

Comparison of Institutional Peer Measures to Wichita State University Data provided by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) This file contains institutional measures of Peers and Aspirational Institutions

Table of Contents

Section 1: Financials Section 2: Employment Related Section 3: Student Related 3.1 Enrollment and Student Credit Hours (SCH) 3.2 Financial Support 3.3 Demographics 3.4 Degree Related 3.5 Admissions Related

Financial ratios are derived from the <u>Strategic Financial Analysis for Higher Education</u>, 6th edition, KPMG International. This document is available from the National Association of College & University Business Officers website at www.NACUBO.org.

Note-- The time periods of data reported can vary by dimension; see descriptions in report for information on when data are reported.

Peer Institutions	Aspirational Institutions
Cleveland State University	Georgia State University
Portland State University	University of California Riverside
University of Memphis	University of Cincinnati
University of Nebraska Omaha	University of Houston
University of Texas San Antonio	University of North Carolina Charlotte

Questions concerning this report should be directed to David Wright of the Provost Office, Troy Bruun of Financial Operations and Business Technology or Tiffany Franks in the Office of Planning and Analysis.



WICHITA STATE		Peer type:											
		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer University of	Peer University of	Aspirational	Aspirational University of	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational University of
				Cleveland State	Portland State	University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
Section 1: Financials (FY 2022 data	reported)												
Section 1.1: Financial Ratios (referen	ce Strategic	Financial A	nalysis for Hi	gher Educati	on, 7th editio	n, KPMG)							
Financial ratios calculated using IPEDS data d	liffers from fina	ancial ratios	reported to the	e state due to	the inclusion of	of component	t unit financia	l data for stat	e reported rati	os.			
The Composite Financial Index (CFI) is based on the sum	mated scaled and	l weighted four	core ratios (Prima	arv Reserve. Viab	ility. Return on Ne	et Assets and Ne	t Operating Rev	enues Ratios). 1	This combination a	allows a weakness	s or strength in a s	pecific ratio to be	e offset by
another ratio result, thereby allowing a more holistic approx													
ratios. (p.133, KPMG)													
Composite Financial Index (CFI)	0.87	0.84							0.00		0.25	1.58	
Modified Composite Financial Index (CFI)	0.77	0.85	0.70	0.12	0.32	1.45	0.58		0.28		0.38	1.55	0.65
1.1.1: Are resources sufficient and flexible eno	ugh to suppor	t the missio	n?										
				assets to total exc	oenses. Expendab	ole net assets rer	present those ass	sets that the inst	itution can access	relatively quickly	and spend to satis	sfv its debt obliga	itions. This ratio
1.1.1: Are resources sufficient and flexible eno The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i	h of the institutior	n by comparing	expendable net a	•	•	•					and spend to satis	sfy its debt obliga	tions. This ratio
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt	h of the institutior ndicating how lon	n by comparing ng the institutior	expendable net a	•	•	•					and spend to satis	sfy its debt obliga	tions. This ratio
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by it (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp IPEDS Primary Reserve Ratio	h of the institutior ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8%	h by comparing ng the institutior ductions) 35.5%	expendable net a could function us 30.1%	sing its expendat	ble reserves withou 13.8%	ut relying on add 55.6%	itional net assets 30.1%	generated by o	perations. (p.111 -35.0%		25.8%	103.5%	9.6%
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp	h of the institutior ndicating how lon venses & other de	n by comparing ng the institutior eductions)	expendable net a could function us 30.1%	sing its expendat	ble reserves withou 13.8%	ut relying on add 55.6%	itional net assets 30.1%	generated by o	perations. (p.111				9.6%
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by it (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp IPEDS Primary Reserve Ratio	h of the institutior ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8% 61	n by comparing ng the institution eductions) <u>35.5%</u> 130	expendable net a a could function us 30.1% 110	sing its expendat	ble reserves withou 13.8%	ut relying on add 55.6%	itional net assets 30.1%	generated by o	perations. (p.111 -35.0%		25.8%	103.5%	9.6%
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp <i>IPEDS</i> Primary Reserve Ratio num days expenses from reserves 1.1.2: Are resources, including debt, managed	h of the institutior ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8% 61 strategically t	n by comparing ng the institutior iductions) 35.5% 130 o advance tl	expendable net a n could function us 30.1% 110 ne mission?	sing its expendation 16.7%	ble reserves without 13.8%	ut relying on add 55.6% 203	itional net assets 30.1% 110	s generated by o 48.0% 175	perations. (p.111 -35.0% -128	KPMG)	25.8% 94	103.5%	9.6%
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp <i>IPEDS</i> Primary Reserve Ratio num days expenses from reserves <u>1.1.2: Are resources, including debt, managed</u> The Viability Ratio measures one of the most basic determ	h of the institutior ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8% 61 strategically t	n by comparing ng the institutior ductions) <u>35.5%</u> 130 <u>o advance th</u> nancial health: t	expendable net a n could function us 30.1% 110 ne mission?	sing its expendation 16.7%	ble reserves without 13.8%	ut relying on add 55.6% 203	itional net assets 30.1% 110	s generated by o 48.0% 175	perations. (p.111 -35.0% -128	KPMG)	25.8% 94	103.5%	9.6%
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp <i>IPEDS</i> Primary Reserve Ratio num days expenses from reserves 1.1.2: Are resources, including debt, managed	h of the institutior ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8% 61 strategically t	n by comparing ng the institutior ductions) <u>35.5%</u> 130 <u>o advance th</u> nancial health: t	expendable net a n could function us 30.1% 110 ne mission? he availability of e	sing its expendation 16.7% 61	ble reserves without 13.8% 50	ut relying on add 55.6% 203	itional net assets 30.1% 110 itution need to se	s generated by o 48.0% 175	perations. (p.111 -35.0% -128	KPMG)	25.8% 94	103.5%	9.6% 35
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp <i>IPEDS</i> Primary Reserve Ratio num days expenses from reserves 1.1.2: Are resources, including debt, managed The Viability Ratio measures one of the most basic determ (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / long-term <i>IPEDS-based</i> Viability Ratio	h of the institutior ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8% 61 strategically t ninants of clear fin n debt noncurren 0.44	n by comparing ng the institutior ductions) 35.5% 130 <u>o advance tl</u> nancial health: t t) 1.69	expendable net a a could function us 30.1% 110 <u>ne mission?</u> he availability of e 0.36	sing its expendation 16.7% 61	ble reserves without 13.8% 50	ut relying on add 55.6% 203 ot should the inst	itional net assets 30.1% 110 itution need to se	s generated by o 48.0% 175	perations. (p.111 -35.0% -128 ns as of the balan	KPMG)	25.8% 94 .115, KPMG)	103.5% 378	9.6% 35
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp <i>IPEDS</i> Primary Reserve Ratio num days expenses from reserves 1.1.2: Are resources, including debt, managed The Viability Ratio measures one of the most basic determ (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / long-term	h of the institutior ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8% 61 strategically t ninants of clear fin n debt noncurren 0.44	n by comparing ng the institutior ductions) 35.5% 130 <u>o advance tl</u> nancial health: t t) 1.69	expendable net a a could function us 30.1% 110 <u>ne mission?</u> he availability of e 0.36	sing its expendation 16.7% 61	ble reserves without 13.8% 50	ut relying on add 55.6% 203 ot should the inst	itional net assets 30.1% 110 itution need to se	s generated by o 48.0% 175	perations. (p.111 -35.0% -128 ns as of the balan	KPMG)	25.8% 94 .115, KPMG)	103.5% 378	9.6% 35
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp <i>IPEDS</i> Primary Reserve Ratio num days expenses from reserves <u>1.1.2: Are resources, including debt, managed</u> The Viability Ratio measures one of the most basic determ (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / long-term <i>IPEDS-based</i> Viability Ratio <u>1.1.3: Does asset performance and management</u> The Return on Net Assets Ratio determines whether the in	h of the institution ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8% 61 strategically t ninants of clear fin n debt noncurren 0.44 ent support the estitution is financ	n by comparing ng the institution eductions) 35.5% 130 <u>o advance th</u> nancial health: t t) 1.69 <u>e strategic d</u> ially better off th	expendable net a could function us 30.1% 110 <u>he mission?</u> he availability of e 0.36 <u>irection?</u> han in previous ye	sing its expendation 16.7% 61 expendable net a 0.28 ears by measurin	ale reserves without 13.8% 50 ssets to cover det 0.44 g total economic r	ut relying on add 55.6% 203 ot should the inst 1.72 return. This ratio	itional net assets 30.1% 110 itution need to so 1.14 furnishes a broa	s generated by o 48.0% 175 ettle its obligatio d measure of the	perations. (p.111 -35.0% -128 ns as of the balan -3.48 e change in an ins	KPMG) ce sheet date. (p titution's total wea	25.8% 94 .115, KPMG) 0.38	103.5% 378 0.00	9.6% 35 0.00
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp <i>IPEDS</i> Primary Reserve Ratio num days expenses from reserves <u>1.1.2: Are resources, including debt, managed</u> The Viability Ratio measures one of the most basic determ (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / long-term <i>IPEDS-based</i> Viability Ratio <u>1.1.3: Does asset performance and management</u>	h of the institution ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8% 61 strategically t ninants of clear fin n debt noncurren 0.44 ent support the estitution is financ	n by comparing ng the institution eductions) 35.5% 130 <u>o advance th</u> nancial health: t t) 1.69 <u>e strategic d</u> ially better off th	expendable net a could function us 30.1% 110 <u>he mission?</u> he availability of e 0.36 <u>irection?</u> han in previous ye	sing its expendation 16.7% 61 expendable net a 0.28 ears by measurin	ale reserves without 13.8% 50 ssets to cover det 0.44 g total economic r	ut relying on add 55.6% 203 ot should the inst 1.72 return. This ratio	itional net assets 30.1% 110 itution need to so 1.14 furnishes a broa	s generated by o 48.0% 175 ettle its obligatio d measure of the	perations. (p.111 -35.0% -128 ns as of the balan -3.48 e change in an ins	KPMG) ce sheet date. (p titution's total wea	25.8% 94 .115, KPMG) 0.38	103.5% 378 0.00	9.6% 35 0.00
The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strengt provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by i (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total exp <i>IPEDS</i> Primary Reserve Ratio num days expenses from reserves <u>1.1.2: Are resources, including debt, managed</u> The Viability Ratio measures one of the most basic determ (restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / long-term <i>IPEDS-based</i> Viability Ratio <u>1.1.3: Does asset performance and management</u> The Return on Net Assets Ratio determines whether the in	h of the institution ndicating how lon enses & other de 16.8% 61 strategically t ninants of clear fin n debt noncurren 0.44 ent support the estitution is financ	n by comparing ng the institution eductions) 35.5% 130 <u>o advance th</u> nancial health: t t) 1.69 <u>e strategic d</u> ially better off th	expendable net a could function us 30.1% 110 <u>he mission?</u> he availability of e 0.36 <u>irection?</u> han in previous ye nost comprehensi	sing its expendation 16.7% 61 expendable net a 0.28 ears by measurin ve measure of th	ale reserves without 13.8% 50 ssets to cover det 0.44 g total economic r e growth or declin	ut relying on add 55.6% 203 ot should the inst 1.72 return. This ratio	itional net assets 30.1% 110 itution need to se 1.14 furnishes a broa of an institution o	s generated by o 48.0% 175 ettle its obligatio d measure of the	perations. (p.111 -35.0% -128 ns as of the balan -3.48 e change in an ins eriod of time. (p.12	KPMG) ce sheet date. (p titution's total wea	25.8% 94 .115, KPMG) 0.38	103.5% 378 0.00	9.6% 35 0 0.00 on the level and



WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY		Peer type:											
UNIVERSITY		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
•							University of	University of		University of			University of
				Cleveland State	Portland State	University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte

1.1.4: Do operating results indicate the institution is living within available resources?

The Net Operating Revenues Ratio is a primary indicator, explaining how the surplus from operating activities affects the behavior of the other three core ratios. A large surplus or deficit directly impacts the amount of funds an institution adds to or subtracts from net assets, thereby affecting the Primary Reserve Ratio, the Return on Net Assets Ratio and the Viability Ratio. A positive ratio indicates that the institution experienced an operating surplus for the year. Generally speaking, the larger the surplus, the stronger the institution's financial performance as a result of the year's activities. Calculating operating margins is difficult, at best. Comparing operating margins across higher education institutions is virtually impossible. For public institutions, the operating indicator specified by GASB excludes state appropriations as operating revenue, and the results are not comparable. Most institutions base their financial decisions on the operating budget. Unfortunately, for most higher education institutions, the operating budget bears little resemblance to the audited financial statements. This means that the operating margin as understood by the institution may differ, perhaps considerably, from the margin calculated off the financial statements. (p.127, KPMG)

(total operating & non-operating revenue - total expenditures & other deductions / total operating & non-operating revenues)

IPEDS Net Oper Rev Ratio	4.1%	-0.4%	1.0%	-2.7%	-1.8%	5.8%	-3.8%	-1.5%	-10.9%	100.0%	-5.1%	1.2%	14.6%

The Net Tuition Dependency Ratio measures tuition and fees less all financial aid as a percentage of total operating income for public institutions. The Net Tuition per Student Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Ratio allows the institution to see the average amount of actual tuition revenue on a per-student basis. These two ratios behave differently. An increase in the Net Tuition per Student FTE Ratio is a positive occurrence; however, a decrease in the Net Tuition Dependency Ratio usually benefits the institution. A downward trend in the Net Tuition Dependency Ratio is considered a positive occurrence because it usually indicates that the institution is increasing its diversity of funding sources. (p.130, KPMG)

1 2	•	,			5	5	(i)							
	Net Tuition & Fees Contribution Ratio		31.8%	30.0%	43.8%	28.4%	26.4%	31.1%	32.7%	23.2%		30.3%	33.6%	33.2%
	Net Tuition Dependency Ratio	18.0%	31.9%	29.7%	45.0%	28.9%	24.9%	32.3%	33.2%	25.7%	27.5%	31.8%	33.2%	28.4%
	Net Tuition per Student FTE Ratio	\$9,030	\$9,357	\$11,123	\$13,078	\$9,678	\$8,532	\$7,645	\$8,860	\$7,874	\$13,416	\$13,515	\$11,615	\$9,023
Demand ratios m	easure the extent to which each type of e	xpense is consum	ing operating revei	nues. (p.130, KP	PMG)									
	Instructional Demand Ratio	24.2%	58.5%	48.7%	79.0%	63.3%	55.1%	77.5%	40.4%	38.7%	56.0%	44.1%	44.2%	69.1%
	Academic Support Demand Ratio		18.5%	18.8%	16.0%	14.8%	16.8%	16.3%	24.2%	19.4%	8.5%	16.1%	30.8%	20.1%
	Student Service Demand Ratio	10.3%	15.4%	9.7%	14.0%	7.6%	37.4%	8.6%	11.5%	9.8%	15.1%	9.0%	5.5%	9.2%
	Institutional Support Demand Ratio	6.9%	15.8%	12.8%	16.9%	18.6%	13.7%	17.7%	13.7%	8.6%	11.6%	15.2%	13.2%	13.4%
	Research Demand Ratio	64.3%	25.6%	26.9%	9.7%	11.9%	40.9%	15.9%	37.3%	43.1%	25.9%	27.1%	25.4%	9.7%



