Program Review Self-Study Master of Public Administration Hugo Wall School of Public Affairs

| Academic unit: <u>Hugo Wall School of Public Affa</u> | <u>airs</u> |
|---|---------------------------|
| College: Fairmount College of Liberal Arts and | <u>Sciences</u> |
| Date of last review | 2010 |
| Date of last accreditation report (if relevant) | <u>2009</u> |
| List all degrees described in this report (add line | es as necessary) |
| Degree: Master of Public Administration (MPA) | CIP* code: <u>44.0401</u> |
| | |
| | |
| Faculty of the academic unit | a. a a |
| Name | Signature |
| Professor H. Edward Flentje | Mense |
| Professor Mark Glaser Mark A | Deagh |
| Regents Distinguished Professor Ken Kriz | but C. K' |
| Associate Professor Nancy McCarthy Snyder | Manay be ally Just |
| Associate Professor Arwiphawee Srithongrung | Sur 10 m |
| Associate Professor Melissa Walker γ | Jelia Well |
| Professor Samuel J. Yeager, III | muld leave THE |
| Trotessor samuers. reager, in | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Submitted by: Nancy McCarthy Snyder, Director | Date 31 March, 2014 |
| (name and title) | |
| | |

| 1. | Departmental purpose and relationship to the University mission (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section). |
|-----------|--|
| a. | University Mission: |
| | ission of Wichita State University is to be an essential educational, cultural, and economic driver f s and the greater public good. |
| b. | Program Mission: |
| | The mission of the Hugo Wall School of Public Affairs is to advance excellence in public service through integrated instruction, research and community service. |
| c. | The role of the program (s) and relationship to the University mission. The Hugo Wall School is instrumental to fulfillment of the WSU's mission. The academic program features a synthesis of rigorous theory and real-world application. The curriculum is designed to prepare students for professional careers and positions of leadership in public and nonprofit organizations. Faculty and staff conduct research and actively work with state and local government and nonprofit organizations to improve their effectiveness. As a result, MPA students gain access to theoretical foundations, timely classroom examples, real-world project assignments and interaction with a variety of high-level public and nonprofit officials. These efforts directly enhance students' leadership and management skills and perspectives, and ultimately, career opportunities. Those careers in turn contribute to improvement in the quality of life in communities throughout the state and beyond. |
| d. | Has the mission of the Program (s) changed since last review? Yes No The mission was reviewed and revised in 2013 as part of WSU's strategic planning. Wording was made more concise, but the substance of the mission did not change. |
| e. | Provide an overall description of your program including a list of the measurable goals and objectives of the <u>program</u> (s) (programmatic). Have they changed since the last review? Yes No |
| | The Master of Public Administration degree program is fully integrated with the applied research, professional development and technical assistance mission of the Hugo Wall School of Public Affairs. The School is named for Dr. Hugo Wall (1901-1975) who served Wichita State University in a number of roles and who was a pioneer in promoting professional administration in government and in advocating the role of higher education in engaging with units of |

Today the Hugo Wall School continues that heritage through the Master of Public Administration degree, the Center for Urban Studies, the Kansas Public Finance Center and the Federal Region 7 Environmental Finance Center. Specifically, the School

government to address public problems. His vision was of an interdisciplinary unit that would

link the University to the community.

- Prepares individuals for positions of leadership and responsibility in public and nonprofit organizations through the MPA and other professional development offerings
- Expands and disseminates knowledge in the areas of public management,
 public finance and public policy to audiences of academics, professionals and
 practitioners in government and nonprofit organizations
- Engages communities in ways that serve the public good

In FY 2014 the Hugo Wall School has 6.5 FTE faculty. In addition, the school employs eight professional staff members (7.75 FTE) and two full-time support staff, all of whom interact with students on a regular basis. HWS offers one degree: the Master of Public Administration. It also has four graduate certificates in city & county management, nonprofit management (which includes an elective course in public history), public finance, and economic development (which includes a course in Real Estate). Several of the core courses are cross-listed with other departments including economics, political science and finance.

The Hugo Wall School is currently at the limits of available space. Future growth will require consideration of alternative arrangements. The school has a need for enhanced conferencing capacity and classroom technology upgrades. There are no other pressing equipment needs.

Educational Program Objectives:

The current assessment plan for the MPA lists the following objectives:

- 1. To provide students with a high level of understanding of core concepts in the field of public administration. Specific concepts are listed in section 3 of this report.
- 2. To give students who wish to specialize, the opportunity to obtain a graduate certificate in selected topics.
- 3. To prepare students for interviews with prospective employers by conducting mock interviews.
- 4. To place students in appropriate internships within local, state, and federal governments.
- 5. To assist students in finding professional positions in state and local government.

Progress toward achievement of these goals is monitored in accordance with the assessment plan approved by WSU's Graduate School and discussed in more detail in section 3 of this report.

2. Describe the quality of the program as assessed by the strengths, productivity, and qualifications of the faculty in terms of SCH, majors, graduates, and scholarly/creative activity (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section).

The Hugo Wall School is unique at Wichita State University in that one-quarter of each faculty member's time is budgeted to the School's Centers which conduct applied research and provide consultation, technical assistance and professional development for state and local governments, professional organizations and nonprofit organizations. The academic model that integrates community engagement with teaching and research is a major strength of the Hugo Wall School. Research and technical assistance conducted by faculty inform classroom instruction, ensure curricular relevance and expose graduate students to rigorous applied research and program evaluation. Technical assistance and professional development offered through the Centers are based on the latest academic research and apply best practice in public and nonprofit management to maximize impact and solve community problems. Participation in Center activities keeps faculty attuned to the emerging issues in the community and exposed to emerging research questions. Professional development offerings focus on training local government and nonprofit practitioners to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of their organizations. Contacts made and fostered through research and technical assistance aid in the placement of interns and graduates, as well as in student recruitment.

MPA faculty are very highly qualified. All faculty members hold doctorates in fields related to public administration, as well as having significant professional experience in state and local government or nonprofit organizations. All faculty have full standing as members of the graduate faculty. The Hugo Wall School began the reporting period for this report with only 4.5 faculty. This number reflects two faculty vacancies and one faculty member on phased retirement. During the period another faculty member was on medical leave for 6 months. As a result, faculty research productivity was lower than normal in 2011 and 2012. In fall 2013, the vacant positions were filled. The table below reflects the associated increase in scholarly productivity. This level is expected to continue and to increase.

| Number Articles | Journal | | | Confere | nce | No. Books | No. Book Chaps. | No. Grants Awarded or Submitted | \$ Grant Value |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---|---|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| Ref | Non-Ref | Ref | Non- Ref | Ref | Non-Ref | | | | |
| 1 2 | | 1 | 2 | | | 1 | 2 | | \$349,946 |
| 2 | | | 3 | 1 | | | • | | \$556,283 |
| 5 | | - 4 | 17 | 1 | | | | | \$834,666 |
| | Articles | Ref Non-Ref | Articles Number Present Ref Non-Ref Ref 2 1 | Articles Number Presentations Ref Non-Ref Ref Non-Ref 2 1 2 2 3 3 | Number Journal Number Presentations Proceedical | Articles Number Presentations Conference Proceedings Ref Non-Ref Ref Non-Ref 2 1 2 2 2 3 1 | Number Journal Articles | Number Journal Articles Number Presentations Proceedings Books Book Chaps. | Number Journal Articles Number Presentations Non-Ref Non-Ref |

Faculty have published in high quality academic journals and presented scholarly work at a large number of national and international professional conferences including the American Society for Public Administration, the International City/County Management Association, , the Association for Budgeting and Financial Management. In addition to the work reflected in the table, Hugo Wall

School faculty are performing distinguished service for academic and professional journals in the field of public administration. Faculty members have served as editors and editorial board members for ten national and international journals and as reviewers for many journals.

The total number of students, graduates and credit hours in the MPA program have been stable over the past decade averaging 76 majors, 18-20 graduates and 960-970 SCH. All MPA coursework is offered after 4:00 pm with all core courses offered at night. A majority of the students are part-time. Students in the MPA have regular contact with professional public and nonprofit managers through guest speakerships and face-to-face social networking opportunities. The overall placement rates for graduates and career advancement of in-service students are very high.

A significant measure of program quality is its rating by national peers. Overall, the MPA is ranked in the top third of all MPAs by peers in rankings published by *U.S. News and World Report*.

The MPA ranks low in the number of credit hours generated per faculty FTE compared to Fairmount College and University averages. This reflects the full responsibilities of HWS faculty which differ from those of faculty in most academic departments because of the unique mission and history of the School. The program does not have an undergraduate degree and teaching loads are commensurate with the emphasis on graduate education. One-quarter of each faculty member's time is budgeted for community service, a form of scholarship that synthesizes and applies knowledge and is particularly appropriate for the mission of Wichita State University. The faculty are encouraged to blend teaching, research, and community service and to bridge the divide between theory and practice. This approach has immediate implications for the community, the classroom, and strengthens the bonds between the university and community. In this case, the community served includes, but extends well beyond, the Wichita-Sedgwick County area.

