

**UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY FORUM**  
**Poster Evaluation Form**

Name of Presenter: \_\_\_\_\_

Abstract Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**CATEGORY 1: Statement of the problem and its significance**

- Has the researcher succinctly defined and delimited the research problem?
- Has the researcher addressed the study's worth to the practitioner, to existing theoretical models, or to both?

SCORE: (Poor) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Exceptional)

**CATEGORY 2: Methods**

- Has the researcher defined the participants, instruments, apparatuses, procedures, research design and statistical analysis where applicable?

SCORE: (Poor) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Exceptional)

**CATEGORY 3: Results**

- Were statistics and/or other modes of analysis presented in sufficient detail (e.g., t test, F test, correlation, post-hoc, percentages, means, standard deviations, etc.)?

SCORE: (Poor) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Exceptional)

**CATEGORY 4: Summary of the findings**

- Did the researcher provide a clear discussion of the results, summarize their relevance, and recommend directions for future research in this area where applicable?

SCORE: (Poor) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Exceptional)

**CATEGORY 5: Could you read the poster from a distance of 3-5 feet?**

SCORE: (Poor) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Exceptional)

COMMENTS: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

*Please return these evaluations to the registration table after the scores have been tallied.*

It would be helpful to the students and to the URCAF committee if you would write three sentences to be distributed to individual participants. The first sentence should be a positive comment about a specific part of their presentation or poster. The second sentence should be a constructive suggestion for improving next time. The third sentence should be a positive comment that mentions topic of presentation.

Example: Ginger Williams organized her presentation well; it was easy to identify her problem statement, methods, date, and results. I noticed a few typographical errors in her slides, so I would encourage her to ask someone to proofread them next time. I enjoyed her presentation on citation analysis.