WICHITA STATE		Peer type:											
		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer University of	Peer University of	Aspirational	Aspirational University of	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational University of
				Cleveland State		University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
Section 1.2: Financial Proportions													
1.2.1 Revenues													
Revenue Type of Total Revenues	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%		95%	100%	100%
Total operating revenues	70.5%	51.6%	56.2%	59.9%	56.8%	41.0%	54.4%	51.6%	44.2%		66.5%	56.9%	47.7%
Total non-operating revenues	25.6%	39.4%	36.9%	39.6%	39.3%	45.8%	38.4%	35.0%	37.8%		27.8%	34.2%	51.5%
Total other revenues & additions	3.9%	9.1%	3.4%	0.6%	3.9%	13.2%	7.2%	13.4%	5.4%		0.8%	8.8%	0.9%
Revenue Components of Total Revenues	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%		95%	100%	100%
Operating revenues	46.7%	40.3%	41.9%		41.3%	30.0%		42.1%	35.8%		45.8%	46.6%	
Sales & services aux & other	23.0%	9.5%	12.6%	7.2%	14.3%	5.7%		8.9%	6.3%		20.0%	9.8%	
Other operating sources	0.8%	1.8%	1.7%		1.2%	5.3%		0.6%	2.0%		0.7%	0.5%	0.7%
State & Federal appropriations	17.0%	22.4%	22.9%		21.9%	25.4%		18.9%	22.9%		14.2%	16.5%	
State & Federal grants	3.5%	11.2%	7.0%	8.1%	13.2%	14.0%	6.6%	10.7%	13.8%		2.6%	6.2%	9.2%
Gifts & investments	5.1%	5.7%	6.4%	8.3%	3.8%	6.3%	6.0%	5.4%	1.0%		10.3%	9.9%	4.3%
Other non-operating	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%		0.7%	1.7%	0.6%
Capital appropriations	0.7%	1.9%	0.9%	0.6%	3.6%	4.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%		0.1%	3.9%	0.0%
Capital grants & gifts	2.8%	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.9%	3.0%	1.6%	5.4%		0.6%	0.0%	0.7%
Adds to permanent endowments	0.0%	2.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%		0.1%	1.4%	0.1%
Other revenues & additions	0.3%	4.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	11.3%	0.0%		0.0%	3.6%	0.0%
Tuition, Fees & Operating Grants of Total Revenues													
Tuition & Fees before discounts	19.8%	35.2%	34.2%	50.6%	28.3%	27.6%	38.0%	38.1%	25.0%		37.4%	37.0%	31.3%
Tuition & fees after discounts	17.3%	29.0%	27.6%		27.7%	21.6%		28.8%	21.1%		30.0%	30.3%	
Disc & allowances on tuition & fees	5.6%	11.8%	9.1%	11.0%	5.5%	12.0%		14.8%	7.6%		10.5%	10.5%	
Fed operating grants & contracts	26.9%	7.3%	9.8%	5.1%	8.3%	4.8%	9.5%	8.5%	8.6%		12.7%	10.6%	5.5%
State operating grants & contracts	0.9%	2.6%	1.3%		3.8%	1.2%		3.7%	0.8%		0.6%	3.1%	
Local/private grants & contracts	1.6%	1.4%	3.1%		1.4%	2.5%		1.2%	5.3%		2.5%	2.5%	
Expenditures of Total Revenues													
Total expenditures of total revenues	92.2%	91.3%	92.2%	102.2%	97.8%	81.8%	96.3%	87.9%	90.9%		99.2%	90.1%	84.7%



WICHITA STATE		Peer type:											
University		Peers	Aspirational	Peer Cleveland State	Peer Portland State	Peer University of	Peer University of Nebraska	Peer University of Texas San	Aspirational	Aspirational University of California	Aspirational University of	Aspirational University of	Aspirational University of North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
Expend. components of Total Rev	04 70/	20.0%	20.70/	44.40/	40.00/	04.00/	40.00/	20.00/	05.00/		20 50/	07.00/	20.0%
Salaries & wages	34.7%	39.0%	38.7%	41.1%	43.9%	34.3%	43.6%	36.8%			39.5%	37.6%	39.9%
Employee fringe benefits	8.6%	13.2%	10.7%	13.9%	21.5%	10.1%	13.1%	10.1%			13.8%	8.9%	5.1%
Depreciation	5.8%	6.9%	7.6%	9.4%	5.9%	4.6%	4.9%	8.8%			10.7%	7.7%	5.0%
All other (incl plant & other oper)	39.0% 0.8%	25.1%	30.7%	29.4%	20.6%	25.2%	28.2%	24.9% 0.0%			22.5%	26.2%	25.6%
Interest		0.8%	2.4%	2.0%	1.4%	0.8%	0.7%		-		2.7%	3.2%	2.2%
Scholarship & Fellowship expenses	3.3%	5.9%	5.4%	5.6%	6.8%	6.4%	3.1%	6.2%	10.5%		0.9%	5.1%	5.3%
Expend. components of Total Rev													
Instruction	17.0%	30.2%	27.3%	47.3%	36.0%	22.6%	42.2%	20.8%	17.1%		29.4%	25.2%	32.9%
Academic Support	6.9%	9.6%	10.6%	9.6%	8.4%	6.9%	8.8%	12.5%	8.6%		10.7%	17.6%	9.6%
Student Services	7.3%	8.0%	5.4%	8.4%	4.3%	15.3%	4.7%	5.9%			6.0%	3.1%	4.4%
Institutional Support	4.9%	8.1%	7.2%	10.1%	10.6%	5.6%	9.6%	7.1%	3.8%		10.1%	7.5%	6.4%
Research	45.3%	13.2%	15.1%	5.8%		16.8%	8.6%	19.3%			18.1%	14.5%	4.6%
1.2.2 Expenditures													
Expend. Type of Total Expend.	96%	93%	94%	94%	95%	92%	94%	92%		96%		93%	92%
Salaries & wages	37.6%	42.7%	40.6%	40.3%	44.9%	42.0%	45.3%	41.9%		32.8%		41.7%	47.1%
Employee fringe benefits	9.3%	14.5%	11.2%	13.6%	22.0%	12.3%	13.6%	11.5%		9.8%		9.9%	6.1%
Depreciation	6.2%	7.5%	7.9%	9.2%	6.1%	5.6%	5.1%	10.0%		6.5%		8.5%	6.0%
All other	42.3%	27.5%	32.2%	28.8%	21.1%	30.9%	29.3%	28.3%		44.9%		29.1%	30.3%
Interest	0.8%	0.9%	2.5%	2.0%	1.4%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	2.4%	2.9%	3.6%	2.5%
Functional Area of Total Expend.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Instruction	19.2%	35.4%	30.4%	49.0%	39.5%	30.0%	45.2%	25.5%		28.0%		29.6%	41.5%
Academic Support	7.7%	35.4% 11.2%	30.4% 11.7%	49.0% 9.9%	9.2%	9.2%	45.2% 9.5%	25.5%		4.2%		29.6%	41.5%
11	8.2%	9.3%		8.7%	9.2% 4.7%	20.4%	9.5% 5.0%	7.2%		7.5%		3.7%	
Student Services	5.5%	9.3%	6.0% 8.0%	10.5%	4.7%	7.5%	5.0%	8.6%		5.8%		3.7% 8.8%	5.5% 8.1%
Institutional Support	5.5%	9.5%	8.0% 16.8%	6.0%	7.5%	22.3%	9.3%	23.6%		5.8%		8.8% 17.0%	5.8%
Research Dublia Service	6.4%	3.4%	4.2%	2.0%	5.6%	22.3%	9.3%	23.6%		12.9%	8.3%	5.0%	5.8% 0.7%
Public Service	2.2%	12.6%	4.2%	13.6%	17.3%	6.6%	4.8%	11.4%		1.5%		13.3%	28.2%
Auxiliary	0.0%	3.1%	9.3%	0.3%	4.5%	1.7%	0.0%	5.7%		29.3%		13.3%	-1.9%
Other	0.0%	3.1%	9.3%	0.3%	4.3%	1.7%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	29.3%	1.4%	1.9%	-1.9%



WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY		Peer type:											
		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
•							University of	University of		University of			University of
				Cleveland State	Portland State	University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte

Section 1.3: Financial Data

Institutional finance data for public institutions that use accounting standards established by the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Finance data includes institutional revenues by source, expenditures by category and assets & liabilities. This information provides context for understanding the cost of providing postsecondary education. IPEDS data source: Finance, Public Institutions - GASB 34/35, **FY 2023**

								1					
TOTAL REVENUES/EXPENSES/NET ASSETS													
Total revenues and other additions	\$615,545,869	\$547,955,224	\$1,257,693,384	\$346,410,160	\$554,738,121	\$641,236,947	\$324,323,746	\$873,067,148	\$1,220,151,637		\$1,566,290,478	\$1,411,351,496	\$832,979,924
Total expenses & other deductions	\$567,716,079	\$500,128,550	\$1,159,647,081	\$353,867,142	\$542,537,339	\$524,400,405	\$312,449,036	\$767,388,826	\$1,108,830,448		\$1,552,984,899	\$1,271,642,210	\$705,130,768
Net assets end of year	\$411,242,277	\$758,446,629	\$1,522,079,007	\$310,976,463	\$491,090,446	\$849,061,565	\$446,900,616	\$1,694,204,053	\$533,143,692		\$1,932,482,063	\$2,522,648,179	\$1,100,042,094
REVENUE:													
Total all revenues	\$615,545,869	\$547,955,224	\$1,257,693,384	\$346,410,160	\$554,738,121	\$641,236,947	\$324,323,746	\$873,067,148	\$1,220,151,637		\$1,566,290,478	\$1,411,351,496	\$832,979,924
OPERATING REVENUES													
Total Operating revenues	\$433,961,286	\$282,535,960	\$706,841,580	\$207,400,656	\$315,149,180	\$263,118,028	\$176,388,652	\$450,623,284	\$538,855,769	\$752,533,000	\$1,042,298,031	\$803,435,588	\$397,085,511
Oper rev frm disc tuition&grants	\$287,425,058	\$220,670,009	\$526,781,270	\$178,696,192	\$229,107,944	\$192,605,376	\$135,318,411	\$367,622,123	\$437,172,751	\$530,588,000	\$717,217,472	\$657,090,407	\$291,837,721
Sales & services of aux enterp	\$17,471,739	\$44,555,550	\$109,888,583	\$20,583,139	\$74,502,784	\$32,444,478	\$33,031,925	\$62,215,425	\$76,855,593	\$119,327,000	\$152,980,961	\$101,813,283	\$98,466,079
Sales & services of hospitals	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sales & services of educ actv	\$124,214,089	\$7,319,402	\$49,011,127	\$4,453,274	\$5,097,323	\$4,094,678	\$7,219,832	\$15,731,903	\$0	\$46,483,000	\$160,797,735	\$36,898,485	\$876,417
Independent operations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other sources - operating	\$4,850,400	\$9,990,999	\$21,160,599	\$3,668,051	\$6,441,129	\$33,973,496	\$818,484	\$5,053,833	\$24,827,425	\$56,135,000	\$11,301,863	\$7,633,413	\$5,905,294
Percent of Total Operating revenues	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Oper rev frm disc tuition&grants	66.2%	78.1%	74.5%	86.2%	72.7%	73.2%	76.7%	81.6%	81.1%	70.5%	68.8%	81.8%	73.5%
Sales & services of aux enterp	4.0%	15.8%	15.5%	9.9%	23.6%	12.3%	18.7%	13.8%	14.3%	15.9%	14.7%	12.7%	24.8%
Sales & services of hospitals	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sales & services of educ actv	28.6%	2.6%	6.9%	2.1%	1.6%	1.6%	4.1%	3.5%	0.0%	6.2%	15.4%	4.6%	0.2%
Independent operations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other sources - operating	1.1%	3.5%	3.0%	1.8%	2.0%	12.9%	0.5%	1.1%	4.6%	7.5%	1.1%	1.0%	1.5%
			·										



