

James Beck

Department of Chemistry

Katie Mitchell-Koch

Subcommittee Chair

Elliot School of Communication

Ian Tennant

School of Criminal Justice

Martha Smith

Department of English

Darren DeFrain

Department of Geology

William Bischoff

Department of History

Jeff Hayton

Chair

Intensive English Language Center

Aimee Leisy

**Department of Mathematics, Statistics,
and Physics – *Mathematics***

Lop-Hing Ho

**Department of Mathematics, Statistics,
and Physics – *Physics***

Nick Solomey

**Department of Modern and Classical
Languages and Literatures**

Enrique Navarro

Subcommittee Chair

Department of Philosophy

Brian Hepburn

Secretary

Department of Political Science

Brian Amos

Department of Psychology

Carryl Baldwin

Subcommittee Chair

Hugo Wall School of Public Affairs

Xiaoheng Wang

School of Social Work

Dasha Shamrova

Department of Sociology

Chase Billingham (Fall)

Chuck Koeber (Spring)

Department of Women's

Deborah Gordon

We would like to express our thanks to all members of the College Council for their commitment to the Fairmount College and its mission for shared governance; we also would like to recognize Dean Andrew Hippisley and Senior Assistant Dean Cheryl Miller for their continued support of the College Council. In this report, I would like to provide a brief summary of the College Council's work during the past academic year (2020-2021) which was concerned primarily with four main issues:

Dean's Evaluation

The College Council is charged with conducting an evaluation of the dean every three years. In Fall 2020, a subcommittee was formed to administer the evaluation survey. Out of 210 faculty who were invited to participate in the evaluation, 103 responded (49.04% response rate), and 58 offered comments. Results were forwarded to the Dean and Provost Office.

LAS Graduation Requirements – LAS Graduation Comparisons

As part of the effort to revisit LAS graduation requirements, the College Council formed a subcommittee to investigate requirements at similar institutions. The subcommittee analyzed the requirements of 13 institutions (peers, aspirational, and Kansas schools). The subcommittee aggregated the data and presented its findings to the College Council in October 2020.

LAS Graduation Requirements – Faculty Survey

As part of the effort to revisit LAS graduation requirements, the College Council formed a subcommittee to poll LAS faculty on their perceptions of LAS graduation requirements in March 2021. Out of 197 faculty invited to participate in the survey, 79 responded (40.1% response rate). The questions asked faculty to assess whether LAS graduation requirements effectively taught students core LAS skills (critical thinking, interpreting information, communicating orally and in writing, problem solving, etc.). The responses show that LAS faculty are generally satisfied with the requirements, although it seems there is room for improvement, especially related to writing skills. Survey results also revealed that faculty are less satisfied with college-wide efforts at informing students about the value of an LAS education, and student understandings of these values.

LAS Graduation Requirements – Committee

As part of the effort to revisit LAS graduation requirements, six members of the College Council participated in bi-weekly meetings in the task force exploring requirement revisions.