An important element of faculty and staff productivity is the level of contract research and service performed. The Hugo Wall School through the Center for Urban Studies, the Kansas Public Finance Center and the Region 7 Environmental Finance Center contracts with individual units of government and community agencies for applied research on citizen opinion, economic impacts, managerial effectiveness, public finance, asset management, governance and policy evaluation. These activities commonly produce professional products for the client, feed classroom instruction, and in some cases become the empirical evidence in support of academic/professional publication. This work of the School has grown significantly over the past three years, from \$350,000 in 2011 to \$835,000 in 2013. In 2013, the Hugo Wall School provided over 15,000 contact hours of non-degree professional development in 10 states. This growth is the result of a history of high quality work fostered by the integrated model of instruction, research and community service. As financial support for higher education shifts away from state funding, the need to generate revenue will continue to grow. The HWS has demonstrated an effective means of revenue generation that serves its mission and that of WSU. The contract work of the school provides learning opportunities for students that enhance employment preparation, as well as benefit constituent communities with useful information and problem solving based on rigorous research and analysis.

Office of Planning and Analysis (OPA)

Page 1 of 8 (11/25/2013)

Program: Public Administration

Department: Public Admin College: LAS Social Sciences WSU Program Review Appendix

Tables: 1 through 7 provide data for Section 2 of the Program Review Self Study Template. Table 1: Fiscal Year Summation of Student Credit Hour (SCH) Production

Rolling 5 FY average Fiscal Year (summer-fall-spring sequence) 2009 2010 891 0 0 0 507 327 0 300-299 300-499 500-699 700-799 800-899 Course level:

note: SCH of all enrolled department offerings summated by FY for each census day; in some cases department level SCH includes entire department offerings.

Table 2: Student Credit Hour (SCH) Production at Fall Census Day

| | | | | Year | Year of Fall Census Day | Day | | | Rolli | Rolling 5 year average | age |
|---|--------------|--------------|-----------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|
| Course level: | • | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| | Total | 549 | 432 | 417 | 513 | 474 | 576 | 444 | 477 | 482 | 485 |
| | 100-299 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 300-499 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 500-699 | 135 | 36 | 45 | 72 | 45 | 22 | 0 | 49 | વે | 4 |
| 02 | 700-799 | 300 | 300 | 258 | 333 | 282 | 369 | 339 | 295 | 308 | 316 |
| 08 | 800-899 | 114 | 96 | 114 | 108 | 147 | 150 | 105 | 116 | 123 | 125 |
| | 666-006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| note: SCH of all enrolled department offerings at Fall census day | nent offerir | os at Fall o | ensus day | | | | | | | | |

Table 3: Student Credit Hour (SCH) Production among Department Instructional Faculty on November Employee Census Day (entire term SCH)

| | | | | Year of No | Year of November Census Day | ius Day | | | Rolli | Rolling 5 year average | rage |
|----------------|---|------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|--------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Employee type: | ype: | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| | Program total | n/a | n/a | 456 | 561 | 474 | 576 | 444 | n/a | n/a | 502 |
| | Tenure eligible faculty | u/a | n/a | 426 | 492 | 399 | 431 | 390 | n/a | n/a | 428 |
| | Non-tenure eligible faculty | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | ¢ | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| | Lecturers | n/a | n/a | 30 | 69 | 75 | 146 | 54 | n/a | n/a | 75 |
| | | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| 100 | Unclassified professional | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 10 10 10 10 10 |
| | Classified staff | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| | GSA, GRA, UG std | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| note: faculty | note: faculty/staff with active class assignments and employment at | nments and | employment a | _ | reeze.; emplo; | ree type base | lovember freeze,; employee type based on eds and egrp matrix | egrp matrix. | | | |

Table 4: Instructional FTE Employed on November 1st Census Day

| | | | Year of No | of November Census Day | s Day | | | Rolli | Rolling 5 year average | rage |
|--|---------|-------------|----------------|--|------------|------|--------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Employee type: | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| Program total | n/a | n/a | 8.0 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 7.0 | n/a | n/a | 8 |
| Tenure eligible faculty | n/a | e/u | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | n/a | n/a | 9 |
| Non-tenure eligible faculty | n/a | n/a | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | n/a | n/a | O |
| Lecturers | n/a | 0/8 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | E/U | e A | 2 |
| GTA | n/a | n/a | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Unclassified professional | n/a | n/a | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Classified staff | n/a | n/a | 0.0 | 0:0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| GSA, GRA, UG std | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| note: active amployment nositions at Nov | emher 1 | reeze emplo | vee tyne based | st freeze: employee tyne based on ecls and egrp matrix. Te o | arp matrix | Ξ | pased on 80 hour l | oi-week appoi | ointment: | |

employee type based on ecls and egrp matrix; KBOR minima for faculty (TTF) 3 for UG, plus 3 for masters, plus 2 for doctoral.



WSU Program Review Appendix College: LAS Social Sciences Department: Public Admin

Program: Public Administration

Table 5a: Student Credit Hour (SCH) by FTE for University Instructional Faculty on November 1st Census Day

| | 1 | | Year of No | rear of November Census Day | us Day | • | | Rolli | Rolling 5 year average | rage |
|---|----------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Employee type: | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| (University level) Total | n/a | n/a | 225 | 247 | 236 | 231 | 222 | n/a | n/a | 232 |
| Tenure eligible faculty | n/a | e/u | 214 | 240 | 228 | 216 | 194 | n/a | n/a | 219 |
| Non-tenure eligible faculty | n/a | n/a | 298 | 330 | 301 | 286 | 290 | n/a | n/a | 301 |
| Lecturers | n/a | n/a | 280 | 287 | 274 | 269 | 295 | n/a | n/a | 281 |
| GTA | n/a | n/a | 190 | 201 | 214 | 210 | 204 | n/a | n/a | |
| Unclassified professional | n/a | n/a | 116 | 121 | 106 | 149 | 121 | n/a | n/a | 123 |
| Classified staff | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | n/a | n/a | က |
| GSA, GRA, UG std | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| note: active employment notitions at Nove | mbor 1ct | volume . execut | asch anyt as | And also and | employee type based on acts and earn matrix instructional defined as active course enrollment | netriichonal | defined as ac | five course or | rollmant | |

note: active employment positions at November 1st freeze.; employee type based on ects and egrp matrix; instructional defined as active course enrollment.

| Table 5b: Student Gredit Hour (SCH) by | FTE 1 | or College Division | n Instruction | Instructional Faculty on November 1st Census Day | n November | 1st Census | Day | | | |
|---|------------|---------------------|---------------|--|----------------|-----------------|---------------|--|------------------------|-----------|
| | | | Year of No | Year of November Census Day | us Day | | | Rolli | Rolling 5 year average | rage |
| Employee type: | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| (College Division level) Total | n/a | n/a | 241 | 255 | 249 | 256 | 238 | n/a | n/a | 248 |
| Tenure eligible faculty | n/a | n/a | 220 | 245 | 232 | 252 | 189 | n/a | n/a | 228 |
| Non-tenure eligible faculty | n/a | n/a | 251 | 263 | 251 | 244 | 284 | n/a | n/a | 259 |
| Lecturers | n/a | n/a | 327 | 367 | 353 | 313 | 335 | n'a | n/a | 339 |
| GTA | n/a | n/a | 266 | 242 | 265 | 265 | 295 | n/a | n/a | 267 |
| Unclassified professional | n/a | n/a | 149 | 148 | 109 | 66 | 119 | n/a | n/a | 125 |
| Classified staff | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| GSA, GRA, UG std | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n'a | 0 |
| note: active employment positions at Nove | mber 1st f | reeze.; employe | e type base | employee type based on ecls and egrp matrix; | egrp matrix; i | instructional o | lefined as ac | ructional defined as active course enrollmer | rollment. | |

Table 5c: Student Credit Hour (SCH) by FTE for <u>Program</u> Instructional Faculty on November 1st Census Day

| | | | Year of No | Year of November Census Day | us Day | | | = 2 2 | Rolling 5 year average | rage |
|--|-----------|----------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Employee type: | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| (Program level) Total | n/a | n/a | 57 | 62 | 89 | 64 | 63 | n/a | n/a | 63 |
| Tenure eligible faculty | n/a | n/a | 61 | 82 | 80 | 86 | 78 | n/a | n/a | 77 |
| Non-tenure eligible faculty | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Lecturers | n/a | n/a | 30 | 23 | 38 | 36 | 27 | n/a | n/a | 31 |
| GTA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Unclassified professional | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Classified staff | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| GSA, GRA, UG std | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | e/u | n/a | e/u | 0.331.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43. |
| note: active employment positions at Nov | ember 1st | freeze.: emplo | employee type based on ecls and earp matrix. | d on ecls and | earo matrix: | instructional (| lefined as ac | defined as active course enrollment | rollment. | |



WSU Program Review Appendix College: LAS Social Sciences Department: Public Admin

Program: Public Administration

Table 6: Program Majors (including double majors) on Fall Census Day

| • | | • | | Year of | Year of Fall Census Day | Jay | | | Rolli | Rolling 5 year average | age |
|---------------|--------------|------|------|---------|-------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|
| Student Class | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| | Total | 84 | 73 | 89 | 81 | 74 | 88 | 71 | 76 | 17 | 9/ |
| | freshmen | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | sophomore | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - | junior | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | senior | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Q. | 0 |
| : | masters | 84 | 73 | 89 | 81 | 74 | 88 | 71 | 76 | 77 | 92 |
| | post masters | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Q |
| | doctoral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

note: majors include all active program matching majors among 4 possible major codes; other includes guest or non degree students; KBOR minima 25 UG, 20 GR masters and 5 GR doctoral.