	Vichita State		Peer type:											
uzu 🗉	JNIVERSITY		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
•								University of	University of		University of			University of
					Cleveland State	Portland State	University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions		WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
NON-OPERATIN	IG REVENUES													
	Total non-operating revenues	\$157,778,774	\$215,738,030	\$464,086,719	\$137,007,511	\$217,984,865	\$293,635,723	\$124,621,022	\$305,441,027	\$460,686,789	\$512,431,000	\$435,361,783	\$483,353,120	\$428,600,905
	Federal appropriations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	State appropriations	\$104,772,072	\$122,527,951	\$287,654,975	\$79,929,944	\$121,426,187	\$163,118,103	\$83,326,292	\$164,839,228	\$279,668,755	\$392,583,000	\$222,679,185	\$232,786,508	\$310,557,428
	Local appropriations & relt support	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal non-operating grants	\$21,637,134	\$47,291,811	\$81,562,775	\$20,833,174	\$48,501,688	\$52,608,516	\$21,472,095	\$93,043,581	\$168,459,416	\$67,016,000	\$33,254,023	\$87,008,728	\$52,075,707
	State non-operating grants	\$0	\$13,124,916	\$6,318,800	\$7,375,936	\$20,590,414	\$37,024,014	\$0	\$634,217	\$0	\$0	\$6,981,507	\$0	\$24,612,492
	Local non-operating grants	\$0	\$833,220	\$80,173	\$0	\$4,166,099	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400,864	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Gifts, incl affil organizations	\$28,918,435	\$17,836,298	\$29,566,256	\$13,715,462	\$15,773,820	\$30,780,797	\$16,482,977	\$12,428,435	\$390,009	\$16,812,000	\$63,972,925	\$47,527,906	\$19,128,439
	Investment income	\$2,451,133	\$13,399,525	\$50,321,245	\$15,152,995	\$5,091,138	\$9,340,245	\$3,071,779	\$34,341,469	\$11,767,745	\$33,656,000	\$97,501,594	\$91,575,969	\$17,104,917
	Other non-operating revenues	\$0	\$724,309	\$8,582,496	\$0	\$2,435,519	\$764,048	\$267,879	\$154,097	\$0	\$2,364,000	\$10,972,549	\$24,454,009	\$5,121,922
	% of Total non-operating revenue	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Federal appropriations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	State appropriations	66.4%	56.8%	62.0%	58.3%	55.7%	55.6%	66.9%	54.0%	60.7%	76.6%	51.1%	48.2%	72.5%
	Local appropriations & relt support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Federal non-operating grants	13.7%	21.9%	17.6%	15.2%	22.3%	17.9%	17.2%	30.5%	36.6%	13.1%	7.6%	18.0%	12.2%
	State non-operating grants	0.0%	6.1%	1.4%	5.4%	9.4%	12.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	5.7%
	Local non-operating grants	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Gifts, incl affil organizations	18.3%	8.3%	6.4%	10.0%	7.2%	10.5%	13.2%	4.1%	0.1%	3.3%	14.7%	9.8%	4.5%
	Investment income	1.6%	6.2%	10.8%	11.1%	2.3%	3.2%	2.5%	11.2%	2.6%	6.6%	22.4%	18.9%	4.0%
	Other non-operating revenues	0.0%	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%	1.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	2.5%	5.1%	1.2%
OTHER REVENL														
UTHER REVENC	Total other revenues & additions	\$23,805,809	\$49,681,235	\$42,355,381	\$2.001.993	\$21.604.076	\$84.483.196	\$23,314,072	\$117,002,837	\$65,594,046	\$1,323,000	\$13,003,562	\$124,562,788	\$7,293,508
		\$4,501,800	\$10,280,215	\$11,178,634	\$2,001,993	\$19,876,762	\$28,844,303	\$678,015	\$117,002,037	\$05,594,040	\$1,323,000 \$0	\$1,379,167	\$54,514,004	\$7,293,508 \$0
	Capital appropriations Capital grants & gifts	\$17,206,912	\$6,209,062	\$16.637.394	\$2,001,993	\$1.727.314	\$5.638.893	\$9,622,162	\$0 \$14,056,940	\$65.593.978	\$0 \$1,323,000	\$10.167.477	\$04,514,004	\$6,102,513
	Adds to permanent endowments	\$17,200,912	\$10,893,934	\$4,510,810	\$0 \$0	\$1,727,314	\$50.000.000	\$9,022,102	\$4,469,668	\$00,090,978 \$0	\$1,323,000	\$1,456,918	\$19,906,137	\$1,190,995
	Other revenues & additions	\$2,097,097	\$22,298,025	\$10,028,543	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$50,000,000	\$13,013,895	\$98,476,229	\$0 \$68	\$0 \$0	\$1,450,918 \$0	\$50,142,647	\$1,190,995 \$0
		\$2,097,097	\$22,296,025	\$10,020,543	Ф О	Ф О	Φ 0	\$13,013,095	\$90,470,229	900	Ф О	φU	۵ <u>5</u> 0,142,04 <i>1</i>	φU
	percent of Other Revenues	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Capital appropriations	18.9%	20.7%	26.4%	100.0%	92.0%	34.1%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.6%	43.8%	0.0%
	Capital grants & gifts	72.3%	12.5%	39.3%	0.0%	8.0%	6.7%	41.3%	12.0%	100.0%	100.0%	78.2%	0.0%	83.7%
	Adds to permanent endowments	0.0%	21.9%	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	59.2%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	11.2%	16.0%	16.3%
	Other revenues & additions	8.8%	44.9%	23.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	55.8%	84.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.3%	0.0%



UNIVERSITY Peers Aspirational Aspirational Aspirational Aspirational University of University of University of University of University of University of Nebraska Aspirational Aspirational Omaha Aspirational Aspirational Aspirational University of University of University of University of University of University of University of Nebraska Aspirational Aspirational Aspirational University of University of Site 2:0:092.420 Aspirational University of Site 2:0:092.420 Aspirational University of California Aspirational University of University of California Aspirational University of California Aspirati	4 \$43,377,209 1 \$7,839,357 5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146
Dimensions WSU Peers Aspirational Cleveland State University University of Memphis Nebraska Omaha Texas San Antonio Cealiformia Georgia State University of Riverside University of Clainonal University of Housen REVENUE Sub-components: TUTION DISCOUNTS S54.288.319 \$102.482.227 \$194.471.69 \$57.433.039 \$72.189.223 \$126.105.986 \$73.971.457 \$182.711.431 \$221.476.194 \$221.476.194 \$214.156.048 \$182.233.494 \$238.602.83 Pell grants (federal) \$10.610.706 \$37.184.027 \$59.992.337 \$200.924.20 \$34.968.293 \$57.143.09 \$22.393.507 \$113.2796 \$77.238.080 \$62.440.375 \$22.9473.52	North Carolina Charlotte 3 \$119,967,278 4 \$43,377,209 1 \$7,839,357 5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146
Dimensions WSU Peers Aspirational University University Memphis Omaha Antonio Georgia State Riverside Clincinati Houston REVENUE Sub-components: TUTION DISCOUNTS Total gross schl & fellowships \$54,288,319 \$102,482,227 \$194,847,169 \$57,433,039 \$72,189,223 \$126,105,966 \$73,971,457 \$182,711,431 \$221,476,194 \$214,156,048 \$182,233,494 \$236,402,83 Other federal grants \$34,576,833 \$71,040,227 \$239,961,237 \$20,092,402 \$34,0864,229 \$37,373,809 \$78,238,008 \$524,243,75 \$228,373,526 \$82,032,59 \$21,032,565 \$23,996,611 \$94,064 \$71,615,883 \$57,390 \$57,281,644 \$52,215,65 \$33,908 \$5 \$50 \$51,103,753 \$8,650,244 \$20,734,745 \$38,050,763 \$51,103,753 \$5,298,607 \$25,978,310 \$5,499,962 \$81,73,397 \$5,428,942 \$22,196 \$6 \$7,480,930 \$33,556 \$21,917,64 \$21,916,83 \$21,917,66 \$21,916,83 \$21,916,83 \$37,91,916,83 \$5,049,9262 \$81,73,93	Charlotte 3 \$119,967,278 4 \$43,377,209 1 \$7,839,357 5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146
REVENUE Sub-components: TUITION DISCOUNTS Total gross schi & fellowares Pell grants (federal) Other federal grants S3,487,693 \$102,482,227 \$19,487,169 \$102,482,227 \$59,192,357 \$194,87,169 \$57,433,039 \$72,189,223 \$20,092,420 \$126,105,986 \$36,893,882 \$73,971,457 \$18,2711,431 \$221,476,194 \$221,476,194 \$221,476,194 \$221,476,048 \$182,233,494 \$29,873,526 \$226,402,835 Other federal grants Grants by state government S0,009,915 \$13,483,424 \$19,483,716 \$33,979,640 \$7,163,583 \$57,4390 \$22,393,285 \$87,855,707 \$11,382,796 \$7,281,644 \$5,328,032,130 \$2,987,310 \$2,978,310 \$5,499,952 \$8,137,397 \$5,428,942 \$22,140,248 \$2,94,083,97 % of Total gross schi & felshsps 1nst grants (federal) 34,3% <td< td=""><td>3 \$119,967,278 4 \$43,377,209 1 \$7,839,357 5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146</td></td<>	3 \$119,967,278 4 \$43,377,209 1 \$7,839,357 5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146
TUITION DISCOUNTS 554,888,319 \$102,482,227 \$194,847,169 \$57,433,039 \$72,189,223 \$126,105,986 \$73,971,457 \$122,1746,194 \$214,156,048 \$29,873,526 \$82,022,59 Other federal grants \$34,57,693 \$7,184,027 \$59,192,357 \$20,092,420 \$34,088,429 \$36,893,382 \$21,472,095 \$77,373,809 \$78,238,080 \$62,440,375 \$29,873,526 \$82,022,59 Other federal grants \$34,57,693 \$7,010,255 \$23,936,211 \$940,376 \$39,79,640 \$7,163,583 \$57,430,095 \$57,281,644 \$53,31,556 \$61,103,753 \$86,50,244 \$20,734,745 \$88,032,180 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$50 \$2,21,166 \$33,554,665 \$51,919,766 \$7,480,930 \$33,554,665 \$51,919,766 \$5,919,916 \$5,919,916 \$5,919,926 \$11,382,797 \$5,428,942 \$22,116 \$6 \$21,410,24 \$21,410,24 \$20,234,745 \$29,393,588 \$38,078,284 \$25,946,662 \$81,443,85 \$12,023,778 \$11,849,812 \$11,49,812 \$11,49,812 \$11,41,416 \$21,410,24 \$12,898,419	4 \$43,377,209 1 \$7,839,357 5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146
TUITION DISCOUNTS 554,288,319 5102,482,227 \$194,847,169 \$57,433,039 \$72,189,223 \$126,105,986 \$73,971,457 \$122,174,6194 \$214,156,048 \$29,873,526 \$82,023,598 Other federal grants (federal) \$34,57,693 \$7,010,255 \$23,936,211 \$940,376 \$33,979,640 \$71,63,583 \$574,390 \$78,238,080 \$62,440,375 \$29,873,526 \$82,023,596 Other federal grants (federal) \$34,57,693 \$7,010,255 \$23,936,211 \$940,376 \$33,979,640 \$7,163,583 \$574,390 \$52,239,976 \$7,281,644 \$53,315,466 Grants by idte government (stag government) \$5,009,915 \$13,483,424 \$16,133,753 \$8,650,244 \$20,734,745 \$88,032,180 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,919,266 \$7,480,930 \$22,196 \$33,554,665 \$10,113,803 \$5,238,607 \$5,919,952 \$8,137,397 \$5,428,942 \$22,105,366 \$21,11,243 \$10,986,833 \$33,554,466 \$10,980 \$25,978,310 \$5,499,952 \$8,137,397 \$5,428,942 \$22,106,366 \$21,410,24 \$10,980 \$10,980 \$10,980	4 \$43,377,209 1 \$7,839,357 5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146
Total gross schl & fellowships \$54,288,319 \$102,482,227 \$194,847,169 \$57,433,039 \$72,189,223 \$126,105,968 \$73,971,457 \$182,711,431 \$221,476,194 \$214,156,048 \$182,233,494 \$226,402,83 Pell grants (tederal) \$10,610,760 \$37,184,027 \$59,192,357 \$20,092,420 \$34,088,429 \$36,893,382 \$21,472,095 \$73,373,809 \$78,238,080 \$\$22,440,375 \$229,873,526 \$22,393,261 \$57,523,550 \$51,21,55 \$52,31,55 \$51,483,324 \$16,133,753 \$84,650,244 \$20,734,745 \$38,032,130 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$52,195,766 \$57,480,930 \$53,355,707 \$54,28,942 \$22,1644 \$52,51,55 \$51,113,81,966 \$55,919,766 \$57,480,930 \$53,454,662 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$52,155 \$51,101,31,31,3906 \$52,38,557,070 \$55,499,552 \$51,101,31,31,3906 \$52,31,55 \$51,011,31,3896 \$52,38,607 \$52,598,610 \$52,998,610 \$51,99,576 \$51,410,424 \$51,410,44 \$51,410,443,85 \$47,45,5101 \$11,82,914,169 \$11,82,914,169 \$11,82,914,169<	4 \$43,377,209 1 \$7,839,357 5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146
Pell grants (federal) \$18,610,760 \$37,184,027 \$59,192,357 \$20,092,420 \$34,088,429 \$36,893,382 \$21,472,095 \$73,373,809 \$78,238,080 \$62,440,375 \$29,873,526 \$82,032,59 Other federal grants \$3,457,693 \$77,012,55 \$23,936,211 \$940,376 \$3,979,640 \$71,613,583 \$57,4300 \$22,393,285 \$87,855,707 \$11,382,796 \$7,281,644 \$5,521,556 Grants by local government \$50,099,915 \$13,483,424 \$16,133,753 \$88,650,244 \$20,734,745 \$38,030,068 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,196 \$2,216 \$2,196 \$2,216 \$2,196 \$2,214 \$2,214 \$2,214,644 \$2,233,538 \$38,778,284 \$2,5946,662 \$81,444,385 \$47,245,010 \$128,984,169 \$115,489,812 \$9	4 \$43,377,209 1 \$7,839,357 5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146
Other federal grants \$3,457,693 \$7,010,255 \$23,936,211 \$940,376 \$3,979,640 \$7,163,583 \$574,390 \$22,393,285 \$87,855,707 \$11,382,796 \$7,281,644 \$5,532,155 Grants by state government Grants by local government \$5,009,915 \$13,483,244 \$16,133,753 \$8,650,244 \$20,734,745 \$330,2130 \$0 \$0 \$5,919,766 \$7,480,930 \$23,354,46 Grants by local government Grants by local government \$10,866,835 \$13,133,906 \$7,503,505 \$10,113,803 \$5,239,607 \$25,978,310 \$5,499,952 \$8,137,397 \$5,428,942 \$22,105,386 \$21,140,24 Inst grants unrestricted resources \$15,186,173 \$33,869,873 \$82,441,074 \$20,246,494 \$2,393,538 \$38,778,284 \$25,946,662 \$81,444,385 \$47,245,010 \$12,898,169 \$110,489,812 \$94,083,97 Wood Total gross schl & felshps 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 10	1\$7,839,3575\$33,713,6040\$47,146
Grants by state government Grants by local government \$5,009,915 \$13,483,424 \$16,133,753 \$8,650,244 \$20,734,745 \$38,032,130 \$2,196 \$5,21,023 \$12,023,778 \$10,086,835 \$11,13,906 \$7,53,505 \$10,113,803 \$5,238,607 \$25,978,310 \$5,499,952 \$8,137,397 \$5,428,942 \$22,196 \$2 \$10,024 \$10,024 \$20,246,494 \$2,933,538 \$38,778,284 \$25,978,310 \$5,499,952 \$8,137,397 \$5,428,942 \$22,196,386 \$21,410,24 Inst grants unestricted resources \$10,00% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	5 \$33,713,604 0 \$47,146
Grants by local government \$0 \$67,814 \$9,868 \$0 \$339,068 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,196 \$2,141,024 Inst grants unrestricted resources \$15,186,173 \$33,869,873 \$82,441,074 \$20,246,494 \$2,933,538 \$38,778,284 \$25,946,662 \$81,444,385 \$47,245,010 \$128,984,169 \$115,489,812 \$94,083,97 % of Total gross schl & felshps 100% <td>0 \$47,146</td>	0 \$47,146
Inst grants restricted resources \$12,023,778 \$10,866,835 \$13,133,906 \$7,503,505 \$10,113,803 \$5,238,607 \$25,978,310 \$5,499,952 \$8,137,397 \$5,428,942 \$22,105,386 \$21,110,24 Inst grants unrestricted resources \$15,186,173 \$33,869,873 \$82,441,074 \$20,246,494 \$22,933,538 \$38,778,284 \$25,946,662 \$81,444,385 \$47,245,010 \$128,984,169 \$115,489,812 \$94,083,97 % of Total gross schl & felshps 100% <td< td=""><td>. ,</td></td<>	. ,
Inst grants unrestricted resources \$15,186,173 \$33,869,873 \$82,441,074 \$20,246,494 \$2,933,538 \$38,779,284 \$25,946,662 \$81,444,385 \$47,245,010 \$128,984,169 \$115,489,812 \$94,083,97 % of Total gross schl & felshps 100%	
% of Total gross schl & felshps 100% <td></td>	
Pell grants (federal)34.3%36.3%30.4%35.0%47.2%29.3%29.0%40.2%35.3%29.2%16.4%34.7%Other federal grants6.4%6.8%12.3%1.6%5.5%5.7%0.8%12.3%39.7%5.3%4.0%2.3%Grants by state government9.2%13.2%8.3%15.1%28.7%30.2%0.0%0.0%0.0%2.8%4.1%14.2%Grants by local government0.0%0.1%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Inst grants restricted research22.1%10.6%6.7%13.1%14.0%4.2%35.1%3.0%3.7%2.5%12.1%9.1%Instl grants unrestricted research28.0%33.0%42.3%35.3%4.1%30.8%35.1%44.6%21.3%60.2%63.4%39.8%Total discounts & allowances\$34,242,839\$70,037,896\$127,211,550\$38,054,329\$34,440,468\$84,950,730\$63,853,150\$128,890,804\$93,317,961\$134,515,000\$168,202,920\$163,967,17Disc & allowances on tuition & fees\$34,242,839\$64,900,396\$114,493,884\$38,054,329\$30,514,494\$76,976,694\$50,065,660\$128,890,804\$93,317,961\$107,269,000\$165,117,864\$147,800,97	6 \$26,402,402
Other federal grants 6.4% 6.8% 12.3% 1.6% 5.5% 5.7% 0.8% 12.3% 39.7% 5.3% 4.0% 2.3% Grants by state government 9.2% 13.2% 8.3% 15.1% 28.7% 30.2% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 2.8% 4.1% 14.2% Grants by local government 0.0% 0.1% 0.0	6 100%
Grants by state government 9.2% 13.2% 8.3% 15.1% 28.7% 30.2% 0.0% 0.0% 2.8% 4.1% 14.29 Grants by local government 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% <td>36.2%</td>	36.2%
Grants by local government 0.0% 0.1% 0.0%	6.5%
Inst grants restricted research 22.1% 10.6% 6.7% 13.1% 14.0% 4.2% 35.1% 3.0% 3.7% 2.5% 12.1% 9.1% Instl grants unrestricted research 28.0% 33.0% 42.3% 35.3% 4.1% 30.8% 35.1% 44.6% 21.3% 60.2% 63.4% 39.8% Total discounts & allowances \$34,242,839 \$70,037,896 \$127,211,550 \$38,054,329 \$34,440,468 \$84,950,730 \$63,853,150 \$128,890,804 \$93,317,961 \$134,515,000 \$168,202,920 \$163,967,17 Disc & allowances on tuition & fees \$34,242,839 \$64,900,396 \$114,493,884 \$38,054,329 \$30,514,494 \$76,976,694 \$50,065,660 \$128,890,804 \$93,317,961 \$107,269,000 \$165,117,864 \$147,800,97	6 28.1%
Inst grants restricted research 22.1% 10.6% 6.7% 13.1% 14.0% 4.2% 35.1% 3.0% 3.7% 2.5% 12.1% 9.1% Instl grants unrestricted research 28.0% 33.0% 42.3% 35.3% 4.1% 30.8% 35.1% 44.6% 21.3% 60.2% 63.4% 39.8% Total discounts & allowances \$34,242,839 \$70,037,896 \$127,211,550 \$38,054,329 \$34,440,468 \$84,950,730 \$63,853,150 \$128,890,804 \$93,317,961 \$134,515,000 \$168,202,920 \$163,967,17 Disc & allowances on tuition & fees \$34,242,839 \$64,900,396 \$114,493,884 \$38,054,329 \$30,514,494 \$76,976,694 \$50,065,660 \$128,890,804 \$93,317,961 \$107,269,000 \$165,117,864 \$147,800,97	6 0.0%
C C <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""></thc<></thc<></thc<>	6 7.2%
Disc & allowances on tuition & fees \$34,242,839 \$64,900,396 \$114,493,884 \$38,054,329 \$30,514,494 \$76,976,694 \$50,065,660 \$128,890,804 \$93,317,961 \$107,269,000 \$165,117,864 \$147,800,97	6 22.0%
Disc & allowances on tuition & fees \$34,242,839 \$64,900,396 \$114,493,884 \$38,054,329 \$30,514,494 \$76,976,694 \$50,065,660 \$128,890,804 \$93,317,961 \$107,269,000 \$165,117,864 \$147,800,97	
	6 \$76,054,695
Other discounts \$0 \$5,137,500 \$12,717,666 \$0 \$3,925,974 \$7,974,036 \$13,787,490 \$0 \$0 \$27,246,000 \$3,085,056 \$16,166,20	1 \$58,963,625
	5 \$17,091,070
Net scholarships & fellowships \$20,045,480 \$32,444,331 \$67,635,619 \$19,378,710 \$37,748,755 \$41,155,256 \$10,118,307 \$53,820,627 \$128,158,233 \$79,641,048 \$14,030,574 \$72,435,65	7 \$43,912,583
	. , ,
TUITION/FEES & OPERATING CONTRACTS	
Oper rev from disc tuition&grants \$287,425,058 \$220,670,009 \$526,781,270 \$178,696,192 \$229,107,944 \$192,605,376 \$135,318,411 \$367,622,123 \$437,172,751 \$530,588,000 \$717,217,472 \$657,090,40	7 \$291,837,721
Tuition & fees, after discounts \$106,749,340 \$159,146,320 \$347,448,162 \$155,011,643 \$153,930,640 \$138,502,616 \$97,180,581 \$251,106,120 \$257,378,658 \$347,695,000 \$469,995,266 \$427,851,87	9 \$234,320,005
Fed operating grants & contracts \$165,285,872 \$39,810,505 \$123,746,986 \$17,557,010 \$46,315,837 \$30,573,638 \$30,760,694 \$73,845,348 \$105,247,365 \$118,079,000 \$199,210,988 \$150,010,24	8 \$46,187,328
State operating grants & contracts \$5,753,193 \$14,062,381 \$16,864,783 \$3,259,314 \$21,300,657 \$7,572,468 \$5,818,777 \$32,360,690 \$10,279,472 \$17,861,000 \$9,079,333 \$43,839,91	4 \$3,264,198
Local/private grants & contracts \$9,636,653 \$7,650,803 \$38,721,339 \$2,868,225 \$7,560,810 \$15,956,654 \$1,558,359 \$10,309,965 \$64,267,256 \$46,953,000 \$38,931,885 \$35,388,36	6 \$8,066,190
Oper rev from disc tuition&grants 100%	6 100%
Tuition & fees, after discounts 37.1% 72.1% 66.0% 86.7% 67.2% 71.9% 71.8% 68.3% 58.9% 65.5% 65.5% 65.1%	6 80.3%
Fed operating grants & contracts 57.5% 18.0% 23.5% 9.8% 20.2% 15.9% 22.7% 20.1% 24.1% 22.3% 27.8% 22.8%	6 15.8%
State operating grants & contracts 2.0% 6.4% 3.2% 1.8% 9.3% 3.9% 4.3% 8.8% 2.4% 3.4% 1.3% 6.7%	6 1.1%
Local/private grants & contracts 3.4% 3.5% 7.4% 1.6% 3.3% 8.3% 1.2% 2.8% 14.7% 8.8% 5.4% 5.4%	2.8%

WICHITA STATE			Peer type:											
UNIVERSITY			Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
-								University of	University of		University of			University of
			_		Cleveland State		University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions		WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
EXPENSES:														
	other deductions	\$567,716,079	\$500,128,550	\$1,199,079,619	\$353,867,142	\$542,537,339	\$524,400,405	\$312,449,036	\$767,388,826	\$958,751,834	\$1,587,553,883	\$1,472,319,398	\$1,271,642,210	\$705,130,768
TOTAL EXPENSES/DEDUCTIONS		, ., .,.	,, .,	, , , ,	, , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, . , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• • • • • • • • •	, , . ,	, ,,	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • •	, ,
	Current year total	\$567,716,079	\$500,128,550	\$1,199,079,619	\$353,867,142	\$542,537,339	\$524,400,405	\$312,449,036	\$767,388,826	\$958,751,834	\$1,587,553,883	\$1,472,319,398	\$1,271,642,210	\$705,130,768
	Salaries & wages	\$213,569,965	\$213,800,249	\$487,246,212	\$142,456,127	\$243,515,907	\$220,121,976	\$141,554,519	\$321,352,714	\$433,825,651	\$521,103,862	\$619,226,378	\$530,050,481	\$332,024,686
Emploj	yee fringe benefits	\$52,922,837	\$72,568,178	\$133,992,582	\$48,076,174	\$119,459,895	\$64,520,726	\$42,346,538	\$88,437,557	\$129,741,687	\$155,807,343	\$215,708,343	\$125,982,897	\$42,722,641
	Depreciation	\$35,467,743	\$37,553,178	\$95,079,353	\$32,541,270	\$32,957,129	\$29,347,627	\$15,878,704	\$77,041,158	\$53,193,143	\$103,818,000	\$167,827,694	\$108,546,393	\$42,011,537
	All other	\$240,036,770	\$137,392,821	\$386,157,279	\$101,995,065	\$114,372,502	\$161,793,326	\$91,453,091	\$217,350,122	\$281,598,197	\$712,312,045	\$352,960,995	\$370,443,472	2 \$213,471,687
	Interest	\$4,808,446	\$4,366,479	\$29,756,391	\$7,079,927	\$7,603,328	\$5,025,138	\$2,124,001	\$0	\$5,180,439	\$37,697,000	\$42,733,739	\$45,194,878	\$\$17,975,897
% of Total ex	penses/deductions	96%	93%	94%	94%	95%	92%	94%	92%	94%	96%	95%	93%	92%
	Salaries & wages	37.6%	42.7%	40.6%	40.3%	44.9%	42.0%	45.3%	41.9%	45.2%	32.8%	42.1%	41.7%	
Emplo	vee fringe benefits	9.3%	14.5%	11.2%	13.6%	22.0%	12.3%	13.6%	11.5%	13.5%	9.8%	14.7%	9.9%	
Employ	Depreciation	6.2%	7.5%	7.9%	9.2%	6.1%	5.6%	5.1%	10.0%	5.5%	6.5%	11.4%	8.5%	
	All other	42.3%	27.5%	32.2%	28.8%	21.1%	30.9%	29.3%	28.3%	29.4%	44.9%	24.0%	29.1%	
	Interest	0.8%	0.9%	2.5%	2.0%	1.4%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	2.4%	2.9%	3.6%	
Schl & Felshp exp	penses Cur yr total	\$20,045,480	\$32,444,331	\$67,635,619	\$19,378,710	\$37,748,755	\$41,155,256	\$10,118,307	\$53,820,627	\$128,158,233	\$79,641,048	\$14,030,574	\$72,435,657	\$43,912,583
INSTRUCTION														
INSTRUCTION	Current year total	\$104,948,117	\$165,390,615	\$343,879,730	\$163,859,151	\$199,643,302	\$144 879 790	\$136,712,802	\$181,858,029	\$208,286,131	\$421,724,156	\$460,029,671	\$355,013,689	\$274,345,001
	Salaries & wages	\$68,594,616	\$91.021.797	\$220,246,113	\$75,648,316	\$120,962,386	\$79,398,534	. , ,	\$98,935,588	\$164,423,661	\$267,667,942	. , ,	. , ,	
percent	of Total Instruction	65%	55%	64%	46%	61%	55%	59%	54%	79%	63%	55%	63%	
	Salaries & wages	65.4%	55.0%	64.0%	46.2%	60.6%	54.8%	58.6%	54.4%	78.9%	63.5%	55.2%	63.4%	
ACADEMIC SUPPORT														
	Current year total	\$42,291,015	\$52,339,020	\$132,829,970	\$33,265,477	\$46,674,477	\$44,217,877	\$28,685,866	\$108,851,401	\$104,773,421	\$63,837,163	\$167,879,101	\$247,722,083	\$79,938,083
	Salaries & wages	\$20,486,053	\$25,784,489	\$59,582,860	\$15,048,782	\$24,048,726	\$24,453,711	\$13,859,109	\$51,512,118	\$53,246,847	\$28,322,180	\$72,783,785	\$103,687,753	\$39,873,736
percent of Total	Academic support	48%	49%	45%	45%	52%	55%	48%	47%	51%	44%	43%	42%	50%
	Salaries & wages	48.4%	49.3%	44.9%	45.2%	51.5%	55.3%	48.3%	47.3%	50.8%	44.4%	43.4%	41.9%	49.9%
STUDENT SERVICES														
	Current year total	\$44,656,542	\$43,634,484	\$68,228,399	\$29,012,160	\$23,970,897	\$98,359,153	\$15,202,917	\$51,627,292	\$52,911,553	\$113,651,364	\$93,767,468	\$44,314,266	\$36,497,345
	Salaries & wages	\$17,066,658	\$17,505,991	\$26,155,366	\$12,335,239	\$13,109,057	\$33,786,193	\$7,947,446	\$20,352,021	\$28,035,262	\$42,348,781	\$29,322,568	\$16,655,073	\$14,415,148
percent of Tota	al Student Services	38%	40%	38%	43%	55%	34%	52%	39%	53%	37%	31%	38%	39%
	Salaries & wages	38.2%	40.1%	38.3%	42.5%	54.7%	34.3%	52.3%	39.4%	53.0%	37.3%	31.3%	37.6%	39.5%
INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT														
	Current year total	\$30,053,318	\$44,531,089	\$90,350,823	\$34,996,614	\$58,656,563	\$36,175,489	1 -) -)	\$61,593,815	\$46,470,598	\$87,443,549	\$158,442,124	. , ,	. , ,
	Salaries & wages	\$12,393,574	\$22,195,025	\$45,462,729	\$21,035,520	\$30,383,090	\$18.117.048	\$12,775,448	\$28,664,018	\$23,138,212	\$61,425,092	\$71,341,267	\$41,523,813	\$\$29,885,260
	0		. , ,	. , ,	. , ,	. , ,	<i>v</i> - <i>y</i> - <i>y</i>			. , ,				
percent of Total Ir	nstitutional Support Salaries & wages	41% <i>41.2%</i>	50% 49.8%	50% 50.3%	60% 60.1%	52% 51.8%	50% 50.1%	41% 40.9%	47% 46.5%	50% 49.8%	70% 70.2%	45% 45.0%	39% 39.1%	