Table 7: Degree Production by Fiscal Year

| - 20.82 | וממוכיי מכפוכבי וממממיים אלי ומכמו יכמו | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|------|------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|------|------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| • | • | | Ρίs | scal Year (sun | nmer-fall-sprir | ig sequence) | | | Roll | ling 5 FY aver | age |
| Degree level: | | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 | 2009-2013 |
| | Total | 30 | 26 | 41 | 15 | 16 | 28 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 18 |
| | Doctoral | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Masters | 30 | 26 | 4 | 15 | 16 | 28 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 18 |
| | Bachelor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ,0 | 0 | , 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Associate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

note: includes all active program matching majors among 4 possible major codes; KBOR minima 10 UG, 5 GR masters & 2 GR doctoral.



- 3. Academic Program: Analyze the quality of the program as assessed by its curriculum and impact on students for each program (if more than one). Attach updated program assessment plan (s) as an appendix (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information).
- a. For undergraduate programs, compare ACT scores of the majors with the University as a whole. (Evaluate table 8 [ACT data] from the Office of Planning and Analysis).
 N/A
- b. For graduate programs, compare graduate GPAs of the majors with University graduate GPAs. (Evaluate table 9 [GPA data] from the Office of Planning and Analysis)

| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| University GPA | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| MPA degree GPA | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| MPA Major Count | 48 | 51 | 35 |
| Reporting GPA | 40 | 45 | 32 |
| Percent Reporting | 83.3% | 88.2% | 91.4% |

Students admitted to the Master of Public Administration degree program have undergraduate GPAs that either match or nearly match those all WSU graduate degree candidates including doctoral programs in all 3 years. Students obtaining undergraduate degrees overseas are not included in calculating GPAs for students admitted to the program.

c. Identify the principal learning outcomes (i.e., what skills does your Program expect students to graduate with). Provide aggregate data on how students are meeting those outcomes in the table below. Data should relate to the goals and objectives of the program as listed in 1e. Provide an analysis and evaluation of the data by learner outcome with proposed actions based on the results.

The Master of Public Administration requires that students complete 39 semester credit hours of graduate level instruction. The curriculum is designed to prepare students for positions of responsibility in public and nonprofit organizations. Students gain exposure to the methods and perspectives of the social and behavioral sciences, economics and the humanities. The link between these disciplines and the content of public management is emphasized through access to faculty continually engaged in outreach activities, participation in policy-relevant research assignments, interaction with practitioners in various settings, and internships. Students' oral and written communication skills are enhanced through classroom assignments. The teaching faculty can introduce relevant perspectives on public management into the classroom given their significant professional experience in state and local governments and nonprofit

organizations in Kansas and elsewhere. As a result, students gain a realistic view and understanding of professional management of public and nonprofit services.

The program is designed to meet the needs of both pre-service and in-service students. Coursework is offered at night. All core courses are offered annually and electives are offered either every year or every other year.

The MPA, like all graduate programs at WSU, and all public administration programs accredited by the Network of Schools of Public Policy, Affairs and Administration (NASPAA), has an assessment plan on which it reports annually. The plan calls for evaluating student learning by monitoring student mastery of specified key concepts in public administration in each core course, monitoring course offerings, and tracking student job placement. The assessment plan and annual assessment reports are available on WSU's assessment website. The current plan includes the following specific program objectives and assessment methods:

Educational Program Objectives:

- To provide students with a high level of understanding of core concepts in the field of public administration.
- To give students who wish to specialize, the opportunity to obtain a Graduate Certificate in selected topics.
- To prepare students for interviews with prospective employers by conducting mock interviews
- To place students in appropriate internships within local, state, and federal governments.
- To assist students in finding professional positions in state and local government.

Program Assessment Methods:

- Assessment of the quality of students understanding of key concepts in public administration is based on students' performance in the eight core courses that are required of all students. Table A below shows the specific student learning objective and measure for each of these courses.
- Monitor the number of students attaining each of the Graduate Certificates.
- Monitor the number of students participating in mock interviews and student internship placement.
- Monitor the number of graduates finding jobs within 12 months of graduation.
- Collect and report data annually to the Graduate School, the Dean of Fairmount College and the faculty of the MPA.

Feedback Loop:

The public administration faculty meet frequently to discuss programmatic issues and revisions. The faculty meet at the end of each semester to review student progress in course work and act on student progress including probations. The graduate program coordinator collects the data indicated in the "program object assessment" section of this plan and presents it to the faculty once a year. Faculty consider these data and make programmatic changes as needed. Changes made are monitored continually for their effectiveness.

| COURSE | OBJECTIVE | ASSESSMENT MEASURE+ |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| PADM 702, Research Methods | Students will demonstrate | Percentage of students |
| in Public Admin | understanding of positivist | achieving a grade of " |
| | research methods used to | or better in the |
| | answer research questions in | course. |
| | public admin | |
| PADM 710, Public Sector | Students will demonstrate | Percentage of students |
| Organization Theory & | understanding of major ideas | achieving a grade of " |
| Behavior | in the classical, neoclassical, | or better in the |
| | humanist and systems | course. |
| | theories and ability to apply | • |
| · . | these ideas. | |
| PADM 725, Public | Students will demonstrate | Percentage of students |
| Management of Human | understanding of the major | achieving a grade of " |
| Resources | issues impacting human | or better in the |
| | resources in the public | course. |
| | sector. | |
| PADM 745, Public & Nonprofit | Students will demonstrate | Percentage of students |
| Governance | understanding of the political | achieving a grade of " |
| | environment of public and | or better in the |
| | nonprofit administration. | course. |
| PADM 765 Public Sector | Students will demonstrate | Percentage of students |
| Economics | understanding of the | achieving a grade of " |
| | economics of taxation and | or better in the |
| | other governmental revenue | course. |
| | sources. | |
| PADM 802, Quantitative | Students will be able to formulate | Percentage of students |
| Methods for Public Sector | statistically testable | achieving a grade of " |
| Professionals | propositions, perform | or better in the |
| | appropriate analyses on an | course. |
| | existing data set, and | |
| | interpret those results. | |

| PADM 865, State and Local | Students will demonstrate | Percentage of students |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Government Finance | understanding and ability to | achieving a grade of "B |
| | apply concepts of public | or better in the |
| | finance and resource | course. |
| | allocation in a federal form | |
| | of government. | |
| PADM 895, Public Decision | Students will demonstrate | Percentage of students |
| Making (Capstone | mastery of the classical | achieving a grade of "B |
| Course) | approaches to decision | or better in the |
| | making and how to apply | course. |
| | those approaches. | |
| +It is expected that 90 percent of | of students will perform at this level or bet | ter. |

The Hugo Wall School uses a criterion measure to assess student performance in the core courses that all students must complete in order to receive the Master of Public Administration degree. The expected standard is that 90 percent of all students who receive a grade (excluding those who enroll and either drop or receive an incomplete) must achieve a grade of "A" or "B" to demonstrate their mastery of the subject matter. These data are reviewed annually to identify trends and potential concerns. Assessment data for 2010-11, 2011-12, and 2012-13 are shown in the following tables. Text following the tables interprets the results.

| Core Couse | Enrolled | A or B | C or Less | "I" & Drop | % A or B |
|------------|----------|--------|-----------|------------|----------|
| 702 | 31 | 24 | 1 | 6 | 96% |
| 710 | 25 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 96% |
| 725 | 26 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 95% |
| 745 | 26 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 88% |
| 765 | 36 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 94% |
| 802 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 100% |
| 865 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
| 895 | 31 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 94% |

| | Student Pe | rformance in MI | PA Core Courses - | - 2011-2012 | |
|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| Core Couse | Enrolled | A or B | C or Less | Incomplete | % A or B |
| 702 | 26 | 22 | | 4 | 100% |
| 710 | 28 | 27 | | 0 | 96% |
| 725 | 35 | 32 | | 3 | 100% |
| 745 | 31 | 29 | | 0 | 94% |
| 765 | 33 | 31 | | 0 | 94% |
| 802 | 24 | 19 | | 5 | 100% |
| 865 | 22 | 21 | | 1 | 100% |
| 895 | 27 | 27 | | 0 | 100% |

| | Student Pe | erformance in Mi | PA Core Courses | - 2012-2013 | |
|------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
| Core Couse | Enrolled | A or B | C or Less | Incomplete | % A or B |
| 702 | 26 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 100% |
| 710 | 26 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 77% |
| 725 | 21 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 95% |
| 745 | 20 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 95% |
| 765 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
| 802 | 23 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 100% |
| 865 | 25 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 100% |
| 895 | 19 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 94% |

The data show that with only one exception, student performance in the eight core courses exceeds the target standard of 90 percent of students achieving a grade of A or B indicating mastery of the subject matter. In PADM 710, Public Sector Organization Theory and Behavior, in spring 2013 this standard was not met. This may reflect the fact that most students find the workload in that course quite heavy and performance expectations high. It may also reflect the fact that an adjunct was used to teach the course during the semester in question while a faculty member was on medical leave.

While students in the MPA degree program have always been encouraged to specialize through the selection of their five elective courses, graduate certificates have provided more structured options for students desiring to emphasize public finance, economic development, city and county management, and nonprofit management. The certificates allow students to establish an additional credential within their degree program and assures employers that work on specialized skills and education has been completed by students. Each graduate certificate requires satisfactory completion of a sequence of four courses directly relevant to the specialty, and successful completion is recorded on a student's university transcript.