					-		•		•	•	•		
WICHITA STATE		Peer type:											
UNIVERSITY		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer University of	Peer University of	Aspirational	Aspirational University of	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspiration University
				Cleveland State	Portland State	University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carol
nensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
SEARCH			·	,	,	•							
Current year tota	, .,,	\$72,352,994	\$190,457,162	\$20,216,856	\$37,639,070	\$107,741,703	\$28,003,552	\$168,163,790	\$232,396,471	\$194,727,915	\$282,735,679	\$204,101,524	\$38,324
Salaries & wages	\$80,777,669	\$33,139,784	\$82,935,269	\$8,332,481	\$18,069,242	\$56,180,925		\$73,444,890	\$120,843,007	\$86,429,992	\$113,360,206	\$76,165,373	\$17,877
percent of Total Research		46%		41%	48%	52%	35%	44%	52%	44%	40%	37%	
Salaries & wages	29.0%	45.8%	43.5%	41.2%	48.0%	52.1%	34.5%	43.7%	52.0%	44.4%	40.1%	37.3%	46
IBLIC SERVICE													
Current year tota	l \$34,846,150	\$15,969,028	\$47,556,518	\$6,649,643	\$28,118,009	\$11,601,746	\$14,437,830	\$19,037,910	\$28,908,288	\$22,207,878	\$121,689,170	\$60,385,522	\$4,591
Salaries & wages	\$11,503,041	\$6,561,720	\$17,073,311	\$2,528,427	\$12,533,562	\$5,413,731	\$5,148,502	\$7,184,378	\$15,667,848	\$6,220,608	\$42,775,458	\$18,803,939	\$1,898
percent of Total Public Service	e 33%	41%	36%	38%	45%	47%	36%	38%	54%	28%	35%	31%	
Salaries & wages	33.0%	41.1%	35.9%	38.0%	44.6%	46.7%	35.7%	37.7%	54.2%	28.0%	35.2%	31.1%	41
JXILIARY ENTERPRISES (parking, housing)													
Current year tota	l \$11,989,871	\$58,861,768	\$152,762,919	\$45,384,044	\$87,411,993	\$31,841,294	\$48,051,369	\$81,620,139	\$102,366,057	\$162,209,405	\$153,327,343	\$159,173,651	\$186,738
Salaries & wages	\$2,748,354	\$13,527,633	\$35,699,338	\$7,527,361	\$23,436,995	\$2,771,834	\$11,988,473	\$21,913,501	\$28,470,814	\$28,596,867	\$35,520,332	\$47,855,856	\$38,052
% of Total Auxiliary Enterprises	s 23%	23%	23%	17%	27%	9%	25%	27%	28%	18%	23%	30%	
Salaries & wages	22.9%	23.0%	23.4%	16.6%	26.8%	8.7%	24.9%	26.8%	27.8%	17.6%	23.2%	30.1%	20
HER EXPENSES													
Current year tota	I \$0	\$14,605,221	\$105,232,504	\$1,104,487	\$22,674,273	\$8,428,097	\$3,427	\$40,815,823	\$54,481,082	\$441,381,529	\$20,418,268	\$22,413,600	-\$12,531
Salaries & wages	\$0	\$4,063,810	\$72,745	\$1	\$972,849	\$0	\$0	\$19,346,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$363,726	
percent of Total Other Expenses	s 0%	28%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	47%	100%	0%	100%	2%	
Salaries & wages	0%	27.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	47.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	C
Total Selected Expense Current Year:	, . ,,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$1,131,298,024	\$334,488,432	\$504,788,584	. , ,	\$302,330,729	\$713,568,199		, , , . ,	\$1,458,288,824	•) • •) • •) • • •	
Instruction	, . ,,	\$165,390,615	,,,	\$163,859,151	\$199,643,302	\$144,879,790	\$136,712,802	\$181,858,029	\$208,286,131	\$421,724,156	\$460,029,671	\$355,013,689	\$274,345,
Academic Support		\$52,339,020	\$132,829,970	\$33,265,477	\$46,674,477	\$44,217,877	\$28,685,866	\$108,851,401	\$104,773,421	\$63,837,163	\$167,879,101	\$247,722,083	\$79,938,
Student Services	, ,,.	\$43,634,484	\$68,228,399	\$29,012,160	\$23,970,897	\$98,359,153		\$51,627,292	\$52,911,553	\$113,651,364	\$93,767,468	\$44,314,266	\$36,497,
Institutional Support		\$44,531,089	\$90,350,823	\$34,996,614	\$58,656,563	\$36,175,489	\$31,232,966	\$61,593,815	\$46,470,598	\$87,443,549	\$158,442,124	\$106,082,218	
Research		\$72,352,994	\$190,457,162	\$20,216,856	\$37,639,070	\$107,741,703	\$28,003,552		\$232,396,471	\$194,727,915	\$282,735,679	\$204,101,524	\$38,324
Public Service	\$34,846,150	\$15,969,028	\$47,556,518	\$6,649,643	\$28,118,009	\$11,601,746	\$14,437,830	\$19,037,910	\$28,908,288	\$22,207,878	\$121,689,170	\$60, 385, 522	\$4,591
Auxilary Enterprises	\$11,989,871	\$58,861,768	\$152,762,919	\$45,384,044	\$87,411,993	\$31,841,294		\$81,620,139	\$102,366,057	\$162,209,405	\$153,327,343	\$159,173,651	\$186,738
Other expenses		\$14,605,221	\$105,232,504	\$1,104,487	\$22,674,273	\$8,428,097	\$3,427	\$40,815,823	\$54,481,082	\$441,381,529	\$20,418,268	\$22,413,600	-\$12,531
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1
Instruction		35.4%	30.4%	49.0%	39.5%	30.0%	45.2%	25.5%	25.1%	28.0%	31.5%	29.6%	41
Academic Support		11.2%	11.7%	9.9%	9.2%	9.2%	9.5%	15.3%	12.6%	4.2%	11.5%	20.7%	12
Student Services		9.3%	6.0%	8.7%	4.7%	20.4%	5.0%	7.2%	6.4%	7.5%	6.4%	3.7%	5
Institutional Support		9.5%	8.0%	10.5%	11.6%	7.5%	10.3%	8.6%	5.6%	5.8%	10.9%	8.8%	8
Research		15.5%	16.8%	6.0%	7.5%	22.3%	9.3%	23.6%	28.0%	12.9%	19.4%	17.0%	Ę
Public Service		3.4%	4.2%	2.0%	5.6%	2.4%	4.8%	2.7%	3.5%	1.5%	8.3%	5.0%	
Auxilary Enterprises	2.2%	12.6%	13.5%	13.6%	17.3%	6.6%	15.9%	11.4%	12.3%	10.8%	10.5%	13.3%	28
Other expenses	0.0%	3.1%	9.3%	0.3%	4.5%	1.7%	0.0%	5.7%	6.6%	29.3%	1.4%	1.9%	-1

•	•				-		•		-	•	•		
WICHITA STATE		Peer type:											
		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
							University of	University of		University of			University of
				Cleveland State		University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
Total Selected Exoense Salaries & wages	: \$213,569,965	\$213,800,249	\$487,227,732	\$142,456,127	\$243,515,907	\$220 121 976	\$141,554,519	\$321,352,714	\$433,825,651	\$521.011.462	\$619,226,378	\$530.050.481	\$332.024.68
		\$91,021,797	\$220,246,113	\$75.648.316		\$79.398.534		\$98,935,588	\$164.423.661	\$267,667,942	\$254,122,762		
Academic Suppor		\$25,784,489	\$59,582,860	\$15,048,782	\$24.048.726	\$24,453,711	\$13,859,109	\$51,512,118	\$53.246.847	\$28,322,180	\$72,783,785		\$39,873,73
Student Services		\$17,505,991	\$26,155,366	\$12,335,239	\$13,109,057	\$33,786,193		\$20,352,021	\$28,035,262	\$42,348,781	\$29,322,568	\$16,655,073	\$14,415,14
Institutional Suppor		\$22,195,025	\$45,462,729	\$21.035.520	\$30,383,090	\$18,117,048		\$28,664,018	\$23,138,212	\$61,425,092	\$71,341,267	\$41,523,813	\$29,885,26
Research	\$80,777,669	\$33,139,784	\$82,935,269	\$8,332,481	\$18,069,242	\$56,180,925	\$9,671,381	\$73,444,890	\$120,843,007	\$86,429,992	\$113,360,206	\$76,165,373	\$17,877,768
Public Service	\$11,503,041	\$6,561,720	\$17.073.311	\$2,528,427	\$12,533,562	\$5,413,731	\$5,148,502	\$7,184,378	\$15,667,848	\$6,220,608	\$42,775,458	\$18,803,939	\$1,898,70
Auxilary Enterprises	\$2,748,354	\$13,527,633	\$35,699,338	\$7,527,361	\$23,436,995	\$2,771,834	\$11,988,473	\$21,913,501	\$28,470,814	\$28,596,867	\$35,520,332	\$47,855,856	\$38,052,822
Other expenses	\$0	\$4,063,810	\$72,745	\$1	\$972,849	\$0	\$0	\$19,346,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$363,726	\$0
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Instruction	32.1%	42.6%	45.2%	53.1%	49.7%	36.1%	56.6%	30.8%	37.9%	51.4%	41.0%	42.4%	57.2%
Academic Suppor	t 9.6%	12.1%	12.2%	10.6%	9.9%	11.1%	9.8%	16.0%	12.3%	5.4%	11.8%	19.6%	12.09
Student Services	8.0%	8.2%	5.4%	8.7%	5.4%	15.3%	5.6%	6.3%	6.5%	8.1%	4.7%	3.1%	4.39
Institutional Suppor	t 5.8%	10.4%	9.3%	14.8%	12.5%	8.2%	9.0%	8.9%	5.3%	11.8%	11.5%	7.8%	9.0%
Research	37.8%	15.5%	17.0%	5.8%	7.4%	25.5%	6.8%	22.9%	27.9%	16.6%	18.3%	14.4%	5.4%
Public Service	e 5.4%	3.1%	3.5%	1.8%	5.1%	2.5%	3.6%	2.2%	3.6%	1.2%	6.9%	3.5%	0.6%
Auxilary Enterprises	5 1.3%	6.3%	7.3%	5.3%	9.6%	1.3%	8.5%	6.8%	6.6%	5.5%	5.7%	9.0%	11.59
Other expenses	s 0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
ASSETS:													
Net assets end of yea	r \$411 242 277	\$758 116 629	\$1,522,079,007	\$310,976,463	\$491,090,446	\$8/9 061 565	\$446 900 616	\$1,694,204,053	\$533,143,692		\$1,932,482,063	\$2,522,648,179	\$1 100 042 00
ASSETS (Net Assets + Liabilities)	ψτι,2τ2,211	\$750,440,025	ψ1,522,075,007	ψ010,070, 1 00	ψ+31,030,++0	ψ0+0,001,000	φ++0,300,010	ψ1,034,204,000	ψ000, 1 4 0,002		ψ1,352,402,005	ψΖ,ΟΖΖ,Ο Τ Ο, 175	ψ1,100,0 4 2,03
	\$729 000 024	\$1,078,590,984	\$3,056,628,882	\$746.331.824	\$936 287 956	\$1.122.218.351	\$581 609 477	\$2.006.507.311	\$1 615 225 340		\$4.049.325.650	\$4.279.697.492	\$2 282 267 04
Total current asset		\$183,231,074	\$727,368,782	\$231,635,459	1 , - ,	• • • • • • • • •	\$126,091,093	1) / /-	\$552,472,269		, ,,,	\$1,466,256,227	1 / - / - /-
Depreciable cap assets, net of dep			\$1,385,682,698	\$496,281,186	. , ,		\$446.332.283		\$1,020,498,262		. , ,	\$1,403,115,643	. , ,
Other noncurrent asset		\$291,138,208	\$943,577,403	\$18,415,179	. , ,	1 , ,	1 - 7 7	1 - , - ,	\$42,254,809			\$1,410,325,622	. , , ,
NET ASSETS	<i>\\</i>	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>	<i>vo</i> ,,,	<i>••••</i> ,•••,•••	¢.00,000, <u>2</u> 2.	¢ .20,21 0,000	\$0,100,101	¢001,200,000	¢ .2,20 .,000		\$1,010,000,100	¢ 1,1 10,020,022	<i>vo: 2,002, : :</i>
	s \$411,242,277	\$758,446,629	\$1,522,079,007	\$310,976,463	\$491,090,446	\$849,061,565	\$446,900,616	\$1,694,204,053	\$533,143,692		\$1,932,482,063	\$2,522,648,179	\$1,100,042.09
Invest cap assets, net of relt deb		\$473,494,723	\$804,805,065	\$250,419,501	\$415,104,499	\$502,631,330	\$352,156,643	\$847,161,643	\$921,269,859		\$694,800,789		
Restricted-expendable			\$263,365,032	\$32,641,071	\$13,102,023	\$43,719,280	. , ,	. , ,	\$1,420,252		\$508,996,312	. , ,	. , ,
Restricted-experidable	\$20,113,076	\$67,424,854	\$203,30 <u>3,</u> 032	$\psi_{02}, 041, 071$	$\phi_{10}, 102, 020$	$\psi_{70,110,200}$						$\psi = \pm,000,1 \pm 2$	
Restricted-experidable		\$107,310,232	\$368,269,416	\$1,469,373	\$1,285,195	\$54,677,772			\$129,232		\$837,172,025		



SHE	WICHITA STATE		Peer type:											
шSu	UNIVERSITY		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
								University of	University of		University of			University of
			_	.	Cleveland State		University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions		WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
	Adjustments to beginning net assets	-\$3,100,000	\$197,863	\$14,434,712	-\$19	\$60,482	\$0	-\$3,215	\$932.069	\$52.710.525		\$0	\$181,583	\$4,846,741
	Net assets beginning of year	\$366,512,487	\$710,422,090	\$1,409,597,992	\$318,433,464	\$478,829,182	\$732,225,023	. ,	\$1,587,593,662	\$369.111.978		\$1,919,176,484	\$2,382,757,310	\$967,346,197
	Change in net assets during vear	\$47,829,790	\$47,826,675	\$98,046,303	-\$7,456,982	\$12,200,782	\$116,836,542	. , ,	. , , ,	,, ,		\$13,305,579	\$139,709,286	\$127,849,156
LIABILITIES	Change in her assets during year	φ+1,023,130	φ+7,020,075	\$90,040,000	-\$7,400,002	ψ12,200,702	ψ110,000,0 1 2	ψ11,074,710	ψ100,070,02Z	ψ111, 5 21,105		ψ10,000,07 <i>0</i>	ψ100,700,200	φ127,0 4 3,130
	Total liabilities	\$308,546,454	\$293,882,354	\$1,712,477,389	\$482,644,440	\$441,143,239	\$300,747,484	\$134,594,096	\$299,044,597	\$1,198,638,859		\$2,276,059,685	\$1,705,742,477	\$1,155,630,006
	Long-term debt, current portion	\$14,685,840	\$8,150,171	\$44,840,048	\$11,640,456	\$16,918,479	\$8,898,437	\$6,783,768	\$0	\$8,370,574		\$46,579,000	\$61,553,290	\$26,387,854
	Other current liabilities	\$56,527,788	\$116,927,101	\$250,597,583	\$31,079,095	\$95,403,086	\$67,615,117	\$42,679,374	\$262,010,828	\$166,689,224		\$321,651,851	\$392,331,839	\$37,809,058
	Long-term debt noncurrent	\$214,705,631	\$105,202,729	\$958,850,418	\$213,422,018	\$168,342,071	\$169,790,924	\$82,677,919	\$0	\$111,436,185		\$1,047,424,361	\$1,229,528,262	\$599,598,632
	Other noncurrent liabilities	\$22,627,195	\$63,602,353	\$458,189,340	\$226,502,871	\$160,479,603	\$54,443,006	\$2,453,035	\$37,033,769	\$912,142,876		\$860,404,473	\$22,329,086	\$491,834,462
OTHER:														
<u>PLANT, PRO</u>	PERTY, EQUIPMENT (ending balances)													
	Total plant, prop & equip	\$861,205,624	\$1,099,469,056	\$2,786,933,114	\$1,010,680,930	\$1,128,891,948	\$1,033,005,932	\$605,273,274	\$1,719,493,194	\$1,919,934,080		\$4,198,461,934	\$3,063,397,282	\$1,965,939,160
	Improvements	\$21,995,228	\$107,764,451	\$92,880,533	\$64,626,040	\$77,291,237	\$223,974,850	\$32,071,878	\$140,858,252	\$114,140,458		\$164,105,041	\$78,885,741	\$14,390,891
	Infrastructure	\$25,551,274	\$21,717,310	\$233,935,531	\$0	\$33,140,906	\$0	\$33,951,879	\$41,493,764	\$40,299,484		\$158,795,351	\$460,883,423	\$275,763,864
	Buildings	\$558,284,514	\$831,232,083	\$1,972,264,018	\$832,976,191	\$859,688,333	\$715,334,429	\$471,898,040	\$1,276,263,420	\$1,337,583,891		\$3,143,945,195	\$2,003,346,200	
	Equip, incl art & library collections	\$188,114,387	\$117,361,973	\$403,070,442	\$105,379,451	\$150,994,562	\$59,456,075	\$33,516,984	\$237,462,791	\$377,323,612		\$627,308,150	\$413,630,951	\$194,019,055
	Construction in progress	\$67,260,221	\$21,393,239	\$84,782,591	\$7,699,248	\$7,776,910	\$34,240,578	\$33,834,493	\$23,414,967	\$50,586,635		\$104,308,197	\$106,650,967	\$77,584,565
DEPRECIATI	ON (ending balances)													
	Accumulated depreciation	,,,	,,	\$1,317,482,967	\$586,418,090	\$520,384,317	, , - , - , -	\$158,940,991	\$881,069,910	\$899,435,818		, , .,,	\$1,489,101,127	\$461,099,535
	Intangible assets , net accum amort	\$598,085	\$7,430,005	\$35,177,479	\$0	\$324,593	\$18,836,978	\$0	\$17,988,454	\$0		\$115,759,298	\$266,060	\$24,684,557
	Other capital assets	\$0	\$15,193,069	\$0	\$72,018,346	\$3,947,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
ENDOWMEN														
	Value assets beginning FY		\$164,497,858	\$728,179,820	\$98,179,372	\$99,102,595	,, -,	\$105,767,803	\$264,163,030	\$219,510,836	\$236,970,000	1))	\$1,106,417,765	\$306,480,982
	Value assets ending FY		\$193,758,987	\$775,086,614	\$106,846,373	\$112,197,236		\$122,089,440	\$286,634,701	\$241,713,865	\$251,874,000	\$1,849,258,918		\$316,286,095
	Increase over year	\$10,870,001	\$29,261,130	\$46,906,794	\$8,667,001	\$13,094,641	\$85,750,698	\$16,321,637	\$22,471,671	\$22,203,029	\$14,904,000	\$77,739,401	\$109,882,425	\$9,805,113



WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY		Peer type: Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
•				Cleveland State	Portland State	University of	University of Nebraska	University of Texas San		University of California	University of	University of	University of North Carolin
imensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
mensions	1100	1 0013	Aspirational	Onversity	Oniversity	Memphis	Omana	Antonio	Ocorgia otate	TUVCISICC	Omoninati	Tiouston	Onanotic
ection 2: Employment Related (mu	ltiple time	periods re	eported s	ee data des	criptions)								
umber of employees on the payroll of the institution as of	November 1, by	standard occup	oational code (SC	C). Fall 2023.									
Total Full-time & Part-time	3,108	2,943	5,838	2,158	3,071	3,462	2,033	3,990	5,698	4,684	8,702	5,894	4,21
Full-time	2,419	2,268	5,136	1,478	2,255	2,649	1,512	3,447	4,852	4,404	7,392	5,421	3,61
Part-time	689	675	702	680	816	813	521	543	846	280	1,310	473	59
Percent full-time	77.8%	77.1%	88.0%	68.5%	73.4%	76.5%	74.4%	86.4%	85.2%	94.0%	84.9%	92.0%	85.8
Total Full-time & Part-time	3,108	2,943	5,838	2,158	3,071	3,462	2,033	3,990	5,698	4,684	8,702	5,894	4,21
Instructional, research, librarian, publ serv staff	870	1,356	2,269	1,075	1,596	1,464	1,095	1,552	2,046	1,614	3,801	2,182	1,70
Archivists, Curators, & Museum Technicians	3	4	6	5	1	3	2	10		21	1	6	
Library Technicians	19	23	11	5	24	43	11	31	0	28	15	14	
Student & Academic Affairs & Other Educ Serv	15	166	210	101	78	553	23	74	737	46	61	29	17
Management	361	231	698	159	141	126	220	508		287	1,149	1,148	22
Business & Financial Operations	192	330	581	138	516	294	107	594	210	606	895	865	3
Computer, Engineering, & Science	615	166	498	143	179	211	33	262	319	429	827	590	3
Comm, Soc Serv, Legal, Arts, Sports & Media	284	223	312	178	203	127	199	410	124	546	426	151	3
Healthcare Practioners & Technical	30	18	160	11	18	27	9	24	14	59	612	90	:
Service Occupations	153	139	444	113	61	274	134	114	595	443	461	346	3
Sales & Related Occupations	4	5	5	3	4	0	10	8	5	5	9	3	
Office & Administrative Support	266	205	432	194	185	247	158	240	742	389	217	267	54
Natural Resources, Construction, & Maintenance	253	60	189		65	87	15	105		158	182	199	1
Production, Transportation, & Material Moving	43	18	23	7	0	6	17	58	0	53	46	4	
percent of Total Full-time Part-time	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100
Instructional, research, librarian, publ serv staff	28.0%	46.1%	38.9%	49.8%	52.0%	42.3%	53.9%	38.9%	35.9%	34.5%	43.7%	37.0%	40.4
Archivists, Curators, & Museum Technicians	0.1%	40.1%	0.1%	49.8%	0.0%	42.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	43.7%	0.1%	40.4
	0.6%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0
Library Technicians Student & Academic Affairs & Other Educ Serv	0.5%	5.6%	3.6%	4.7%	2.5%	16.0%	1.1%	1.9%	12.9%	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%	4.2
	11.6%	7.8%	12.0%	4.7% 7.4%	4.6%	3.6%	10.8%	12.7%	12.9%	6.1%	13.2%	19.5%	4.2 5.4
Management Business & Financial Operations		11.2%		7.4% 6.4%	4.0% 16.8%	3.0%	5.3%	14.9%	3.7%	0.1% 12.9%	13.2%	19.5%	5.4 7.8
	6.2% 19.8%	5.6%	10.0% 8.5%			6.1%	5.3% 1.6%	6.6%	5.6%	9.2%	9.5%		7.0
Computer, Engineering, & Science	9.1%	5.6%	5.3%	6.6% 8.2%	5.8% 6.6%	0.1% 3.7%	9.8%	10.3%	2.2%	9.2% 11.7%	9.5% 4.9%	10.0% 2.6%	7.4
Comm, Soc Serv, Legal, Arts, Sports & Media													
Healthcare Practioners & Technical	1.0%	0.6%	2.7%	0.5%	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%	1.3%	7.0%	1.5%	0.6
Service Occupations	4.9%	4.7%	7.6%	5.2%	2.0%	7.9%	6.6%	2.9%	10.4%	9.5%	5.3%	5.9%	8.9
Sales & Related Occupations	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0
Office & Administrative Support	8.6%	7.0%	7.4%	9.0%	6.0%	7.1%	7.8%	6.0%	13.0%	8.3%	2.5%	4.5%	12.9
Natural Resources, Construction, & Maintenance	8.1%	2.0%	3.2%	1.2%	2.1%	2.5%	0.7%	2.6%	3.9%	3.4%	2.1%	3.4%	4.49
Production, Transportation, & Material Moving	1.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.8%	1.5%	0.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.3



USU UNIVERSITY		Peer type: Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
			, topil attoriat				University of	University of	, topilational	University of	, top in a tio in all	, topilational	University of
				Cleveland State I	Portland State	University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolin
mensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
mber of employees classified by primary function/occup	ational activity &	faculty status for	applicable funct	ions. IPEDS data	source: Employe	ees by assigned	position, faculty	status, primary f	unction/occupatio	nal activity, Fall 2	023.		
With faculty status total	903	1,339	2,105	1,108	1,559	1,543	1,065	1,421	1,989	1,160	3,838	1,833	1,70
Tenured faculty total	276	396	704	317	473	459	277	456	608	634	836	820	62
Non-tenured on tenure track	92	155	217	136	109	187	151	191	197	205	258	257	17
Not on tenure track	535	788	1,183	655	977	897	637	774	1,184	321	2,744	756	9
percent of with faculty status	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100
Tenured faculty total	30.6%	29.6%	33.5%	28.6%	30.3%	29.7%	26.0%	32.1%	30.6%	54.7%	21.8%	44.7%	
Non-tenured on tenure track	10.2%	11.6%	10.3%	12.3%	7.0%	12.1%	14.2%	13.4%	9.9%	17.7%	6.7%	14.0%	
Non-tendred on tendre track	59.2%	58.8%	56.2%	59.1%	62.7%	58.1%	59.8%	54.5%	59.5%	27.7%	71.5%	41.2%	
Not on tendre track	55.270	50.070	50.270	00.170	02.170	50.170	00.070	04.070	00.070	21.170	11.070	41.270	
mber of full-time instructional staff by length of contract	& academic rank	, IPEDS data so	urce: Instructiona	al Staff/Salaries Fal	ll 2023. AAUP d	ata source: Facu	Ity Compensatio	n Survey 2024-2	025				
uated 9-month contract Total	542	756	1,261	544	716	896	570	1,052	1,307	952	1,448	1,514	1,08
Professor	154	205	376	138	218	203	128	336	327	341	435	461	31
Associate professor	177	209	335	176	222	237	144	266	278	247	492	338	31
Assistant professor	202	212	276	122	189	245	150	353	258	182	427	248	26
Instructor, lecturer & acad non-rank	9	130	273	108	87	211	148	97	444	182	94	467	17
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1009
Professor	28.4%	27.1%	29.9%	25.4%	30.4%	22.7%	22.5%	31.9%	25.0%	35.8%	30.0%	30.4%	
Associate professor	32.7%	27.7%	26.6%	32.4%	31.0%	26.5%	25.3%	25.3%	21.3%	25.9%	34.0%	22.3%	
Assistant professor	37.3%	28.0%	21.9%	22.4%	26.4%	27.3%	26.3%	33.6%	19.7%	19.1%	29.5%	16.4%	
Instructor, lecturer & acad non-rank	1.7%	17.2%	21.7%	19.9%	12.2%	23.5%	26.0%	9.2%	34.0%	19.1%	6.5%	30.8%	
 verage salary values adjusted to Wichita area Cost of Liv	ving index												
quated 9-month contract Total	\$90,629	\$92,932	\$116,367	\$0	\$82,887	\$95,213	\$90,417	\$96,766	\$114,655	\$114,773	\$101,124	\$129,863	\$102,26
Professor	\$113,700	\$112,812	\$143,846	\$0	\$101,497	\$116,420	\$105,881	\$119,404	\$141,747	\$138,100	\$128,543	\$161,652	. ,
Associate professor	\$83,600	\$89,927	\$103,245	\$0	\$79,449	\$91,968	\$87,150	\$95,115	\$101,551	\$101,817	\$95,900	\$109,089	
Assistant professor	\$79,200	\$76,874	\$91,270	\$0	\$65,460	\$80,781	\$80,357	\$76,461	\$94,436	\$88,650	\$79,210	\$99,086	
imber of employees with faculty status by rank and sex t	type. IPEDS data	source: Fall Sta	ff: full-time instru	ctional staff by facu	ulty and tenure s	status, academic	rank, race/ethni	city and gender,	Fall 2023.				
III-Time Faculty Percent Female	48.4%	46.0%	42.3%	46.3%	49.4%	47.7%	46.8%	39.6%	46.6%	38.5%	44.7%	35.8%	45.9
Professor % female	41.6%	34.4%	34.0%	34.1%	36.2%	36.0%	34.4%	31.2%	38.5%	31.0%	35.0%	26.2%	39.09
Associate professor % female	48.0%	48.3%	46.0%	50.0%	50.5%	46.8%	52.8%	41.2%	48.4%	45.6%	47.0%	39.9%	49.2
Assistant professor % female	54.0%	55.0%	49.2%	54.9%	63.5%	58.4%	51.7%	46.5%	54.9%	43.1%	49.9%	48.0%	50.25
II-Time Faculty Under-rep minorities*	5.1%	14.5%	12.7%	11.0%	13.7%	16.2%	10.4%	21.2%	14.8%	14.3%	9.2%	13.1%	12.1
Professor % URM	4.5%	11.5%	8.5%	5.8%	8.7%	16.3%	5.5%	21.1%	6.7%	11.0%	6.1%	9.8%	9.1
Associate professor % URM	4.0%	15.2%	13.3%	14.8%	15.8%	12.2%	13.9%	19.5%	15.2%	14.2%	8.9%	15.4%	12.9
Assistant professor % URM	6.4%	16.5%	17.5%	11.5%	16.9%	20.0%	11.3%	22.7%	24.7%	20.6%	11.7%	16.1%	14.6%