Another assessment of student performance and of mastery of subject matter is the number of Graduate Certificates awarded. This measure enables students to meet the expectation that they use their electives to develop a specialization that will help them in their future career. These data are reviewed each year to identify trends and issues.

| Graduate Certifi | cates Granted 201 | 1-2013 | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------|------|
| Certificate Type | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| City and County Management | 8 | 11 | 6 |
| Public Finance | 4 | 12 | 3 |
| Nonprofit Management | 11 | 15 | 6 |
| Economic Development | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals: | 24 | 38 | 15 |

During 2011-2013 students obtained 77 graduate certificates. The majority of graduates each year obtain 1 or more graduate certificates. This indicates a high level of student subject level mastery within these specializations. Demand for the certificate in Economic Development has been low. Assessment of future demand for the certificate is currently underway. One factor has been faculty vacancies that limited offering of a required course. We will continue to monitor this certificate and consider removing it.

During 2011-2013 18 students participated in mock hiring interviews.

During 2011-2013 12 students participated in internships. Internship placements included Sedgwick County and the cities Arkansas City, Bel Aire, Goddard, Olathe and Wichita.

Job placement is a key indicator of employer satisfaction with the skills and knowledge of MPA graduates. In 2011-2013 59 students were awarded the Master of Public Administration degree. Six months following graduation all but one of these graduates was employed within their career field of choice.

The faculty meet annually to assess student progress and to identify strengths and weaknesses of the curriculum in light of assessment data, employer and alumni feedback. For example, in 2011, some students who chose not to participate in mock interviews performed poorly in the actual interviews. Subsequently, the faculty decided to make mock interviews mandatory for any student applying for an internship.

Future Directions

As part of the self-study process for NASPAA reaccreditation in 2015-16, MPA faculty are currently assessing and redefining student learning outcomes and developing rigorous assessments. The first round of assessment under the new outcomes will take place in academic year 2014-2015.

d. Provide aggregate data on student majors satisfaction (e.g., exit surveys), capstone results, licensing or certification examination results (if applicable), employer surveys or other such data that indicate student satisfaction with the program and whether students are learning the curriculum (for learner outcomes, data should relate to the outcomes of the program as listed in 3c).

The ongoing survey conducted by the University Graduate School indicates that the quality of instruction provided by Master of Public Administration degree faculty is quite high. Students were satisfied or very satisfied with class scheduling, faculty availability and advising. All MPA graduates believed that the Master of Public Administration (MPA) degree helped them find employment, and very high percentages believed that their MPA degree prepared them for their career. More than 9 out of 10 MPA graduates were working in a field related to their degree. The Graduate School surveys students each semester as they prepare to graduate. The most recent survey reports the following results for MPA graduates:

- 88.6% of MPA students were satisfied or better with the quality of instruction in their courses
- 77.2 % of graduate students were satisfied or better with overall instruction at WSU
- 88.6% of MPA students were satisfied or better with quality of feedback about their performance
- 91% of students believed that classes were offered at convenient times
- 80% of students believed that course offerings enabled them to complete the degree in a timely manner
- 91% of students believed that their faculty advisor was accessible to them
- 97% of students believed that their faculty advisor was knowledgeable about the program
- 96% of students believed that their advisor made clear the requirements needed to complete the degree
- 89% of students found their advisor helpful in selecting courses
- 100% of MPA graduates believed that their degree was helpful in finding employment
- 96.8% of MPA graduates believed that the degree had prepared them for their career
- 91.3% of MPA graduates were working in a job related to their degree
 (Data provided by the Office of Planning & Analysis (OPA), Wichita State University).

Based on data from the Office of Planning and Analysis, these figures compare favorably with other graduate programs in both Fairmount College and WSU as a whole.

| Student Level | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|
| Graduate University-wide | Not available | 80.0% | 82.5% |
| College Division | Not available | 77.0% | 77.5% |
| MPA degree | Not available | 82.1% | 100% |
| Number of Students | | 28 | 16 |

The Hugo Wall School's Master of Public Administration faculty also used alumni interviews and employer interviews in evaluating courses, program design and relevancy, and to identify unmet needs. For instance, the coursework and graduate certificates in economic development and nonprofit management were developed in response to previous alumni and practitioner interviews. Exit surveys are also used to evaluate coursework and identify student needs. The next set of alumni, practitioner and graduate interviews will be conducted as part of the MPA degree program's accreditation self-study that will be conducted in 2014-2015.

Program quality is also measured by success of students in the required capstone course, PADM 895 Public Decision Making. Students are not allowed to enroll in this class until they have completed all other core courses. That requirement gives students the background to perform at a high level in the capstone and demonstrate their mastery of the Master of Public Administration degree's subject matter. The data in the table below indicate that students receiving a Master of Public Administration degree demonstrate a high level of mastery of the degree's subject matter.

| Year | N | Name of Exam | Program Result | National Comparisons |
|------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| 2011 | | Capstone | 92% students had grade A or B | |
| 2012 | | Capstone | 100% grade had A or B | |
| 2013 | | Capstone | 94% grade had A or B | |

- e. Provide aggregate data on how the goals of the WSU General Education Program and KBOR 2020 Foundation Skills are assessed in undergraduate programs (optional for graduate programs). N/A
- f. For programs/departments with concurrent enrollment courses (per KBOR policy), provide the assessment of such courses over the last three years (disaggregated by each year) that assures grading standards (e.g., papers, portfolios, quizzes, labs, etc.) course management, instructional delivery, and content meet or exceed those in regular on-campus sections.

 N/A
- g. Indicate whether the program is accredited by a specialty accrediting body including the next review date and concerns from the last review.

The Master of Public Administration is accredited by the Network of Schools of Public Policy, Affairs and Administration (NASPAA). Following an intensive two-year process of internal and external review, the Masters in Public Administration (MPA) was granted formal accreditation by NASPAA in 2002 and was reaccredited in 2009 for the full seven year period. The next reaccreditation visit will be in 2015-16.

h. Provide the process the department uses to assure assignment of credit hours (per WSU policy 2.18) to all courses has been reviewed over the last three years.

The Master of Public Administration degree program follows the policy regarding "Definition and Assignment of Credit Hours," Section 2.18 in Wichita State University's Policies and Procedures. Each "credit hour" awarded in MPA coursework reflects at least one hour of direct instruction per week over a 15-week semester.

i. Provide a brief assessment of the overall quality of the academic program using the data from 3a – 3e and other information you may collect, including outstanding student work (e.g., outstanding scholarship, inductions into honor organizations, publications, special awards, academic scholarships, student recruitment and retention).

Overall the Master of Public Administration degree demonstrates very high quality. Students receive relevant instruction from productive full-time faculty. Graduate placement rates are high.

Faculty seek frequent feedback from alumni and employers both formally and informally. As the result of an alumni task force, the Hugo Wall School Advisory Board was created in 2007. The Advisory Board now provides a critical means for securing advice from alumni and employers on matters of curriculum, student recruitment and placement, and community research interests. In addition, the Hugo Wall School has a select adjunct faculty, made up of prominent public managers, who periodically teach classes and who advise the faculty on curriculum and professional development needs. The current members are the City Manager of Wichita, the Sedgwick County Manager, Wichita Police Chief, retired Hutchison City Manager, and President of the Wichita Independent Business Association. Their participation reflects respect for the work of the Hugo Wall School.

The faculty and staff of the Hugo Wall School share Hugo Wall's strong belief in the ability of higher education to make the world a better place, to apply knowledge to solve societal problems, and to instruct future practitioners in best professional practice to improve the quality of life for citizens. Recent growth provides strong evidence that the School's constituents trust the ability of its faculty and staff to deliver on that promise.

Page 4 of 8 (11/25/2013)

Department: Public Admin College: LAS Social Sciences WSU Program Review Appendix

Program: Public Administration

Tables 8 provides data for Section 3a of the Program Review Self Study Template.

Table 8: Mean ACT score of Juniors and Seniors Enrolled on Fall Census Day (source=Fall Census Day)

| | | | | Year of | Fall Census L | Jay | | | E C | ng o year ave | rage |
|------------|----------------------|------|------|---------|---------------|------|------|------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Statistic: | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| | University level | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Program majors | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | Program majors count | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| | reporting ACT | 0 | 0 | O | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Percent reporting | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

note: If ACT missing and SAT available, SAT is used converted to ACT metric, KBOR captures ACT data for enrolled juniors & seniors only; KBOR minima >=20.

Table 9 provides data for Section 3b of the Program Review Self Study Template. Table 9: Mean Application GPA of Admitted Graduate Student Majors (source≃ Applications)

| | | | Fis | cal Year (sun | iscal Year (summer-fall-sprin | d sednence) | | | Rolling 5 F | FY weighted | average |
|------------|----------------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Statistic: | | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 | 2009-2013 |
| | University level | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3,5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| | Program majors | 3,5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3,4 | 3.4 |
| | Program majors count | 31 | 4 | 44 | 46 | 48 | 51 | 35 | 42 | 46 | 45 |
| | reporting GR gpa | 27 | 40 | 40 | 4 | 40 | 45 | 32 | 38 | 4 | 40 |
| | Percent reporting | 87.1% | 92.6% | %6.06 | 89.1% | 83.3% | 88.2% | 91.4% | 89.5% | 89.6% | 88.4% |
| | | | | - | | | | | | | |

note: graduate student application gpa based on last 60 hours of course work earned.

Table 10 provides data for Section 3d of the Program Review Self Study Template.

Table 10: Satisfaction with Program among Undergraduate and Graduate Students at End of Program Exit

| • | ı | Acac | Academic Year (fall-spring-summer sequence) | III-spring-sum | ner sequence | · | | Roll | Rolling 5 AY average | age |
|---|---------------|------|---|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Student level: | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 | 2009-2013 |
| University Undergraduate level | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0.0% | %0.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| College Division Undergraduate level | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ⊓/a | %0.0 | 0.0% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Program Undergraduate majors: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent satisfied or very satisfied | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | %0.0 | %0.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| mean | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | 0.0 | 0.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| median | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| count | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| University Graduate level | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 80.0% | 82.5% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| College Division Graduates level | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 77.0% | 77.5% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Program Graduate majors: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent satisfied or very satisfied | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 82.1% | 100.0% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| mean | n/a | n/a | ก/ล | n/a | n/a | 4.2 | 4.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| median | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4 | 4 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| count | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 28 | 16 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| note: mimoni maiore color data from the | Application A | | Dogrop Exit Cuping copie of 1 to 6 wil | 10 of 1 to 15 m | th 5 hains his | h (von cotiefi | (Fee | | | |

note: primary majors only; data from the Application For Degree Exit Survey; scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being high (very satisfied).



- 4. Analyze the student need and employer demand for the program. Complete for each program if appropriate (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section).
- a. Evaluate tables 11-15 from the Office of Planning Analysis for number of applicants, admits, and enrollments and percent URM students by student level and degrees conferred.

| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | Average |
|-----------------|------|------|------|---------|
| Applicants | 62 | 59 | 39 | 54 |
| Admits | 49 | 51 | 37 | 46 |
| Enrollment | 29 | 37 | 24 | 30 |
| Acceptance Rate | 79% | 86% | 94% | 86%+ |
| Enrollment Rate | 47% | 63% | 62% | 56%+ |

Between 2011 and 2013, an average of 54 prospective students applied each year for admission to the Master of Public Administration degree program. Approximately 86 percent of them were admitted, and 56 percent actually enrolled each year. Contacting students who failed to enroll reveals that the most common reasons are that they either "got a job," or they "moved out of the area." The level of applications has historically fluctuated significantly from year to year. The decline in 2013 applications may be part of the pattern, but it will be monitored carefully.

| Table 12 Percent Unde | r-Represented Minoriti | es (URM) on Fall C | ensus Day |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| University Masters | 9.7% | 11.3% | Not available |
| College Division Masters | 18.9% | 20.6% | Not available |
| Master of Public Admin | 21.6% | 31.0% | Not available |

Table 12 indicates that the Master of Public Administration degree program has significantly higher percentage of under-represented minority students than either master's degree programs throughout Wichita State University or in the Social Sciences Division within the College of Liberal Arts. Black and Hispanic students see the MPA degree as a mechanism providing the opportunity to access and further their careers. The diversity of the MPA student body is an important strength of the program that prepares professionals to work in an increasingly diverse society.

| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|--------------------|------|------|---------------|
| otal | 88 | 71 | Not available |
| White Non-Hispanic | 57 | 40 | Not available |
| Black Non-Hispanic | 11 | 12 | Not available |
| Hispanic | 8 | 9 | Not available |
| Asian Non-Hispanic | 1 | 1 | Not available |
| American Indian | 0 | 1 | Not available |
| Foreign | 1 | 2 | Not available |
| Multiple Race | 1 | | Not available |
| Unknown | 9 | 9 | Not available |

Table 13 indicates that the Master of Public Administration degree program has a diverse student body. Although the majority of students are white, there are significant numbers of Black and Hispanic students. Our literature and experience tells that a diverse student body adds to the experience of all students and the faculty by adding multiple cultural backgrounds, experiences, and perspectives. This results in students and alumni who are more aware, and better equipped to cope with an increasingly diverse world.

| | 2011 | 2012 | 2012 |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|
| | | 2012 | 2013 |
| University Masters Degrees | 12.7% | 12.7% | Not Available |
| College Division Masters Degrees | 18.6% | 13.1% | |
| Master of Public Administration | 17.9% | 13.3% | |

The percentage of Master of Public Administration degrees conferred by Wichita State University on under-represented minorities is similar to the percentage of masters degrees conferred within the social science division of the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences. Both of these are somewhat higher than the percentage of degrees conferred on under-represented minorities university-wide.

| Table 15 Race/Ethni | city of Degrees Conferred | Students by Fiscal Ye | ear MPA |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Race/Ethnicity | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Total | 28 | 15 | Not Available |
| Total URM | 5 | 2 | |
| White Non-Hispanic | 21 | 11 | |
| Black Non-Hispanic | 0 | 2 | |
| Hispanic | 5 | 0 | |
| Foreign | 0 | 1 | |
| Unknown | 2 | 1 | |

According to Table 15 underserved minorities are graduating or receiving the Master of Public Administration degree each year. One explanation for variability in the number or percentage of underserved minorities and other students receiving the degree from year-to-year is that the majority of MPA students have full-time jobs. This means that it may take them 3 or more years to complete degree requirements.

b. Utilize the table below to provide data that demonstrates student need and demand for the program.

| | Average Salary | Employ- ment % In state | Employment % in the field | Employment: % related to the field | Employment: % outside the field | No. pursuing graduate or | Projected growth from BLS** Current year only. |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | profes- sional educa- tion | |
| Year 1 | | | 1.11.11.11.15. | <u> 1.12 </u> | <u> </u> | . YYY | |
| Year 2 | | | | | | | |
| Year 3 | | 100% | 98% | | 2% | 1 | 6.8% |

According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics future demand for persons with Master of Public Administration (MPA) degrees should remain strong. Between 2012 and 2022, local government management (excluding education and hospitals) is expected to grow by 6.8 percent. Financial specialist positions within local government are expected to grow 5.0 percent, budget analyst positions in state and local government 8.7 percent, and administrative services management positions 6.8 percent. (Data are from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor, *Occupational Outlook Handbook, 2014-2015 Edition*, visited March 27, 2014). Demand for MPAs, especially MPAs who specialize in finance, as many of the Hugo Wall School's MPAs do, has been, is, and is will remain strong. Projected growth of each of these types of positions within the nonprofit sector, such as community and social organizations, and social advocacy groups, is considerably higher.

Program: Public Administration

WSU Program Review Appendix College: LAS Social Sciences Department: Public Admin

Tables 11 through Table 15 provide data for Section 4 of the Program Review Self Study Template. Table 11: Applications, Admits and Enrollment for Undergraduate and Graduate Applicants

| | | | Fis | cal Year (su | Fiscal Year (summer-fall-spring sequence | (a sednence) | | | ₽SO. | Rolling 5 FY average | age |
|--------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------|--|--------------|------|------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Student level: | | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 | 2009-2013 |
| Undergraduates: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicants | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Admitted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Census day | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Graduates: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicants | 38 | 46 | 54 | 22 | 62 | 59 | 36 | - | 56 | |
| | Admitted | 33 | 42 | 45 | 48 | 49 | ĵ. | 37 | 43 | 47 | 46 |
| | Census day | 25 | 32 | 35 | 66 | 29 | 37 | 24 | 32 | | 33 |
| note: unduplicated | note: unduplicated count as last record of F` | f FY; applicar | nts exclude in | complete or | cancelled appli | cations. | | | | | |

Table 12: Percent Under-represented Minorities (URM) on Fail Census Day

| | • | | Year of | of Fall Census Da | Jay | | | Rollii | ng 5 year ave | age. |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|---------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Student level: | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 010 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| University level: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freshmen & Sophomores | 15.3% | 14.5% | 15.0% | 15.7% | 17.0% | 18.0% | 18.5% | 15.5% | 16.0% | 16.8% |
| Juniors & Seniors | 12.3% | 12.0% | 12.3% | 13.0% | 14.0% | 14.9% | 15.4% | 12.7% | 13.2% | 13.9% |
| Masters | 5.8% | %9.9 | 6.8% | 7.8% | 8.2% | 9.7% | 11.3% | 7.1% | 7.8% | 8.8% |
| Doctoral | 5.0% | %6.9 | 6.8% | 5.6% | 6.6% | 5.4% | 6.7% | 6.2% | 6.2% | 6.2% |
| College division level: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freshmen & Sophomores | 23.7% | 20.7% | 19.9% | 20.9% | 20.9% | 27.1% | 26.0% | 21.2% | 21.9% | 22.9% |
| Juniors & Seniors | 20.5% | 20.1% | 19.1% | 20.6% | 22.5% | 22.3% | 22.2% | 20.6% | 20.9% | 21.3% |
| Masters | 10.8% | 15.7% | 15.7% | 17.1% | 17.4% | 18.9% | 20.6% | 15.3% | 16.9% | 17.9% |
| Doctoral | 14.1% | 15.5% | 14.3% | 11.4% | 16.0% | 10.7% | 11.8% | 13.4% | 13.3% | 13.0% |
| Program level: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freshmen & Sophomores | %0.0 | %0:0 | %0.0 | %0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | %0.0 | %0.0 | %0.0 | 0.0% |
| Juniors & Seniors | %0.0 | %0.0 | %0.0 | %0.0 | 0.0% | %0.0 | %0.0 | %0.0 | %0.0 | 0.0% |
| Masters | 14.3% | 15.1% | 11.8% | 14.8% | 20.3% | 21.6% | 31.0% | 15.2% | 16.7% | 19.9% |
| Doctoral | %0'0 | %0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | %0.0 | %0.0 | 0.0% | %0.0 | %0.0 | %0.0 |

note: includes all active program matching majors among 4 possible major codes; URM includes black non-hispanic, hispanic, american indian/alaskan native & hawaiian.