		Peer type:		_									
UNIVERSITY		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer University of	Peer University of	Aspirational	Aspirational University of	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational University of
				Cleveland State	Portland State	University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
Section 3: Student Related													
Section 3.1 Enrollment and Student	Credit Ho	urs (SCH)											
Number of students enrolled in the fall. Uses official fall re		• • •	EDS data source	e: Fall Enrollmen	t 2023.								
Total students	16,741	21,250	36,342	14,117	20,519	21,736	15,015	34,864	34,974	26,426	43,338	46,676	30,298
Undergraduate	12,856	16,873	28,669	9,463	15,960	16,771	11,859	30,310	27,588	22,646	31,184	37,946	23,981
Graduate	3,885	4,378	7,673	,	4,559	4,965	3,156	4,554	7,386	3,780	12,154	8,730	,
percent GR	23.2%	20.6%	21.1%	33.0%	22.2%	22.8%	21.0%	13.1%	21.1%	14.3%	28.0%	18.7%	20.8%
Full-time total	10,570	14,567	28,484	10,394	12.744	13,874	10,993	24,829	26,333	25,452	32,723	33,850	24,063
Part-time total	6,171	6,683	7,858		7,775	7,862	4,022	10,035		23,432	10,615	12,826	,
percent FT	63.1%	68.5%	78.4%		62.1%	63.8%	73.2%	71.2%	75.3%	96.3%	75.5%	72.5%	,
percent i	00.170	00.070	70.470	10.070	02.170	00.070	13.270	11.270	10.070	30.370	75.570	72.070	75.470
1st-time degree bound freshmen	1,786	3,048	5,433		1,580	2,591	2,156	5,866	4,569	5,521	6,922	5,652	4,501
percent of total	10.7%	14.3%	14.9%	0.0%	7.7%	11.9%	14.4%	16.8%	13.1%	20.9%	16.0%	12.1%	14.9%
1st-time graduating HS Seniors	1,595	2,835	5,191		1,397	2,354	1,996	5,594	4,219	5,482	6,383	5,465	4,407
percent of total	9.5%	13.3%	14.3%	0.0%	6.8%	10.8%	13.3%	16.0%	12.1%	20.7%	14.7%	11.7%	14.5%

Entering first-time undergraduate students enrolled in fall 2023; retention rates by full-time/part-time for students who were enrolled in fall 2022 & re-enrolled in fall 2023. Student-to-faculty ratios based on UG student FTE and faculty in undergraduate instruction. IPEDS data source: **Fall Enrollment**, **2023**.

Entering 1st time UG, fall 2021	1,786	3,048	5,433		1,580	2,591	2,156	5,866	4,569	5,521	6,922	5,652	4,501
Full-time retention rate in Fall 2021	68	74	85	69	75	71	76	79	78	88	86	86	85
Part-time retention rate in Fall 2021	36	47	59	44	47	55	49	40	53	59	51	73	61
Student-to-faculty ratio	20	18	22	16	16	17	15	25	25	23	19	21	21



WICHITA STATE		Peer type:											
		Peers	Aspirational	Peer Cleveland State	Peer Portland State	Peer University of	Peer University of Nebraska	Peer University of Texas San	Aspirational	Aspirational University of California	Aspirational University of	Aspirational University of	Aspirational University of North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
IPEDS selected disciplines for majors reported by CIP are	eas (fall 2022).												
Total IPEDS disciplines		7,962	14,218	5,833	,	7,010	5,379	14,907	12,364	14,353	15,356	19,083	9,936
Education	2,081	1,408	1,424	1,204	1,065	1,420	1,688	1,661	1,669	780	1,790	742	2,141
Engineering	1,992	1,544	3,211	1,723		1,096	0	3,478	0	2,921	5,737	4,852	2,547
Biological Sciences/Life Sciences	660	1,171	3,004	422	816	692		2,935	2,892	5,524	2,162	2,939	1,504
Mathematics	82	223	472		303	121	159	411	357	785	293	574	353
Physical Sciences	193	284	765	129		320	183	494	847	888	533	831	726
Business Mgmt & Admin Serv	2,118	3,333	5,341	2,235	2,782	3,361	2,359	5,928	6,599	3,455	4,841	9,145	2,665
percent of Total IPEDS disciplines	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Education	29.2%	17.7%	10.0%	20.6%	15.9%	20.3%	31.4%	11.1%	13.5%	5.4%	11.7%	3.9%	21.5%
Engineering	28.0%	19.4%	22.6%	29.5%	21.3%	15.6%	0.0%	23.3%	0.0%	20.4%	37.4%	25.4%	25.6%
Biological Sciences/Life Sciences	9.3%	14.7%	21.1%	7.2%	12.2%	9.9%	18.4%	19.7%	23.4%	38.5%	14.1%	15.4%	15.1%
Mathematics	1.2%	2.8%	3.3%	2.1%	4.5%	1.7%	3.0%	2.8%	2.9%	5.5%	1.9%	3.0%	3.6%
Physical Sciences	2.7%	3.6%	5.4%	2.2%	4.4%	4.6%	3.4%	3.3%	6.9%	6.2%	3.5%	4.4%	7.3%
Business Mgmt & Admin Serv	29.7%	41.9%	37.6%	38.3%	41.6%	47.9%	43.9%	39.8%	53.4%	24.1%	31.5%	47.9%	26.8%
Twelve month enrollment (unduplicated headcount) & cred	dit hour activity o	of undergraduate a	and graduate stu	dents for FY 2023	3 (Summer 2022	to Spring 2023).							
Total	338,803	538,576	977,825	337,088	686,295	467,531	370,150	831,818	936,752	1,134,757	990,093	1,072,996	754,528
UG credit hours	275,595	460,949	829,694	263,054	568,455	389,653	325,495	758,087	761,476	1,008,647	777,365	944,544	656,437
GR credit hours	63,208	77,628	148,131	74,034	117,840	77,878	44,655	73,731	175,276	126,110	212,728	128,452	98,091
percent GR	18.7%	14.4%	15.1%	22.0%	17.2%	16.7%	12.1%	8.9%	18.7%	11.1%	21.5%	12.0%	13.0%
Total student fte	11,821	17,009	31,237	11,853	15,905	16,233	12,711	28,342	32,686	25,917	34,776	36,837	25,968
UG fte students	9,187	14,102	25,415	8,768	12,632	12,988	10,850	25,270	25,383	22,414	25,912	31,485	21,881
GR fte students	2,634	2,907	5,822	3,085	· · ·	3,245	1,861	3,072	7,303	3,503	8,864	5,352	4,087
percent GR	,	17.1%	18.6%	26.0%	20.6%	20.0%	14.6%	10.8%	22.3%	13.5%	25.5%	14.5%	15.7%
All students total (unduplicated)	18,874	25,031	41,103	16,999	26,034	25,421	17,972	38,728	42,129	28,886	49,138	52,007	33,356
Undergraduate	13,648	19,543	32,098	11,390	,	19,184	13,799	33,259	33,129	24,777	34,656	42,050	25,879
Graduate	5,226	5,488	9,005	5,609	5,951	6,237	4,173	5,469	9,000	4,109	14,482	9,957	7,477
Percent GR	27.7%	21.9%	21.9%	33.0%	22.9%	24.5%	23.2%	14.1%	21.4%	14.2%	29.5%	19.1%	22.4%



		Peer type:											
UNIVERSITY		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
							University of	University of		University of			University of
				Cleveland State	Portland State	University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte

Section 3.2 Financial Support

Student charges include average tuition & required fees for both full-time UG and GR students. Price of attendance includes amounts for published tuition & required fees, books & supplies, room & board and other expenses. Estimates for books & supplies, room & board and other expenses are those from the Cost of Attendance report used by the financial aid office in determining financial need. **Academic Year 2023-2024 (tuition,fees,room&board, Institutional Charateristics), Academic Year 2022-2023 (fin aid)** IPEDS Data Sources: Student Financial Aid & Institutional Characteristics-Student Charges for Academic Year Programs.

Undergreducte Full time:													
Undergraduate Full-time: In-state avg tuition	\$7,247	\$8,498	\$8,543	\$12,503	\$8.694	\$8,520	\$6,536	\$6,239	\$7.158	\$11,834	\$11,228	\$8,685	\$3,812
In-state avg tulion	\$2,075	\$1,746	\$1,952	\$12,503	\$1,923	\$1,824	\$1,834	\$3,040	\$1,320	\$2,336	\$1,678	\$1,026	\$3,402
Out-of-state avg tuition	\$17,165	\$19,372	\$26,240	\$17,863	\$26,334	\$12,960	\$20,524	\$19,177	\$22,389	\$42,611	\$26,562	\$21,165	\$18,474
Out-of-state reg fees	\$2,075	\$1,746	\$1,952	\$110	\$1,923	\$1,824	\$1,834	\$3,040	\$1,320	\$2,336	\$1,678	\$1,026	\$3,402
Graduate Full-time:	φ2,010	ψ1,110	ψ1,002	ψ110	ψ1,020	ψ1,021	ψ1,001	φ0,010	¢1,020	<i>\</i> 2 ,000	ψ1,070	¢1,020	ψ0, 102
In-state avg tuition	\$5,871	\$9,884	\$9,168	\$10,840	\$15,279	\$9,576	\$6,322	\$7,403	\$7,164	\$12,264	\$13,224	\$8,723	\$4,467
In-state required fees	\$1,807	\$1,591	\$1,906	\$278	\$1,923	\$1,638	\$1,656	\$2,459	\$1,320	\$2,102	\$1,678	\$1,026	\$3,402
Out-of-state avg tuition	\$14,418	\$19,280	\$22,429	\$18,543	\$22,770	\$13,590	\$15,204	\$26,294	\$22,662	\$27,366	\$24,996	\$18,083	\$19,036
Out-of-state reg fees	\$1,807	\$1,591	\$1,906	\$278	\$1,923	\$1,638	\$1,656	\$2,459	\$1,320	\$2,102	\$1,678	\$1,026	\$3,402
			, ,		, ,	,,	• • • • •		, ,	, , -	v)	, ,	
On campus, room & board	\$13,790	\$12,457	\$14,701	\$14,760	\$13,728	\$10,344	\$11,610	\$11,843	\$16,328	\$19,433	\$13,048	\$10,830	\$13,864
Total number UG financial aid cohort	12,049	17,005	28,805	9,776	16,862	16,708	12,026	29,654	28,943	22,911	30,768	37,943	23,461
UG 1st-time FT fin aid FL cohort	1,762	2,490	5,246	1,701	1,519	2,322	2,292	4,617	5,265	5,522	6,278	5,102	4,061
Pct 1st-time FT UG cohort of all UG	15	15	18	17	9	14	19	16	18	24	20	13	17
Num FL cohort paying in-state rates	1,330	2,250	4,768	1,477	1,198	2,044	1,999	4,530	4,727	5,157	5,622	4,736	3,600
% FL cohort pay in-state rates	75	88	91	87	79	88	87	98	90	93	90	93	89
Num FL cohort paying out-of-state	432	222	477	224	321	278	202	87	538	365	656	366	461
% FL cohort paying out-of-state	25	11	9	13	21	12	9	2	10	7	10	7	11
Avg UG aid dollars received	\$6,873	\$8,808	\$10,560	\$8,769	\$8,662	\$8,482	\$9,673	\$8,453	\$8,636	\$19,530	\$7,542	\$8,853	\$8,241
Num UG receiving Pell grants	3,582	6,734	10,811	3,814	6,670	6,676	3,981	12,528	14,503	10,698	5,419	15,513	7,924
% UG receiving Pell grants	30	39	38	39	40	40	33	42	50	47	18	41	34
Avg UG Pell grant aid received	\$4,876	\$5,248	\$5,228	\$5,320	\$4,843	\$6,101	\$5,172	\$4,803	\$4,914	\$5,693	\$5,180	\$5,122	\$5,232
Num UG receiving Fed student loans	4,203	6,522	10,644	4,585	5,529	6,478	3,395	12,625	12004	7517	11951	12102	9648
% UG receiving Fed student loans	35	38	37	47	33	39	28	43	41	33	39	32	41
Avg UG Fed student loan	\$7,462	\$6,646	\$6,153	\$6,744	\$7,242	\$6,630	\$6,106	\$6,506	\$6,026	\$5,572	\$6,449	\$6,396	\$6,323

WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY		Peer type:	Appirational	Deer	Deer	Peer	Peer	Deer	Appirational	Appirational	Appirational	Appirational	Appirational
HORNERSHT		Peers	Aspirational	Peer Cleveland State	Peer Portland State	Peer University of	Peer University of Nebraska	Peer University of Texas San	Aspirational	Aspirational University of California	Aspirational University of	Aspirational University of	Aspirational University o North Carolin
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
Section 3.3 Demographics													
elected demographics for unduplicated headcounts withir	n the fiscal year 2	2023 (Summer 2	2022 to Spring 2	023). IPEDS data	a sources: 12 Mc	onth Enrollment.							
ex of student:													
total (unduplicated)	18,874	25,031	41,103	16,999	26,034	25,421	17,972	38,728	42,129	28,886	49,138	52,007	33,3
male	8,289	10,994	18,827	7,831	10,873	10,122	7,740	18,403	16,545	14,181	22,307	24,863	16,
female	10,585	14,037	22,276		15,161	15,299	10,232	20,325		14,705	26,831	27,144	17,
percent female	56.1%	56.1%	54.2%	53.9%	58.2%	60.2%	56.9%	52.5%	60.7%	50.9%	54.6%	52.2%	51.
ace/ethnicity of student:													
total (unduplicated)	18,874	25,031	41,103	16.999	26,034	25,421	17,972	38,728	42,129	28,886	49,138	52,007	33,
American Indian/Alaska Ntv	109	110	58	,	369	55	,	48		36	56	67	,
Asian	1,073	1,396	6,352	589	2,370	1,150	793	2,080	5,721	9,354	2,642	11,179	2,
Black or African American	987	3,320	6,587	2,494	1,147	8,681	1,254	3,024	16,558	949	3,981	5,747	5,
Hispanic or Latino	2,338	6,581	7,829	1,116	4,604	1,950	2,607	22,626	5,176	10,384	2,188	17,333	4,
Native Hawaiian/Oth Paci Islander	20	45	34	12	123	7	22	63	23	59	33	42	
White	9,585	10,369	14,315	,	12,930	10,360	11,403	8,109	9,062	3,598	32,146	10,472	16,
Two or more races	774	1,021	1,653	567	1,632	879	768	1,260	2,097	1,298	1,935	1,498	1,
Race/ethnicity unknown	425	541	619	467	1,341	330	143	424	240	563	957	734	
Nonresident alien	3,563	1,648	3,656	2,682	1,518	2,009	936	1,094	3,215	2,645	5,200	4,935	2,
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	10
American Indian/Alaska Ntv	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	1.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.
Asian	5.7%	5.6%	15.5%	3.5%	9.1%	4.5%	4.4%	5.4%	13.6%	32.4%	5.4%	21.5%	8.
Black or African American	5.2%	13.3%	16.0%	14.7%	4.4%	34.1%	7.0%	7.8%	39.3%	3.3%	8.1%	11.1%	17.
Hispanic or Latino	12.4%	26.3%	19.0%	6.6%	17.7%	7.7%	14.5%	58.4%	12.3%	35.9%	4.5%	33.3%	12.
Native Hawaiian/Oth Paci Islander	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.
White	50.8%	41.4%	34.8%	53.2%	49.7%	40.8%	63.4%	20.9%	21.5%	12.5%	65.4%	20.1%	48.
Two or more races	4.1%	4.1%	4.0%	3.3%	6.3%	3.5%	4.3%	3.3%	5.0%	4.5%	3.9%	2.9%	4.
Race/ethnicity unknown	2.3%	2.2%	1.5%	2.7%	5.2%	1.3%	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%	1.9%	1.9%	1.4%	1.
Nonresident alien	18.9%	6.6%	8.9%	15.8%	5.8%	7.9%	5.2%	2.8%	7.6%	9.2%	10.6%	9.5%	6.
Under-rep minorities*	3,454	10,056	14,508	3,653	6,243	10,693	3,929	25,761	21,794	11,428	6,258	23,189	9,8
percent of total unduplicated	18.3%	35.2%	45.6%	,	24.0%	42.1%	21.9%	66.5%	,	39.6%	12.7%	44.6%	29.
includes American Indian/Alaska Ntu, Diask an African Ar		e en l'etime en d'A		the Desifie Island									

* includes American Indian/Alaska Ntv, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino and Native Hawaiian/Othr Pacific Islander excluding nonresident alien.



WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY		Peer type:											
		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
					-		University of	University of		University of			University of
	14/01/1			Cleveland State		University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
Age distributions: (Fall 2023 data)	1		I										
Undergraduate only:	12,856	16,873	28,669	9,463	15,960	16,771	11,859	30,310	27,588	22,646	31,184	37,946	23,981
Age 18 and under	964	889	1,130	285	1,092	2,388	219	460	472	846	1,218	2,549	563
Age 18-19		4,762	10,241	2,603	,	4,284	3,900	10,162	8,365	10,012	12,361	11,819	8,646
Age 10-13 Age 20-21	3,438	4,702	9,521	2,832	3,220	4,204	3,650	9,106	8,617	8,301	10,270	11,815	8,603
Age 22-24	2,250	3,306	4,816	1,974	3,548	2,935	2,037	6,036	5,907	2,328	4,578	7,376	3,891
Age 25-29	1,177	1,547	1,655	784	2,322	1,291	889	2,448	2,250	781	1,046	2,900	
Age 30-34	662	707	584	342	1,252	592	449	901	825	207	625	786	476
Age 35-39		424	286	203	738	372	281	528	405	99	387	323	215
Age 40-49	432	432	283	205		504	301	498	403	60	463	278	213
Age 50-64	143	173	124	135		218	116	158	219	10	219	100	74
Age 65 and over	143	37	30	98		210	17	13	125	2	17	0	4
Age unknown	0	0	0	2	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
percent of Undergraduate only	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Age 18 and under	7.5%	5.3%	3.9%	3.0%	6.8%	14.2%	1.8%	1.5%	1.7%	3.7%	3.9%	6.7%	2.3%
Age 18-19	26.0%	28.2%	35.7%	27.5%	17.9%	25.5%	32.9%	33.5%	30.3%	44.2%	39.6%	31.1%	36.1%
Age 20-21	26.7%	27.2%	33.2%	29.9%	20.2%	24.8%	30.8%	30.0%	31.2%	36.7%	32.9%	31.1%	35.9%
Age 22-24	17.5%	19.6%	16.8%	20.9%	22.2%	17.5%	17.2%	19.9%	21.4%	10.3%	14.7%	19.4%	16.2%
Age 25-29	9.2%	9.2%	5.8%	8.3%	14.5%	7.7%	7.5%	8.1%	8.2%	3.4%	3.4%	7.6%	5.4%
Age 30-34		4.2%	2.0%	3.6%	7.8%	3.5%	3.8%	3.0%	3.0%	0.9%	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%
Age 35-39	3.4%	2.5%	1.0%	2.1%	4.6%	2.2%	2.4%	1.7%	1.5%	0.4%	1.2%	0.9%	0.9%
Age 40-49	3.4%	2.6%	1.0%	2.2%	4.1%	3.0%	2.5%	1.6%	1.5%	0.3%	1.5%	0.7%	0.9%
Age 50-64	1.1%	1.0%	0.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.3%	1.0%	0.5%	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%
Age 65 and over	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	1.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
5													



	-				-		-		-	-			
WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY		Peer type:	A surjustisus al	Dear	Dean	Daar	Daar	Deer	A su instisu sl	A	A - u institut sl	A	A - <i>n</i> in - t i - <i>n</i> - t
Mon ONIVERSITY		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
					-		University of	University of		University of			University of
		_		Cleveland State		University of	Nebraska	Texas San		California	University of	University of	North Carolina
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnati	Houston	Charlotte
raduate only:	3,885	4,378	7,673		4559	4,965		4,554	7,386	3,780	12,154	8,730	6,317
Age 18 and under	0	0	3		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
Age 18-19	0	1	4	. 3	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	10	
Age 20-21	89	70	290		20	66	45	118	91	69	454	571	263
Age 22-24	1,330	1,127	2,305		630	1,444	776	1,179	1,757	1,097	3,939	2,787	1,943
Age 25-29	1035	1,297	2,416		1,343	1,466	871	1,318	2,424	1,638	3,727	2,642	1,647
Age 30-34	437	698	1,108		968	732	549	706	1,197	616	1,641	1,221	867
Age 35-39	292	428	584		619	439	314	452	650	185	951	591	542
Age 40-49	436	511	651		671	523	438	546	771	126	1,026	641	691
Age 50-64	249	226	289	208	278	271	148	223	409	46	390	251	349
Age 65 and over	17	19	24	. 17	30	24	15	10	87	3	22	0	10
Age unknown	0	0	0	1	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	C
percent of Graduate only	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Age 18 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Age 18-19	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Age 20-21	2.3%	1.6%	3.8%	2.2%	0.4%	1.3%	1.4%	2.6%	1.2%	1.8%	3.7%	6.5%	4.2%
Age 22-24	34.2%	25.7%	30.0%	34.5%	13.8%	29.1%	24.6%	25.9%	23.8%	29.0%	32.4%	31.9%	30.8%
Age 25-29	26.6%	29.6%	31.5%	31.9%	29.5%	29.5%	27.6%	28.9%	32.8%	43.3%	30.7%	30.3%	26.1%
Age 30-34	11.2%	15.9%	14.4%	11.5%	21.2%	14.7%		15.5%	16.2%	16.3%	13.5%	14.0%	
Age 35-39	7.5%	9.8%	7.6%	6.8%	13.6%	8.8%	9.9%	9.9%	8.8%	4.9%	7.8%	6.8%	
Age 40-49	11.2%	11.7%	8.5%		14.7%	10.5%		12.0%	10.4%	3.3%	8.4%	7.3%	10.9%
Age 50-64	6.4%	5.2%	3.8%		6.1%	5.5%	4.7%	4.9%	5.5%	1.2%	3.2%	2.9%	5.5%
Age 65 and over	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	1.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%



WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY		Peer type: Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational University of California Riverside	Aspirational University of Cincinnati	Aspirational University of Houston	Aspirational University of North Carolina Charlotte
				Cleveland State		University of	University of Nebraska	University of Texas San					
	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	University	University	Memphis	Omaha	Antonio	Georgia State				
ection 3.4 Degree Related													
umber of awards granted between July 1, 2022 and Jun	e 30, 2023. IPED	OS data source:	Completions, FY	2023.									
T - 4 - 1 (- 11 - 4	0.000	5 400	0.070	0.001	0 700	5 0 4 0	0.507	7 050	0.500	7.040	10.000	44.407	0.5
Total (all degree types)*	3,988	5,436	9,676	3,991	6,783	5,018	3,527	7,859	8,522	7,319	12,800	11,167	8,5
males	1,748	2,289	4,269	1,759	2,676	1,888	1,522	3,602	3,227	3,542	5,364	5,145	4,
females % females	2,240 56,2%	3,146 57,9%	5,408 55.9%	2,232 55.9%	4,107 60.5%	3,130 62.4%	2,005 56.8%	4,257 54.2%	5,295 62.1%	3,777 51.6%	7,436 58.1%	6,022 53.9%	4,5 52.
/0 Termates	50.270	51.970	55.970	55.970	00.570	02.470	50.070	54.270	02.170	51.070	50.170	55.970	JZ.
lected degree types:													
total	3,988	5,436	9,676	3,991	6,783	5,018	3,527	7,859	8,522	7,319	12,800	11,167	8,
undergraduate certificate	141	56	210	0	137	0	56	85	173	0	876	0	
associates	55	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	0	
bachelors	2,239	3,627	6,352	2,356	4,283	3,039	2,481	5,976	5,309	5,952	6,751	8,022	5
post-bachelor certificate	102	231	243	0	581	338	71	165	171	0	488	0	
masters	1,199	1,369	2,238	1,391	1,717	1,383	869	1,486	2,329	980	3,564	2,285	2
post-masters certificte	139	8	59	19	0	2	19	0	18	0	223	0	
doctoral research	44	85	282	25	65	157	31	147	272	324	281	375	
doctoral professional	69	60	281	200	0	99	0	0	250	63	565	485	
doctoral other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1
undergraduate certificate	3.5%	1.0%	2.2%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	1.6%	1.1%	2.0%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%	C
associates	1.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	C
bachelors	56.1%	66.7%	65.6%	59.0%	63.1%	60.6%	70.3%	76.0%	62.3%	81.3%	52.7%	71.8%	66
post-bachelor certificate	2.6%	4.2%	2.5%	0.0%	8.6%	6.7%	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	6
masters	30.1%	25.2%	23.1%	34.9%	25.3%	27.6%	24.6%	18.9%	27.3%	13.4%	27.8%	20.5%	23
post-masters certificte	3.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	C
doctoral research	1.1%	1.6%	2.9%	0.6%	1.0%	3.1%	0.9%	1.9%	3.2%	4.4%	2.2%	3.4%	1
doctoral professional	1.7%	1.1%	2.9%	5.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.9%	4.4%	4.3%	C
doctoral other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	C
4 year graduation rate*	31.1%	30.5%	49.8%	33.5%	35.1%	30.9%	21.0%	31.9%	34.3%	65.2%	39.4%	44.5%	49.9%
5 year graduation rate*	47.7%	43.9%	66.7%	44.9%	49.0%	45.1%	34.1%	46.4%	48.5%	74.5%	67.3%	60.0%	65.1%
6 year graduation rate*	51.3%	48.1%	70.1%	48.9%	53.1%	49.4%	37.7%	51.2%	53.6%	76.6%	71.2%	64.9%	67.7%
aduation rates based on fall 2017 cohort	51.570	+0.170	10.170	40.970	55.170	49.4 /0	51.170	J1.270	55.070	10.070	/ 1.2/0	04.970	07.770

*graduation rates based on fall 2017 cohort



University		Peer type:											
		Peers	Aspirational	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
				Clauraland State	Doutload State	Liniversity of	University of	University of		University of	Liniversity of	Liniversity of	University of
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	Cleveland State University	University	University of Memphis	Nebraska Omaha	Texas San Antonio	Georgia State	California Riverside	University of Cincinnati	University of Houston	North Carolina Charlotte
	W30	L G G L G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	Aspirational	University	University	wempins	Ullialia	Antonio	Georgia State	Riverside	Cincinnau	HOUSION	Chanolle
Section 3.5 Admissions Related													
Data on undergraduate applicants, admissions and test so	oroc for instituti	ana who do not h	avo an onon adr	aissions policy. Do	to are for Eall 20		cource: Admicei	ione					
Data on undergraduate applicants, admissions and test sc			ave an open au	lissions policy. Da			Source. Aumissi	10113.					
number UG applicants	8,637	13,408	35,846	10,274	8,769	15,636	9,865	22,497	30,230	62,807	33,165	30,327	22,699
number UG admitted	8,200	12,173	25,391	9,810	7,979	14,601	8,574	19,900	18,826	39,758	29,077	21,087	18,208
% applicants to admits	94.9%	90.8%	70.8%	95.5%	91.0%	93.4%	86.9%	88.5%	62.3%	63.3%	87.7%	69.5%	80.2%
number UG enrolled	1,786	2,750	5,428	1,593	1,544	2,591	2,147	5,877	4,542	5,521	6,922	5,652	4,501
% admits to enroll	21.8%	22.6%	21.4%	16.2%	19.4%	17.7%	25.0%	29.5%	24.1%	13.9%	23.8%	26.8%	24.7%
UG performance scores:													
Num 1st-time with ACT scores	1,365	915	983		0	2,006		444	592	0	2,489	390	
% 1st-time students reporting ACT	76	38	18		0			8	13	0	36	7	32
ACT Composite 25th percentile score		18	22			17	18	19	19		24	23	
ACT Composite 75th percentile score	26	25	28			24		26			29	28	
ACT English 25th percentile score	16	17	20	16		16		17	18		22	22	
ACT English 75th percentile score	25	25	28	24		24	26	25	26		30	29	
ACT Math 25th percentile score	17	17	20			16		17			23	20	
ACT Math 75th percentile score	25	25	27	25		23	25	25	26		29	27	26