Program: Public Administration

WSU Program Review Appendix College: LAS Social Sciences Department: Public Admin

Table 13: Race/Ethnicity on Fall Census Day

| Total LRM 12 17 68 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1 | Table 13: Kace/Ethnicity on Fall Cellsus | us Lay | 2002 | Ç | Year of I | Year of Fall Census Day | us Day | | 2011 | 2012 | | Roll | Rolling 5 year average | year av 17-2011 | erage | 2013 |
|--|--|----------|------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------|------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|---------------|
| Total UKM 12 113 68 81 15 15 17 77 17 101 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 | Studerit level: | - 1 | 7007 | 1 | - 1 | | | | - 1 | ١ | | 20002 | 1 | | ֓֞֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֓֡֓֓֓֓֡֓ | 2 2 |
| Total | Total Total URM | 84 12 | | 1 3 | හු හ | ∞ ₩ | - 'C' | 4 5 | 88 £ | | 23 73 | | 12 6 | 15 | ~ ! <i>~</i> | 76 15 |
| Totalal 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Part New Part | Freshmen & Sophomores Total | 0 | | 0 | 0 | _ | 0 | 0 | O | _ | 0 | i | 0 | | _ | 0 |
| Negative Color C | white non-hispanic | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | ٠. | | 0 | | 0 | _ | | 0 |
| Negamic 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | black non-hispanic | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | o. | | : | 0 | | 0 | _ | 0 | 0 |
| non-hispanic 0 <t< th=""><th>hispanic</th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th>0</th><th></th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th><th>_</th><th>0</th></t<> | hispanic | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | 0 | | _ | 0 |
| asken hallve 0 <t< th=""><th>asian non-hispanic</th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th>0</th><th>_ ,</th><th>0</th><th>¢</th><th></th><th>; ;</th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th>_</th><th>_</th><th>0</th></t<> | asian non-hispanic | 0 | | 0 | 0 | _ , | 0 | ¢ | | ; ; | 0 | | 0 | _ | _ | 0 |
| foreign 0 </th <th>american indian/alaskan native</th> <th>0</th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>0</th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>0</th> <th>3</th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th></th> <th>_</th> <th>0</th> | american indian/alaskan native | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 3 | | 0 | | 0 | | _ | 0 |
| Inavailan 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | _ | 0 | | 0 | _ | _ | 0 |
| multiple race 0 < | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | : T: | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | 0 | | _ | 0 |
| uinknown 0< | multiple race | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | _ | 0 | | 0 | | _ | 0 |
| non-hispanic 0 <t< th=""><th>unknown</th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th>0</th><th>J</th><th></th><th>0</th><th>\$ 11 12</th><th>0</th><th><u> </u></th><th></th><th>0</th></t<> | unknown | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | J | | 0 | \$ 11 12 | 0 | <u> </u> | | 0 |
| non-hispanic 0 <t< th=""><th></th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th>0</th><th></th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th><th>_</th><th>0</th><th>,</th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th>0</th></t<> | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | _ | 0 | , | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Black non-hispanic Deack n | white non-hispanic | 0 | | 0 | 0 | : T. : : 1, | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | . : | 0 | | | 0 |
| Total | | 0 | | .0 | Ö | | | 0 | | | 0 | • | 0 | _ | 0 | 0 |
| Total | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | .0 | | : | 0 | | 0 | | Ö | Ö |
| Total | asian non-hispanic | C | | | C | . – | | Ç | | | c | | | _ | | · c |
| Total Multiple race 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | american indian/alaskan native | · C | | , c | | - | ے: د | · c | | | · C | | | | | · c |
| Total | foreign | C | | | | | | | , , | | C | | | | | · C |
| multiple race 0 < | naliewed | · c | | ; • ' c | · 'c | | | · • | , . . | : | c | | · c | , | |) · C |
| Total unknown 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | ezer elrifism | | | | · c | | | · c | , . | | · c | |) C | , ,_ | | 0 |
| Total white non-hispanic 53 52 51 61 61 53 57 40 54 55 77 77 8 8 71 76 77 77 8 9 71 76 77 77 8 9 71 76 77 77 9 77 11 12 8 9 9 7 7 7 11 12 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 | induper lace |) C | | o , c |) C | |) : C | o c | , . | |) | | , >,c | - | ; | > C |
| white non-hispanic 53 52 51 61 57 7 11 12 8 9 5 7 11 12 8 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Total | 6 | | , c | 9 6 | 0 | 7 (| , , | | : | 7 | | 9 0 | 'ŀ | | 9 6 |
| write normispanic 53 57 40 59 black normispanic 10 10 8 7 7 11 12 8 9 asian normispanic 2 1 8 7 7 11 12 8 9 refican indian/alaskan native 0 0 0 0 0 1 <td< th=""><th>- 018</th><th>4 6</th><th></th><th>2 5</th><th>8 7</th><th>0 (</th><th>- ,</th><th>† (</th><th>ĕi</th><th>.</th><th>=]\$</th><th></th><th>٤:</th><th>Z i</th><th>~ i</th><th>٥ ;</th></td<> | - 018 | 4 6 | | 2 5 | 8 7 | 0 (| - , | † (| ĕi | . | =]\$ | | ٤: | Z i | ~ i | ٥ ; |
| black non-hispanic 10 10 8 7 7 11 12 8 9 9 9 asian non-hispanic 0 1 2 2 1 | white non-hispanic | 50, | | 70 | <u>.</u> | Φ | – 1 | 52 | Ď. | | ₹ : | | 54 | ö | Δ, | 70 |
| Asian non-hispanic 2 1 0 5 8 8 9 3 4 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | black non-hispanic | 10 | | 2 | ω | | | 7 | ` | | 12 | | ∞ | | ത | თ |
| asian non-hispanic 0 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | hispanic | 2 | | - | 0 | | ស | 8 | ~ | | 0 | | ಣ | • | ₩ | 9 |
| terican indian/alaskan native 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 | asian non-hispanic | 0 | | - | ~ 1. | | , 7 | _ | • | | τ. | | _ | • | _ | _ |
| foreign 8 1 3 1 2 3 1 hawaiian 0 | american indian/alaskan native | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | | ₹ | | 0 | . — | | 0 |
| multiple race 0 < | foreign | Φ, | | . | က | | _ | 0 | ` | | 7 | | က | • | _ | _ |
| multiple race 0 0 1 0 < | hawaiian | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | _ | _ | 0 | | 0 | _ | 0 | 0 |
| Total unknown 11 8 4 4 5 9 6 6 6 6 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | multiple race | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | _ | 0 | ` | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Total white non-hispanic 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 11 | | ø | 4 | | 4 | വ | υ , | | 9 | | 9 | _ | ഗ | 9 |
| | Total | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | Ŭ | ~ | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | white non-hispanic | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | _ | 0 | | 0 | | .0 | 0 |
| | black non-hispanic | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | <u> </u> | 0 | | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| | hispanic | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | 0 | . — | 0 | 0 |
| | asian non-hispanic | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | _ | _ | 0 | | 0 | _ | 0 | 0 |
| | american indian/alaskan native | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | | | | | | .0 | | | - | 0 | 0 |
| | foreign | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | _ | _ | 0 | | 0 | _ | 0 | 0 |
| | hawailan | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | • | | 0 | | 0 | | | .0 |
| | multiple race | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | _ | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| | unknown | ,0 | : : | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | · · | | 0 | | .0 | : | 0 | 0 |



WSU Program Review Appendix College: LAS Social Sciences Department: Public Admin

Program: Public Administration

Table 14: Percent Under-represented Minorities (URM) of Degreed Conferred Students by Fiscal Year

| | | | | Year of | Year of Fall Census Day | Day | | | Roll | Rolling 5 year average | ge |
|--|-------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Degree level: | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| u I | University level: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Doctoral | 8.7% | 1.5% | 7.2% | 6.1% | 6.3% | 6.5% | 6.5% | 80'9 | 2.5% | 6.5% |
| | Masters | 4.9% | 6.0% | 6.4% | 6.1% | 6.4% | 8.7% | 10.5% | 6.0% | 6.7% | 2.6% |
| | Bachelor | 10.2% | 10.7% | 11.3% | 11.1% | 12.0% | 12.7% | 12.7% | 11.1% | 11.5% | 11.9% |
| | Associate | 21.4% | 13.8% | 11.8% | 16.0% | 18.8% | 18.4% | 23.1% | 16,4% | 15.8% | 17.6% |
| College | College division level: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Doctoral | 12.5% | 14.3% | 13.3% | %0.0 | 30.0% | 8.3% | 20.0% | 14.1% | 13.2% | 14.3% |
| | Masters | 10.9% | 10.7% | 17,3% | 14.9% | 13.8% | 18.6% | 13.1% | 13.5% | 15.1% | 15.5% |
| | Bachelor | 18.1% | 17.4% | 15.5% | 15.5% | 18.6% | 22.2% | 19.9% | 17.0% | 17.9% | 18.3% |
| | Associate | %0.0 | %0.0 | 0.0% | %0.0 | %0.0 | 0.0% | %0'0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | %0.0 |
| <u>a</u> | Program level: | , | | | | | | | | | |
| | Doctoral | %0'0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | %0.0 | %0.0 |
| | Masters | 10.0% | 11.5% | 7.1% | 0.0% | 6.3% | 17.9% | 13.3% | 7.0% | 8.6% | 8.9% |
| | Bachelor | %0.0 | %0.0 | %0'0 | %0.0 | %0.0 | %0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | %0.0 | %0.0 |
| 1 | Associate | %0:0 | %0.0 | %0.0 | %0:0 | 0.0% | %0.0 | 0.0% | %0.0 | %0·0 | %0.0 |
| notes includes all active areas metablic | idotom monoca | | Idiana A nan | Chan anion | . I IDM isolar | non shoold only | hinania hin | income cinco | olojuojudi uod | d o chitan notes | Collogo |

note: includes all active program matching majors among 4 possible major codes; URM includes black non-hispanic, hispanic, american indian/alaskan native & hawaiian.

Table 15: Race/Ethnicity of Degreed Conferred Students by Fiscal Year

| | | | Year of | Year of Fall Census Day | Jay | | | ₽ Bo | Rolling 5 year average | rage |
|--------------------------------|------|------------|---|-------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|------------------------|------------|
| Degree level: | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| Total | 30 | 26 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 28 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 18 |
| Total ÜRM | က | ·w | · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | o | : | တ | .21 | | . 5 | α ί |
| Doctoral Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| white non-hispanic | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | | °0 | 0 | | 0 |
| black non-hispanic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | O |
| hispanic | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | ,0 |
| asian non-hispanic | 0 | 0 | 0 | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| american indian/alaskan native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| foreign | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| hawailan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ,0 | 0 | 0 |
| multiple race | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | Ó | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Masters Total | 30 | 26 | 4 | 15 | 16 | 28 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 18 |
| white non-hispanic | 6 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 27 | - | 15 | 15 | 4 |
| black non-hispanic | 2 | 2 | _ | 0 | ~- | o | 2 | | _ | _ |
| hispanic | | · — | ·O | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | _ | - |
| asian non-hispanic | 0 | ~ - | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| american indian/alaskan native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | .0 | 0 |
| foreign | 4 | ~ | 0 | _ | 0 | 0 | ~~~ | _ | 0 | 0 |
| hawaiian | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 |
| multiple race | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| unknown | 4 | . 4 | | '01 | · • | CI | | N | CV. | |
| (Table continued on next page) | | | | | | | | | | |



Program: Public Administration

Department: Public Admin College: LAS Social Sciences WSU Program Review Appendix

Rolling 5 year average 000000000000000000 2006-2010 o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o 2012 0000000000000000000 2011 Year of Fall Census Day 2009 2008 2007 foreign asian non-hispanic asian non-hispanic american indian/alaskan native hawaiian multiple race white non-hispanic black non-hispanic hispanic american Indian/alaskan native foreign hawaiian multiple race white non-hispanic unknown black non-hispanic (Table 15 continued) Associate Total Degree level: Bachelor

note: includes all active program matching majors among 4 possible major codes.

Tables 16 provides data for Section 5 of the Program Review Self Study Template.

Table 16: Department Student Credit Hour (SCH) by Student Department Affiliation on Fall Census Day

| | | | Year of | Fall Census | Say | | | Roll | ng 5 year ave | rage |
|------------------------|-------|-------|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Major & student level: | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2006-2010 | 2007-2011 | 2008-2012 |
| Total | 549 | 432 | 417 | 513 | 474 | 576 | 444 | 477 | 482 | 485 |
| Program UG majors | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 |
| Program GR majors | | 408 | 378 | 468 | 438 | 531 | 432 | | | 449 |
| Non-program majors | | 24 | 39 | 45 | 36 | 45 | 12 | | | 35 |
| Total | | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | | 100% |
| Program UG major | %0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | %0.0 | 0.0% | %0.0 | | | %0.0 |
| Program GR major | 94.0% | 94.4% | 89.06 | 91.2% | 92.4% | 92.2% | 97.3% | 92.6% | 92.2% | 92.7% |
| Non-program majors | 6.0% | 5.6% | 9.4% | 8.8% | 7.6% | 7.8% | 2.7% | | | 7.3% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

note: program majors includes all active program matching majors among 4 possible major codes.



- 5. Analyze the service the Program provides to the discipline, other programs at the University, and beyond. Complete for each program if appropriate (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section).
 - a. Provide a brief assessment of the service the Program provides. Comment on percentage of SCH taken by majors and non-majors, nature of Program in terms of the service it provides to other University programs, faculty service to the institution, and beyond.

Faculty in the Master of Public Administration degree program teach two courses that are offered by other departments. These are:

- Economics 231, Introduction to Business Statistics
- Political Science 321 Introcudtion to Public Administration

The following public administration courses are cross-listed with other departments:

- PADM 710 Public Sector Organization Theory and Behavior is cross-listed with Political Science.
- PADM865 State and Local Government Finance is cross-listed with Political Science,
 Economics, and Finance within the Business School.
- PADM 501 Integrity in Public Service is cross-listed with Criminal Justice.
- PADM 560 Planning process is cross-listed with Political Science.
- PADM 866 Public Financial Management is cross-listed with Economics and Finance.
- PADM 867 State & Local Government Budgeting is cross-listed with Political Science.

6. Report on the Program's goal (s) from the last review. List the goal (s), data that may have been collected to support the goal, and the outcome. Complete for each program if appropriate (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section):

The 2010 Regents Program Review included the student learning outcomes reported in section 3 of this report. In addition to those goals the program has the following goals

Excellence in instruction that prepares individuals for positions of leadership and responsibility in public and nonprofit service

Provide high quality graduate instruction in contemporary theories and practices of public and nonprofit administration

Provide high quality instruction to nonprofit executives, board members, appointed and elected government officials through non-degree activity

Excellence in scholarship that expands and disseminates knowledge to audiences of academics, professionals and practitioners in government and the nonprofit sector

Conduct basic and applied research in public and nonprofit administration and policy.

Disseminate research to academic and practitioner audiences.

Transfer research into the teaching and practice of public and nonprofit policy and administration

Excellence in service to Wichita State University and the profession of public administration

Participate actively in the affairs and leadership of professional organization

Participate actively in the affairs and leadership of the school, college and university

Assessment methods call for regular assessment of teaching quality in MPA courses through SPTE and Graduate School exit surveys; evaluation of all professional development offerings and monitoring of the number of contact hours by type of program; collection of input from the Hugo Wall School Advisory Committee and periodic alumni and employer surveys; monitoring the extent and type of practitioner participation in classroom instruction; monitoring student participation in professional meetings and workshops that facilitate interaction with practitioners; program assessment of student learning outcomes; annual faculty activity reports on teaching, research and community service activities; monitoring the number and dollar value of contract research.

MPA data are reported to NASPAA annually. Student learning assessment data are collected and reported to the Graduate School and to Fairmount College. Recent evidence indicates strong demand for the services of the Hugo Wall School. Student placement is excellent and relationships with government and nonprofit officials is strong.

7. Summary and Recommendations

a. Set forth a summary of the report including an overview evaluating the strengths and concerns. List recommendations for improvement of each Program (for departments with multiple programs) that have resulted from this report (relate recommendations back to information provided in any of the categories and to the goals and objectives of the program as listed in 1e). Identify three year goal (s) for the Program to be accomplished in time for the next review.

During 2013-2014, the Hugo Wall School participated in WSU's strategic planning activities and aligned School goals with those of the University. The following list is the first draft of the School's goals for 2014-2017. They build on strengths and opportunities that have been identified. They involve strengthening the academic program though enhancing applied interdisciplinary experiences, improving the ranking and national reputation of the MPA through more visible faculty research and publication, and expanding the outreach activities of the School's Centers to increase their impact on communities, increase visibility for WSU and generate revenue to support the mission of HWS and WSU. HWS is ready to expand its reach to broader audiences. In FY 2013, the Environmental Finance Center conducted training in asset management, energy efficiency, utility rate setting, and drought and water loss not only in the 4-state EPA region of Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska and Iowa, but also in Oklahoma, Michigan, Mississippi, Connecticut, Idaho, Maryland and Virginia.

Each goal listed below includes measures to assess progress toward its achievement and identifies the strengths and opportunities that justify the goals inclusion. During the next six-months we will work to refine the goals and to identify specific tasks and responsible parties.

Goal 1: Guarantee an applied learning experience for every student

- Strengthen WSU's Master of Public Administration degree program and professional development programming by expanding recruiting, enhancing the HWS's on-line course offerings, and creating an interdisciplinary undergraduate emphasis. There is an opportunity to use electronic delivery for both the academic program and for professional development offerings. This builds on the existing strength of the integrated model. Measures: Number of applications, enrollments and graduates
- Develop projects that simultaneously improve the classroom and the community to advance the public interest by increasing student involvement in School projects and by insuring the integration of faculty research into the MPA curriculum. This also goal builds on the major strength of the HWS, i.e. the integrated model, by exposing more students to applied learning opportunities. Measures: the number of school projects used for class assignments and the number of courses with applied projects. Assessment of student learning will provide indication of the effectiveness of adding hands-on projects. Assessment measures will parallel student learning assessments required by both WSU and the Network of Schools of Public Policy, Administration and Affairs (NASPAA), the accrediting body for MPA programs.
- Enhance career-related experiences and professional networking opportunities through use of more and different internship models. Students benefit from the well-established relationships that faculty and staff have built with professional practitioners in the region, which are a major strength

of the HWS. Measures: number of guest speakers who are practicing professionals, number of students participating in internship and other career-related options and number of students placed.

Goal 2: Pioneer an educational experience for all that integrates interdisciplinary curricula across the university

- Strengthen the MPA by expanding course offerings, leveraging resources and advancing the public interest through partnerships with other departments to create cross-department graduate certificates including graduate certificates in Public School District Administration with the College of Education and Social Service Administration with the School of Social Work. Public administration is by definition an interdisciplinary field with roots in economics and political science. There is an opportunity to build on this strength to broaden partnerships with faculty in other colleges and departments. Measures: Completion of curricular change requirements by December 2015, the number enrolled in first course offering in fall 2016 and the number of certificates awarded. We will track enrollments to assess marketing and content of the certificates. We will also monitor the career progress of certificate recipients.
- Create an on-line multidisciplinary undergraduate emphasis in public administration. Measure: Course offerings and enrollment.

Goal 3: Capitalize systemically on relevant existing and emerging societal and economic trends that increase educational opportunities

- Continue to conduct periodic interdisciplinary summits focusing on emerging societal and economic trends. Summits will produce a related publication. This goal builds on the HWS strong history of public engagement. Measures: Number of summits held, attendance, number of departments that participate, qualitative evaluations, citation of associated publications.
- Insure that the MPA curriculum and professional development offerings continue their strong
 tradition of promoting the best in public administration, ensuring relevance to current and emerging
 trends, and meeting the needs of target audiences by regular, rigorous assessments of all offerings.
 Measures: Annual assessment of student learning, reaccreditation from NASPAA, changes in the
 curriculum in response to evaluations.
- Enhance the on-line presence of HWS to share information and promote knowledge of best practices in public and nonprofit administration. This goal reflects an opportunity to expand the geographic reach of the School. Measures: track updating of the website, number of hits, and citations of HWS studies.

Goal 4: Accelerate the transfer of new knowledge

• Create a WSU Public Policy and Management Center that will embrace interdisciplinary expertise from across campus. The purpose of the Center is to strengthen WSU's capacity to analyze a wide range of issues, to inform public discussion and decision making, to support the implementation and evaluation of public policies, and to advance excellence in public management. This will create a wider culture of consistent collaboration and outreach across campus and capitalize on a major strength of HWS, i.e. well-established relationships with public/nonprofit officials and professional

organizations in Kansas. The Center also offers an opportunity to expand content areas of policy evaluation in environmental affairs, sustainability, health, education and others. Measures: completion of design, implementation, and undertaking of the WSU PPMC's first research projects. Monitor the number of projects undertaken, faculty involvement, and revenue generated.

 Improve the regional and national reputation and ranking of the MPA by increasing faculty publications in academic and professional outlets. Measure: number of faculty publications.

Goal 6: Be a campus that reflects - in staff, faculty, and students - the evolving diversity of society

• Expose students to experiences, cultures and world-views different from their own, and incorporate a broad range of diversity topics into core courses. Measures: the number of presentations and/or class assignments that immerse students in diversity topics and experiences.

Resources

Increasing enrollment in the MPA and creation of an undergraduate emphasis will require additional resources. A faculty member to replace a 2014 retirement will be essential. Increased recruitment, development of alternative internship models and placement and supervision of graduate students will require a full time professional. This individual would also be able to teach undergraduate courses and advise students. We estimate costs at \$125,000-135,000 plus benefits for both position. Any increase in staff beyond these two positions will require additional space.

Expansion of interdisciplinary offerings and new graduate certificates in Public School Administration and Social Service Administration should be achievable with existing resources. Increasing the on-line presence of the Hugo Wall School to share research and other products will require additional resources in web-content and marketing. We believe that these costs can be incorporated into the design of the Public Policy and Management Center. The Public Policy and Management Center will be a major undertaking. It will require administrative and program staff and will cross college and department boundaries. Initial estimates are that university costs would range between \$300,000 and \$400,000 annually. By year five external funding would reduce this amount to \$100,000 to \$200,000 or roughly 25 percent of the Centers total budget.

The Hugo Wall School has experienced dramatic growth in its revenue generating activities over the past four years. Demand for applied research, professional development and technical assistance continues to increase, which will provide additional opportunities for student involvement and learning. Future growth will be monitored and controlled to insure high quality and maximum community impact.

Master of Public Administration Program Assessment Plan

Mission Statement:

The Master of Public Administration (MPA) program is an integrated part of the Hugo Wall School at Wichita State University. The School consists of the Master of Public Administration program, the Center for Urban Studies and the Kansas Public Finance Center. In 2001 the MPA program performed a yearlong self-study as part of the National Association of Schools of Public Affairs and Administration accreditation process. This process involved weekly meetings of the entire faculty. Products from the self-study include a leadership and management objective, a detailed mission statement that includes goals, objectives, action plans, and assessment mechanisms. These documents are included in Appendix A.

The mission of the Master of Public Administration program is threefold: (1) to provide high-quality education in public administration for both traditional and non-traditional students as preparation for successful careers in the public and quasi-public sectors; (2) to undertake applied, problem-centered research for local and state governments, particularly in areas of management, policy evaluation, and financial management; and (3) to provide training, technical assistance, and consultant services to government practitioners in the areas of public management, public finance, public policy, and other areas of concern. The instructional objective of the Master of Public Administration degree is intimately intertwined with its research and public service activities and may not be fully appreciated independently of those research and public service missions and their evolution at Wichita State University. The underlying theme of the Master of Public Administration program's mission is commitment to public service. We educate students for the public service. Our research is focused on improving public policy, public management, and public finance. Our service activities focus on training local government practitioners to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of their organizations and on providing technical assistance to solve problems in their communities. In sum, the interaction of teaching, research, and service synergize the Master of Public Administration degree program, creating a more productive and cost effective unit than would be possible if functions were segregated.

The **educational goal** of the Master of Public Administration program is to provide "Excellence in instruction that prepares individuals for positions of leadership and responsibility in public service."

The **objective** is to "Provide high quality graduate instruction in contemporary theories and practices of public administration."

The **action plan** involves "Having graduate students knowledgeable of relevant theory and its application." Assessment requires that "Students will demonstrate an acceptable level of knowledge and its application."

The following program objectives and assessment methods focus primarily on the teaching component of the Master of Public Administration program, which is the first item in the mission listed above. They describe subordinate goals and identify specific assessment methods.

Educational Program Objectives:

- 1. To provide students with a high level of understanding of core concepts in the field of public administration.
- 2. To give students who wish to specialize, the opportunity to obtain a graduate certificate in selected topics.
- 3. To prepare students for interviews with prospective employers by conducting mock interviews.
- 4. To place students in appropriate internships within local, state, and federal governments.
- 5. To assist students in finding professional positions in state and local government.

Program Assessment Methods:

- 1. Assessment of the quality of students understanding of key concepts in public administration is based on students' performance in the eight core courses that all students must take. A specific objective and measure is provided for each course in the next section entitled course-based assessment of student learning. Data will be collected at the end of each semester and reported when required by the Graduate School.
- 2. Monitor the number of students attaining each of the Graduate Cetificates.
- 3. Monitor the number of students participating in mock interviews and student internship placement.
- 4. Monitor the number of graduates finding jobs within 12 months of graduation, and provide specific examples of student accomplishments in this respect.

Course Based Assessment of Student Learning:

PADM 702, Research Methods in Public Administration

Objective: Understanding of positivist research methods used to answer research questions in public administration.

Measure: Percentage of students achieving a grade of 80 percent or better in the course.

PADM 710, Public Sector Organization Theory & Behavior

Objective: Understanding of the major ideas in the classical, neoclassical, humanist and systems theories and ability to apply these ideas of organizational theory and behavior.

Measure: Percentage of students attaining a grade of 80 percent or better on the case analyses included in the mid-term and final examinations.

PADM 725, Public Management of Human Resources

Objective: Understanding of the major issues impacting human resources in the public sector.

Measure: Percentage of students attaining a grade of 80 percent or better in the course

examination.

PADM 745, The Environment of Public Administration

Objective: Understanding of the political environment of public administration.

Measure: Percentage of students attaining a grade of 80 percent or better in the course.

PADM 765, Public Sector Economics

Objective: Understanding the economics of taxation and other governmental revenue sources.

Measure: Percentage of students receiving a grade of 80 percent or better on the final

examination.

PADM 802, Quantitative Methods for Public Sector Professionals

Objective: Students are expected to be able to formulate statistically testable propositions,

perform appropriate analyses on an existing data set, and interpret those results.

Measure: Percentage of students achieving a grade of 80 percent or better on their main project.

PADM 865, State and Local Government Finance

Objective: Understand and apply concepts of public finance and resource allocation in a federal form of government.

Measure: Percentage of students attaining a grade of 80 percent or better in the course.

PADM 895, Public Decision Making

Objective: Students are expected to learn classical approaches to decision-making and how to apply those approaches.

Measure: Percentage of students receiving a grade of 80 percent or better on the final case analysis paper in the course.

Feedback Loop:

The public administration faculty meet frequently to discuss programmatic issues and revisions. The faculty meet at the end of each semester to review student progress in course work and act on student progress including probations. The graduate program coordinator collects the data indicated in the "program object assessment" section of this plan and presents it to the faculty once a year. Faculty consider these data and make programmatic changes as needed. Changes made are monitored continually for their effectiveness.